Axions (A^0) and Other Very Light Bosons, Searches for

See the related review(s):

Axions and Other Similar Particles

A⁰ (Axion) MASS LIMITS from Astrophysics and Cosmology

These bounds depend on model-dependent assumptions (i.e. — on a combination of axion parameters).

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following	data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
>0.2	BARROSO	-	_	Standard Axion
>0.25		82	ASTR	Standard Axion
>0.2	² DICUS	78C	ASTR	Standard Axion
	MIKAELIAN	78	ASTR	Stellar emission
>0.3	² SATO	78	ASTR	Standard Axion
>0.2	VYSOTSKII	78	ASTR	Standard Axion

¹ Lower bound from 5.5 MeV γ -ray line from the sun.

A^0 (Axion) and Other Light Boson (X^0) Searches in Hadron Decays

Limits are for branching ratios.

		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
VALUE					
• • • We do not	use th	e following data for			
$<1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	24AK	BES3	$\eta' ightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- A^0$, $A^0 ightarrow e^+ e^-$
		² ABLIKIM			$J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- X^0$
$< 0.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	³ ABLIKIM	24M	BES3	$\eta ightarrow \gamma X^0$, $\eta' ightarrow \gamma X^0$
$< 2 \times 10^{-9}$	90		24	NA62	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ A^0$, $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
$< 2 \times 10^{-7}$	90	⁵ SADOVSKY	24		$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 A^0$
$< 4 \times 10^{-8}$	95	⁶ ADACHI	23K	BEL2	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ X^0$
$< 9 \times 10^{-8}$	95	⁷ ADACHI	23K	BEL2	$B^0 \to K^*(892)^0 X^0$
					$(K^*(892)^0 \to K^+\pi^-)$
$< 3.7 \times 10^{-10}$	95	⁸ CORTINA-GIL	23 B	NA62	$K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} A^{0} A^{0}, A^{0} \rightarrow$
0		0			$B^{e^+e^-}_{e^$
$<4.2 \times 10^{-8}$	90	⁹ LEES	22B	BABR	$B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm} A^{0} (A^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$
$< 7 \times 10^{-13}$	95	¹⁰ ABRATENKO		MCBN	$K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} X^{0} (X^{0} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-})$
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-7}$	90	¹¹ CORTINA-GIL	21	NA62	$K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu X^0$
$< 5 \times 10^{-11}$	90	¹² CORTINA-GIL			
$< 9 \times 10^{-10}$	90	¹³ CORTINA-GIL	21 C	NA62	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0$
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$	90	¹⁴ PARK	21	BELL	$B^0 \to X^0 X^0 (X^0 \to e^+ e^-,$
					$\mu^{+}\mu^{-}, \pi^{+}\pi^{-})$
$< 2.4 \times 10^{-9}$	90	¹⁵ AHN	19	кото	$K_L^{0+\mu^-, \pi^+\pi^-)}$ $K_L^{0\to \pi^0 X^0, m_{X^0}} = 135 \text{ MeV}$
$< 2 \times 10^{-10}$	95	¹⁶ AAIJ			$B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} X^{0} (X^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-})$
$< 3.7 \times 10^{-8}$	90	¹⁷ AHN	17		$K_I^0 \to \pi^0 X^0, m_{X^0} = 135 \text{ MeV}$
$<$ 6 \times 10 ⁻¹¹	90	¹⁸ BATLEY	17	NA48	$\mathcal{K}^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} X^{0} (X^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-})$
https://pdg.lbl	l.gov	Page	· 1		Created: 12/2/2025 14:24

²Lower bound from requiring the red giants' stellar evolution not be disrupted by axion emission.

```
<sup>19</sup> WON
                                                                      BELL \eta \rightarrow \gamma X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)
                                   <sup>20</sup> AAIJ
<1 \times 10^{-9}
                                                              15AZ LHCB B^0 \to K^{*0} X^0 (X^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)
                         95
                                                                     WASA \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-),
< 1.5 \times 10^{-6}
                                   <sup>21</sup> ADLARSON
                         90
                                                                                      m_{\chi^0}=100~{
m MeV}
< 2 \times 10^{-8}
                                   <sup>22</sup> BABUSCI
                                                                    KLOE \phi \rightarrow \eta X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-)
                                   <sup>23</sup> ARCHILLI
                                                                      KLOE \phi \rightarrow \eta X^0, X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-
<2 \times 10<sup>-15</sup>
                                   <sup>24</sup> GNINENKO
                                                                     BDMP \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-)
                         90
< 3 \times 10^{-14}
                                                                     BDMP \eta(\eta') \rightarrow \gamma X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-)
                                   <sup>25</sup> GNINENKO
                         90
< 7 \times 10^{-10}
                                                                                 K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0
                                   <sup>26</sup> ADLER
                         90
                                   <sup>27</sup> ANISIMOVSK...04
< 7.3 \times 10^{-11}
                                                                      B949 K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} X^{0}
                         90
< 4.5 \times 10^{-11}
                                   <sup>28</sup> ADLER
                                                                                 K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0
                         90
                                                              02c B787
                                                                                 K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 A^0
< 4 \times 10^{-5}
                                   <sup>29</sup> ADLER
                         90
                                                                      B787
< 4.9 \times 10^{-5}
                                                              01B CLEO B^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}(K^{\pm})X^{0}
                         90
                                       AMMAR
                                                                    CLEO B^0 \rightarrow \kappa_S^0 \dot{X}^0
< 5.3 \times 10^{-5}
                         90
                                       AMMAR
                                                                     NOMD \pi^0 
ightarrow \gamma \breve{\chi^0}, m_{\chi^0} < 120 MeV
< 3.3 \times 10^{-5}
                                   <sup>30</sup> ALTEGOER
                         90
                                                                                 K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)
                                   <sup>31</sup> KITCHING
< 5.0 \times 10^{-8}
                                                              97
                         90
                                                                                 K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0
<5.2 \times 10<sup>-10</sup>
                                   <sup>32</sup> ADLER
                                                                      B787
                         90
                                                                     CBAR \pi^0 
ightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{X^0} < 65 MeV
< 2.8 \times 10^{-4}
                                   33 AMSLER
                         90
                                                              96B
                                                                     CBAR \eta \rightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{\chi 0} = 50–200 MeV
< 3 \times 10^{-4}
                                   <sup>33</sup> AMSLER
                         90
                                                                     CBAR \eta' \rightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{\chi^0} = 50–925 MeV
                                   <sup>33</sup> AMSLER
< 4 \times 10^{-5}
                         90
                                                                     CBAR \pi^0 
ightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{\chi^0}^{\bullet} = 65–125 MeV
< 6 \times 10^{-5}
                                   <sup>33</sup> AMSLER
                         90
                                                                     CBAR \eta \rightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{X^0}=200–525 MeV
       \times 10^{-5}
                                   <sup>33</sup> AMSLER
<6
                         90
                                                                      CNTR \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{\chi 0} = 25 MeV
<7
       \times 10^{-3}
                         90
                                   <sup>34</sup> MEIJERDREES 94
                                                                     CNTR \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{X^0}^2 = 100 \text{ MeV}
< 2 \times 10^{-3}
                                   <sup>34</sup> MEIJERDREES 94
                         90
< 2 \times 10^{-7}
                         90
                                   35 ATIYA
                                                              93B
                                                                     B787
                                                                                 Sup. by ADLER 04
                                                                      COSM \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0
< 3 \times 10^{-13}
                                   36 NG
                                                              93
                                                                      SPEC K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)
< 1.1 \times 10^{-8}
                                   <sup>37</sup> ALLIEGRO
                         90
                                                              92
                                                                               \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0
< 5 \times 10^{-4}
                                   <sup>38</sup> ATIYA
                                                                      B787
                                                              92
                         90
                                                                      BDMP \pi^{\pm} \rightarrow e^{\pm} \nu X^{0}(X^{0} \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-})
< 1 \times 10^{-12}
                         95
                                   <sup>39</sup> BARABASH
                                                              92
                                                                                      \gamma\gamma), m_{\chi^0}=8 MeV
                                                                      BDMP K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\hat{\pm}} X^{0} (X^{0} \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-})
        \times 10^{-12}
                                   <sup>40</sup> BARABASH
                         95
                                                              92
                                                                                      \gamma \gamma), m_{\chi^0} = 10 \text{ MeV}
                                                                      BDMP K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)
       \times 10^{-11}
                                   <sup>41</sup> BARABASH
                         95
                                                              92
                                                                                      \gamma\gamma), m_{\chi0}=10~{
m MeV}
                                                                      BDMP \eta' \rightarrow \eta X^{0}(X^{0} \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}, \gamma \gamma),
< 1 \times 10^{-14}
                         95
                                   <sup>42</sup> BARABASH
                                                              92
                                                                                      m_{\chi^0} = 10 \text{ MeV}
                                                                      SPEC \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-),
< 4 \times 10^{-6}
                                   <sup>43</sup> MEIJERDREES 92
                         90
                                                                                      m_{\chi 0} = 100 MeV
<1 \times 10^{-7}
                                   44 ATIYA
                         90
                                                              90B
                                                                      B787
                                                                                 Sup. by KITCHING 97
                                                                               \pi^+ 	o e^+ \nu A^0 \ (A^0 	o e^+ e^-)
                                   <sup>45</sup> KORENCHE... 87
< 1.3 \times 10^{-8}
                         90
                                                                      SPEC
                                                                                 Stopped \pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu A^0
< 1 \times 10^{-9}
                                   <sup>46</sup> EICHLER
                                                                      SPEC
                         90
< 2 \times 10^{-5}
                                   <sup>47</sup> YAMAZAKI
                                                              84
                                                                     SPEC
                                                                                 For 160<m<260 MeV
                                   <sup>47</sup> YAMAZAKI
<(1.5-4)\times10^{-6} 90
                                                              84
                                                                      SPEC
                                                                                 K decay, m_{\chi 0} \ll 100 \; {
m MeV}
                                   <sup>48</sup> ASANO
                                                                      CNTR Stopped K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0
                                                              82
                                   <sup>49</sup> ASANO
                                                                    CNTR Stopped K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0
                                                              81B
                                   <sup>50</sup> ZHITNITSKII 79
                                                                                 Heavy axion
```

- 1 ABLIKIM 24AK search for η' decays to axions in the mass range 0 to 500 MeV using the BESIII detector at the Beijing Electron-Positron Collider. See Fig. 9b for mass-dependent limits.
- ² ABLIKIM 24G search for muonphilic vector or scalar X^0 particles with masses between 1 and 1000 MeV in J/ψ decays at the BESIII detector. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits on the scalar/vector couplings under three different models.
- ³ ABLIKIM 24M search for η and η' decays to dark photons in the mass range 0 to 0.7 GeV using the BESIII detector at the Beijing Electron-Positron Collider. The quoted limit is for $\eta' \to \gamma X^0$. See Fig. 7 a and b for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁴ CORTINA-GIL 24 use $K^+ \to \pi^+ \gamma \gamma$ events in NA62 to constrain 210 to 350 MeV-mass axions coupled to gluons, which promptly decay to $\gamma \gamma$. See their Fig. 8 for mass-dependent limits.
- 5 SADOVSKY 24 report results from the OKA collaboration on a missing-mass search for invisible axions in K^+ decays to pions. The quoted limit applies at $m_{A^0} \simeq 200$ MeV. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits up to 200 MeV.
- ⁶ ADACHI 23K quoted limit is for $m_{\chi^0} \simeq 3$ GeV, $c\tau_{\chi^0} = 1$ cm, and the decay channel $\chi^0 \to e^+e^-$. See their Fig. 2 for limits with different lifetimes and decay channels, $\chi^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- .
- ⁷ ADACHI 23K quoted limit is for $m_{\chi 0} \simeq 2$ GeV, $c\tau_{\chi 0} = 1$ cm, and the decay channel $\chi^0 \to e^+e^-$. See their Fig. 2 for limits with different lifetimes and decay channels, $\chi^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- .
- 8 CORTINA-GIL 23B limit extends over 10–170 MeV in mass. Quoted limit is at 155 MeV.
- 9 LEES 22B quoted limit is for $m_{A^0}=3.9$ GeV, assuming the promptly decaying axion. Limits of O(10 $^{-7}$) are obtained for $m_{A^0}=0.175$ –4.78 GeV. See their Figs.3 and 4 for mass and lifetime dependent limits.
- 10 ABRATENKO 21 quoted limit is for $m_{\chi^0}=150$ MeV and the lifetime $c\tau_{\chi^0}=80$ m. See their Fig. 4 for the limits in the range of $m_{\chi^0}=10$ –210 MeV.
- ¹¹ CORTINA-GIL 21 quoted limit is for $m_{\chi 0}=370$ MeV. Limits from O(10⁻⁵) and O(10⁻⁶) are obtained for $m_{\chi 0}=10$ –370 MeV (see their Fig. 7).
- 12 CORTINA-GIL 21A quoted limit is for $m_{\chi^0}=160$ –250 MeV. Limits between 5×10^{-11} and 2×10^{-10} are obtained in the range of $m_{\chi^0}=0$ –110 and 154–260 MeV, assuming stable or invisibly decaying X^0 . See their Fig. 4 for mass- and lifetime-dependent limits.
- 13 CORTINA-GIL 21C quoted limit is for $m_{\chi^0}=130$ –140 MeV, and limits of 9×10^{-10} – 6×10^{-7} are obtained in the mass range of $m_{\chi^0}=110$ –155 MeV, assuming χ^0 escapes detection. See their Fig. 6 for mass- and lifetime-dependent limits.
- ¹⁴ PARK 21 look for dark photons produced by decays of B^0 through off-shell Higgs-dark Higgs mixing. See their Fig. 5 for limits in the range of $m_{\chi 0} = 0.01$ –2.62 GeV.
- 15 AHN 19 is an update of AHN 17 from a new data set. See their Fig. 4 for the limits in the range of $m_{\chi 0}=0$ –250 MeV.
- 16 AAIJ 17AQ limit is for $\tau_{\chi0}=10$ ps. See their Fig. 4 for limits in the range of $m_{\chi0}=250$ –4700 MeV and $\tau_{\chi0}=0.1$ –1000 ps.
- 17 AHN 17 limit as a function of m_{χ^0} from 0 to 250 MeV is provided in their Fig. 5.
- 18 BATLEY 17 limit is for $m_{\chi^0}=216$ MeV and $\tau_{\chi^0}\leq 10$ ps. See their Fig. 4(c) for limits in the range of $m_{\chi^0}=211$ –354 MeV and longer lifetimes.
- 19 WON 16 look for a vector boson coupled to baryon number. Derived limits on α' $<~10^{-3}$ –10 $^{-2}$ for $m_{\chi0}=$ 290–520 MeV at 95% CL. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 20 AAIJ 15AZ limit is for $\tau_{\chi0}=10$ ps and $m_{\chi0}=214$ –4350 MeV. See their Fig. 4 for mass- and lifetime-dependent limits.

- 21 ADLARSON 13 limits between 2.0×10^{-5} and 1.5×10^{-6} are obtained for $m_{\chi 0} =$ 20–100 MeV (see their Fig. 8). Angular momentum conservation requires that X^0 has
- ²² BABUSCI 13B limit is for B($\phi \to \eta X^0$)·B($X^0 \to e^+e^-$) and applies to $m_{X^0}=410$ MeV. It is derived by analyzing $\eta \to ~\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ and $\pi^- \pi^+ \pi^0$. Limits between 1×10^{-6} and 2×10^{-8} are obtained for $m_{\chi 0} \leq 450$ MeV (see their Fig. 6).
- ²³ ARCHILLI 12 analyzed $\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays. Derived limits on $\alpha'/\alpha < 2 \times 10^{-5}$ for $m_{\chi^0} = 50$ –420 MeV at 90% CL. See their Fig. 8 for mass-dependent limits.
- ²⁴ GNINENKO 12A limit is for B($\pi^0 \to \gamma X^0$)·B($X^0 \to e^+e^-$) and applies for $m_{X^0} =$ 90 MeV and $au_{X0} \simeq 1 \times 10^{-8}$ sec. Limits between 10^{-8} and 2×10^{-15} are obtained for $m_{\chi^0}=$ 3–120 MeV and $\tau_{\chi^0}=$ 1 \times 10⁻¹¹–1 sec. See their Fig. 3 for limits at different masses and lifetimes.
- ²⁵ GNINENKO 12B limit is for B($\eta \to \gamma X^0$)·B($X^0 \to e^+e^-$) and applies for $m_{\chi^0}=$ 100 MeV and $au_{\chi^0} \simeq 6 \times 10^{-9}$ sec. Limits between 10^{-5} and 3×10^{-14} are obtained for $m_{\chi 0} \lesssim$ 550 MeV and $\tau_{\chi 0} = 10^{-10}$ –10 sec. See their Fig. 5 for limits at different mass and lifetime and for η' decays.
- 26 ADLER 04 limit applies for a mass near 180 MeV. For other masses in the range $m_{\chi^0}=$ 150-250 MeV the limit is less restrictive, but still improves ADLER 02C and ATIYÁ 93B.
- ²⁷ ANISIMOVSKY 04 bound is for $m_{\chi 0}$ =0.
- $^{28}\,\mathrm{ADLER}$ 02C bound is for m_{χ^0} <60 MeV. See Fig. 2 for limits at higher masses.
- ²⁹ The quoted limit is for $m_{\chi^0}=$ 0–80 MeV. See their Fig. 5 for the limit at higher mass. The branching fraction limit assumes pure phase space decay distributions.
- 30 ALTEGOER 98 looked for X^0 from π^0 decay which penetrate the shielding and convert
- to π^0 in the external Coulomb field of a nucleus. 31 KITCHING 97 limit is for B($K^+ o \pi^+ X^0$)·B($X^0 o \gamma\gamma$) and applies for $m_{\chi^0_2} \simeq$ 50 MeV, $au_{\chi0} < 10^{-10}$ s. Limits are provided for 0< $m_{\chi0} < 100$ MeV, $au_{\chi0} < 10^{-8}$ s.
- $^{
 m 32}$ ADLER 96 looked for a peak in missing-mass distribution. This work is an update of ATIYA 93. The limit is for massless stable X^0 particles and extends to m_{Y0} =80 MeV at the same level. See paper for dependence on finite lifetime.
- $^{
 m 33}$ AMSLER 94B and AMSLER 96B looked for a peak in missing-mass distribution.
- 34 MEIJERDREES 94 limit is based on inclusive photon spectrum and is independent of X^0 decay modes. It applies to $au(X^0) > 10^{-23}$ sec.
- 35 ATIYA 93B looked for a peak in missing mass distribution. The bound applies for stable X^0 of $m_{Y0}=150-250$ MeV, and the limit becomes stronger (10^{-8}) for $m_{Y0}=180-240$
- 36 NG 93 studied the production of X^0 via $\gamma\gamma \to ~\pi^0 \to ~\gamma X^0$ in the early universe at $T\simeq 1$ MeV. The bound on extra neutrinos from nucleosynthesis $\Delta N_{
 u} <$ 0.3 (WALKER 91) is employed. It applies to $m_{\chi 0} \ll 1$ MeV in order to be relativistic down to nucleosynthesis temperature. See paper for heavier X^0 .
- 37 ALLIEGRO 92 limit applies for $m_{\chi 0} = 150$ –340 MeV and is the branching ratio times the decay probability. Limit is $< 1.5 imes 10^{-8}$ at 99%CL.
- $^{38}\,\mathrm{ATIYA}$ 92 looked for a peak in missing mass distribution. The limit applies to $m_{\chi0}{=}0{-}130$ MeV in the narrow resonance limit. See paper for the dependence on lifetime. Covariance requires X^0 to be a vector particle.
- $^{39}\,\mathrm{BARABASH}$ 92 is a beam dump experiment that searched for a light Higgs. Limits between 1×10^{-12} and 1×10^{-7} are obtained for $3 < m_{\chi^0} <$ 40 MeV.

 40 Limits between $1 imes 10^{-12}$ and 1 are obtained for $4 < m_{\chi^0} <$ 69 MeV.

- $^{41}\, \rm Limits$ between 1×10^{-11} and 5×10^{-3} are obtained for 4 < $m_{\chi 0}$ $\,<$ 63 MeV.
- $^{42}\,\mathrm{Limits}$ between 1×10^{-14} and 1 are obtained for 3 < $m_{\chi0}$ < 82 MeV.
- ⁴³ MEIJERDREES 92 limit applies for $au_{\chi 0} = 10^{-23}$ – 10^{-11} sec. Limits between 2×10^{-4} and 4 imes 10 $^{-6}$ are obtained for $m_{\chi 0} =$ 25–120 MeV. Angular momentum conservation requires that X^0 has spin ≥ 1 .
- ⁴⁴ ATIYA 90B limit is for B($K^+ \to \pi^+ X^0$)·B($X^0 \to \gamma \gamma$) and applies for $m_{X^0} = 50$ MeV, $au_{\chi 0} < 10^{-10}$ s. Limits are also provided for $0 < m_{\chi 0} < 100$ MeV, $au_{\chi 0} < 10^{-8}$ s.
- 45 KORENCHENKO 87 limit assumes $m_{A^0}=1.7$ MeV, $au_{A^0}\lesssim 10^{-12}$ s, and B($A^0
 ightarrow$ $e^+e^-)=1.$
- ⁴⁶ EICHLER 86 looked for $\pi^+ \to e^+ \nu A^0$ followed by $A^0 \to e^+ e^-$. Limits on the branching fraction depend on the mass and and lifetime of A^0 . The quoted limits are valid when $\tau(A^0) \gtrsim 3. \times 10^{-10}$ s if the decays are kinematically allowed.
- ⁴⁷ YAMAZAKI 84 looked for a discrete line in $K^+ \to \pi^+ X$. Sensitive to wide mass range (5–300 MeV), independent of whether X decays promptly or not.
- ⁴⁸ ASANO 82 at KEK set limits for B($K^+ o \pi^+ X^0$) for m_{X^0} <100 MeV as BR < 4. \times 10⁻⁸ for $\tau(X^0 \to n\gamma$'s) > 1. \times 10⁻⁹ s, BR < 1.4 \times 10⁻⁶ for τ < 1. \times 10⁻⁹ s. ⁴⁹ ASANO 81B is KEK experiment. Set B($K^+ \to \pi^+ X^0$) < 3.8 \times 10⁻⁸ at CL = 90%.
- 50 ZHITNITSKII 79 argue that a heavy axion predicted by YANG 78 (3 < m <40 MeV) contradicts experimental muon anomalous magnetic moments.

TECN COMMENT

A⁰ (Axion) Searches in Quarkonium Decays

Decay or transition of quarkonium. Limits are for branching ratio.

DOCUMENT ID

```
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
< 8.3 \times 10^{-8} 95
                                                                       23E BES3 J/\psi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma (A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)
22 BELL \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow A^0 \gamma (A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)
                                         <sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM
< 3.1 \times 10^{-7} 90
                                         <sup>2</sup> JIA
```

$< 2.8 \times 10^{-8}$				$J/\psi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma (A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$
$<$ 4 \times 10 ⁻⁷	90	⁴ ABLIKIM 12	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma (A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$
$< 4.0 \times 10^{-5}$	90	⁵ ANTREASYAN 90c	CBAL	$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$
$< 5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	⁶ DRUZHININ 87	ND	$\phi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma (A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$

⁷ DRUZHININ $< 2 \times 10^{-3}$

87 ND $\phi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma (A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ 87 ND $\phi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma (A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ 82 CBAL $J/\psi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$ ⁸ DRUZHININ $< 7 \times 10^{-6}$

⁹ EDWARDS $< 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$

- 1 ABLIKIM 23E obtained limits in the range of 8.3 \times 10 $^{-8}$ –1.8 \times 10 $^{-6}$ for 0.165 GeV $\,\leq\,$ $m_{\Delta0}~\leq$ 2.84 GeV. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 2 JIA 22 limits between 3.1×10^{-7} – 1.6×10^{-5} were obtained for 0.22 GeV $< m_{A0} <$ 9.2 GeV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 3 ABLIKIM 16E limits between 2.8–495.3 imes 10 $^{-8}$ were obtained for 0.212 GeV < m_{A0} <3.0 GeV. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 4 ABLIKIM 12 derived limits between 4 \times 10 $^{-7}$ –2.1 \times 10 $^{-5}$ for 0.212 GeV < $m_{\Delta0}$ < 3.0 GeV. See their Fig. 2(c) for mass-dependent limits.
- 5 ANTREASYAN 90C assume that A^{0} does not decay in the detector.
- 6 The first DRUZHININ 87 limit is valid when $au_{A0}/m_{A0}~<~3 imes 10^{-13}$ s/MeV and $m_{\Delta 0} < 20 \text{ MeV}.$
- 7 The second DRUZHININ 87 limit is valid when $au_{A^0}/m_{A^0}~<~5 imes 10^{-13}$ s/MeV and m_{A0} < 20 MeV.

A⁰ (Axion) Searches in Positronium Decays

Decay or transition of positronium. Limits are for branching ratio.

VALUE	CL%	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do no	t use th	e following data	for a	verages,	fits, limits, etc. • • •
$< 4.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹ BADERT	02	CNTR	o-Ps $\rightarrow \gamma X_1 X_2$, $m_{X_1} + m_{X_2} \le$
$< 2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	MAENO	95	CNTR	900 keV o-Ps $\to A^0 \gamma m_{A^0} = 850-1013 \text{ keV}$
$< 3.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90	² ASAI			$o\text{-Ps} \rightarrow A^0 \gamma m_{\Delta 0} = 30-500 \text{ keV}$
$< 2.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	³ AKOPYAN			o-Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma (A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$,
					$m_{A0} < 30 \text{ keV}$
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	⁴ ASAI	91	CNTR	o-Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$, $m_{A^0} < 800 \text{ keV}$
$< 3.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	GNINENKO	90	CNTR	o-Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$, $m_{A^0} < 30 \text{ keV}$
$<$ (1–5) \times 10 ⁻⁴	95	⁵ TSUCHIAKI	90	CNTR	o-Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$, $m_{A^0} = 300$ –900 keV
$< 6.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	⁶ ORITO	89	CNTR	o-Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$, $m_{A^0} < 30 \text{ keV}$
		⁷ AMALDI	85	CNTR	Ortho-positronium
		⁸ CARBONI	83		Ortho-positronium

¹ BADERTSCHER 02 looked for a three-body decay of ortho-positronium into a photon and two penetrating (neutral or milli-charged) particles.

A⁰ (Axion) Search in Photoproduction

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

1
 ADHIKARI 22C GLUX $m_{A^0}=180\text{--}480,\,600\text{--}720$ MeV 2 BASSOMPIE... 95
$$m_{A^0}=1.8\pm0.2$$
 MeV

 $^{^8}$ The third DRUZHININ 87 limit is valid when $\tau_{A^0}/m_{A^0}>7\times 10^{-12}$ s/MeV and $m_{A^0}<200$ MeV.

⁹ EDWARDS 82 looked for $J/\psi \to \gamma A^0$ decays by looking for events with a single γ [of energy $\sim 1/2$ the $J/\psi(1S)$ mass], plus nothing else in the detector. The limit is inconsistent with the axion interpretation of the FAISSNER 81B result.

² The ASAI 94 limit is based on inclusive photon spectrum and is independent of A^0 decay modes.

 $^{^3}$ The AKOPYAN 91 limit applies for a short-lived $\it A^0$ with $_{\it TA^0}$ $\,<$ 10^{-13} $\it m_{\it A^0}$ [keV] s.

 $^{^4}$ ASAI 91 limit translates to $g_{A^0\,e^+\,e^-}^2/4\pi < 1.1\times 10^{-11}$ (90% CL) for $m_{A^0}^2 < 800$ _ keV.

keV. 5 The TSUCHIAKI 90 limit is based on inclusive photon spectrum and is independent of A^{0} decay modes.

 $^{^6}$ ORITO 89 limit translates to $g_{A^0\,e\,e}^2/4\pi~<6.2\times10^{-10}.$ Somewhat more sensitive limits are obtained for larger $m_{A^0}\colon B<~7.6\times10^{-6}$ at 100 keV.

⁷ AMALDI 85 set limits B($A^0\gamma$) / B($\gamma\gamma\gamma$) < (1–5) × 10⁻⁶ for $m_{A^0}=900$ –100 keV which are about 1/10 of the CARBONI 83 limits.

⁸ CARBONI 83 looked for orthopositronium $\to A^0\gamma$. Set limit for A^0 electron coupling squared, $g(eeA^0)^2/(4\pi) < 6. \times 10^{-10}$ –7. $\times 10^{-9}$ for m_{A^0} from 150–900 keV (CL = 99.7%). This is about 1/10 of the bound from g–2 experiments.

 $^{^1}$ ADHIKARI 22C search for $A^0\to \gamma\gamma$ and $A^0\to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays, and set limits of $f_{A^0}\lesssim 0.5$ –14 GeV at 90% CL. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.

 2 BASSOMPIERRE 95 is an extension of BASSOMPIERRE 93. They looked for a peak in the invariant mass of $e^+\,e^-$ pairs in the region $m_{e^+\,e^-}=1.8\pm0.2$ MeV. They obtained bounds on the production rate A^0 for $\tau(A^0)=10^{-18}-10^{-9}$ sec. They also found an excess of events in the range $m_{e^+\,e^-}=2.1$ –3.5 MeV.

A⁰ (Axion) Production in Hadron Collisions

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

		$H \rightarrow A^0 A^0 \rightarrow 4\gamma$ $q \overline{q} \rightarrow V A^0, t \rightarrow A^0 q,$
24CD	ATLS	$A^0 \rightarrow gg, c\overline{c}$ $V \rightarrow VA^0, A^0 \rightarrow gg$ $V \rightarrow VA^0$
		$H \rightarrow ZA^0$ $H \rightarrow A^0A^0$, $A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
24 C	CMS	$ \begin{array}{ccc} \mu & \mu & \mu \\ H \to & A^0 Z \to & \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^- \\ \gamma \gamma \to & A^0 \to & \gamma \gamma \\ A^0 \to & \mu^+ \mu^- \end{array} $
23	ARNT	$A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ $H \rightarrow A^0A^0$, ZA^0
22AH	CMS	$(A^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$ $H \to A^0 A^0, A^0 \to$ $a^+ a^-, \mu^+ \mu^-$
		e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$ $pp \rightarrow A^{*0} \rightarrow ZZ$, ZH Monojet + missing p_T
		Mono- γ + missing p_T $\gamma \gamma$ scatt. in Pb+Pb
21	ATLS	$pp \rightarrow A^{*0} \rightarrow WW,$ $Z\gamma$
20AL 20	LHCB CMS	$pp \rightarrow X^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ $pp \rightarrow A^{*0} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma, ZZ$
		$X^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
97	SPEC	e^+ production
		$A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$
96	EMUL	32 S emulsion, $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$
92 592	BDMP SPEC	$A^0 N_Z \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- N_Z$ $\pi^- p \rightarrow n A^0, A^0 \rightarrow$
91	BDMP	$e^{+}e^{-}$ $A^{0} \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}$, 2γ
		Beam dump, $A^0 \xrightarrow{e^+ e^-} e^-$ $A^0 \xrightarrow{e^+ e^-}$
88	EMUL	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$
	24BN 24BZ 24CD 24K .24AZ .24I 24C 23 23 22J 22AH 22R 21F 21K 21N 21 20AL 20 19BQ 07 97 96 96 92 97 96 98 88	23 ARNT 23 ARNT 22J ATLS 22AH CMS 22R CMS 21F ATLS 21N ATLS 21 ATLS 21 ATLS 20AL LHCB 20 CMS 19BQ CMS 07 CNTR 97 SPEC 97 SPEC 96 SPEC 96 EMUL 92 BDMP 692 SPEC 91 BDMP 89 OSPK 88 RVUE

$<2. \times 10^{-11}$ $<1. \times 10^{-13}$	90 90	32 FAISSNER 33 BADIER 34 BERGSMA 34 BERGSMA 35 FAISSNER 36 FAISSNER 37 FRANK 38 HOFFMAN 39 FETSCHER 40 FAISSNER 41 FAISSNER 42 KIM 43 FAISSNER	88 86 85 83 83B 83B 83B 81 81 818	BDMP CHRM CHRM OSPK RVUE RVUE	LAMPF beam dump $\pi p \rightarrow n A^0$ $(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$ See FAISSNER 81B CERN PS ν wideband Beam dump, $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ 26 GeV $p N \rightarrow A^0 X$
$<1. \times 10^{-8}$	90	⁴⁴ JACQUES	80	HLBC	$A^{\circ} \rightarrow e^{+}e$ 28 GeV protons
$< 1. \times 10^{-14}$	90	⁴⁴ JACQUES	80	HLBC	Beam dump
		⁴⁵ SOUKAS	80	CALO	28 GeV p beam dump
		⁴⁶ BECHIS	79	CNTR	•
$<1. \times 10^{-8}$	90	⁴⁷ COTEUS	79	OSPK	Beam dump
$< 1. \times 10^{-3}$	95	⁴⁸ DISHAW	79	CALO	400 GeV <i>pp</i>
$<1. \times 10^{-8}$	90	ALIBRAN	78	HYBR	Beam dump
$< 6. \times 10^{-9}$	95	ASRATYAN	78 B	CALO	Beam dump
$<1.5 \times 10^{-8}$	90	⁴⁹ BELLOTTI	78	HLBC	Beam dump
$<$ 5.4 \times 10 ⁻¹⁴	90	⁴⁹ BELLOTTI	78	HLBC	$m_{\Delta^0} = 1.5 \text{ MeV}$
$< 4.1 \times 10^{-9}$	90	⁴⁹ BELLOTTI	78	HLBC	$m_{A0}^{7}=1 \text{ MeV}$
$<1. \times 10^{-8}$	90	⁵⁰ BOSETTI ⁵¹ DONNELLY	78в 78	HYBR	Beam dump
$<0.5 \times 10^{-8}$	90	HANSL ⁵² MICELMAC ⁵³ VYSOTSKII	78D 78 78	WIRE	Beam dump

¹AAD 24AT search for Higgs decays to axions, constraining the effective coupling to photons between 100 MeV and 62 GeV axion mass. Limit strength is dependent on the effective axion-Higgs coupling and is strongest at the highest mass. See their Fig. 8 for full limits.

² AAD 24BN search for the production of long-lived axion-like particles produced in proton-proton collisions at the ATLAS detector. See their Fig. 2 for cross-section limits on benchmark ALP masses between 40 and 55 GeV, as a function of their proper decay length. Limits are presented for both ALP production from $q\overline{q}$ in association with the production of a vector boson, and ALP production from top decays.

 $^{^3}$ AAD 24BZ set limits on photo-phobic axion-like particles with masses between 0.1 and 40 GeV that are radiated from vector bosons and decay into gluons. See their Figs. 11 and 12 for limits on the ALP couplings to W, Z and gluons.

⁴ AAD 24CD search for the production of axion-like particles in association with a hadronically decaying W or Z and large missing transverse energy in proton-proton collisions at the ATLAS detector. See their Fig. 7 for upper limits on the vector boson coupling for an ALP mass of 1 MeV as a function of $f_{\Delta0}$.

⁵ AAD 24K search for Higgs decays to a Z and an axion-like particle in the mass range 0.1 to 33 GeV. They constrain the axion coupling to photons. See Fig. 3b for mass-dependent limits, which also depend on the coupling of the Higgs to ZA^0 .

- ⁶ HAYRAPETYAN 24AZ present results from CMS's search for axion-like particles produced from Higgs decays then promptly decaying to a dimuon. See their Fig. 6 for limits on the effective ALP coupling to the SM-like Higgs.
- ⁷ HAYRAPETYAN 24I report a search by CMS for an exotic Higgs decay to an axion-like particle and a *Z*, which decay to two photons and two leptons respectively. See Fig. 7 and 8 for mass-dependent limits on the cross-section for the process and the effective axion coupling in the mass range 1 to 30 GeV.
- 8 TUMASYAN 24C reports results from the CMS and TOTEM collaborations' search for photon-photon fusion events in proton collisions and the subsequent diphoton events which may occur via the production of axions. Constraints on the axion to two-photon coupling are presented at the 10^{-5} GeV $^{-1}$ level for axion masses between 500 and 2000 GeV.
- 9 ACCIARRI 23 search for axions in the NuMI neutrino beam target, which are produced through mixings with mesons due to the coupling with gluons, and exclude f_{A^0} around tens of TeV for $m_{A^0}=0.2$ –0.9 GeV. They assume a slightly suppressed axion coupling to muons. See their Fig. 4 for the limits.
- 10 BERTUZZO 23 employs an analysis analogous to ACCIARRI 23. They search for leptophilic axions primarily produced via $\tau \to ~\mu A^0$ and $\tau \to ~e A^0$, and exclude f_{A^0} around 1×10^6 –6 $\times 10^7$ GeV for $m_{A^0}=0.2$ –1.7 GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the limits.
- ¹¹ AAD 22J set upper limits for the cross sections of $H \to A^0 A^0 \to 4\mu$ and $H \to ZA^0 \to 2\ell 2\mu$. See their Figs. 14 and 17 for the respective mass-dependent limits.
- ¹² TUMASYAN 22AH set the limits of $O(10^{-6})$ with respect to the product of the branching fractions of $H \to A^0 A^0$ and $A^0 \to e^+ e^-$, $\mu^+ \mu^-$. They also derive limits on the effective axion couplings contributing to $H \to A^0 A^0$ and $H \to Z A^0$. See their Figs. 5 and 7 for the limits.
- 13 TUMASYAN 22 R is analogous to GAVELA 20, and set a limit on the products of the axion couplings to gluons and Z bosons as $G_{A\,Z\,Z}\,\,G_{A\,g\,g}\,<\,6.64\times10^{-7}\,\,\mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$ at $^{95}\%$ CL for $f_{A^0}=^{3}$ TeV and $m_{A^0}<^{100}$ GeV. Here we use $c_{\widetilde{G}}=G_{A\,g\,g}\,\,f_{A^0}/^4$ and $c_{\widetilde{Z}}=G_{A\,Z\,Z}\,\,f_{A^0}/^4$ to translate their limits. They also set a limit on the product of the axion couplings to gluons and $Z\,H$. See their Fig. 9 for the f_{A^0} -dependent limits.
- 14 AAD 21F look for axion production with an energetic jet and large missing p_T , and set a limit on the axion coupling to gluons, $c_{\widetilde{G}}/f_{A^0}<8\times10^{-6}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$ at 95 % CL for $m_{A^0}=1$ MeV. Using $c_{\widetilde{G}}=\alpha_s/8\pi$, we interpret the limit as $f_{A^0}>0.4$ TeV for $\alpha_s\simeq0.08$.
- ¹⁵ AAD 21K look for axion production with an energetic photon and large missing p_T , and set a limit on the axion coupling to a Z boson and photon, $G_{AZ\gamma} < 5.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ at 95 % CL for $m_{A0} = 1$ MeV and assuming $G_{A\gamma\gamma} = 0$.
- 16 AAD 21N look for axion production using the measurement of light-by-light scattering based on Pb+Pb collision data. They set the limit on the axion-photon coupling, $G_{A\gamma\gamma} < 5.3\times10^{-5}\text{--}3.4\times10^{-4}~\text{GeV}^{-1}$ at 95 % CL for $m_{A^0} = 6\text{--}100$ GeV. Here we use $\Lambda_a = G_{A\gamma\gamma}^{\gamma}$ to translate their limits. See their Fig. 9 for mass-dependent limits.
- 17 CARRA 21 is analogous to GAVELA 20, and they use the differential cross sections for W W and $Z\gamma$ production measured with the ATLAS detector to set limits on the product of the axion couplings to gauge bosons as G_{AW}_{W} G_{Agg} $< 6.2 \times 10^{-7}$ GeV $^{-2}$ and $G_{AZ\gamma}$ G_{Agg} $< 3.7 \times 10^{-7}$ GeV $^{-2}$ at 95 % CL for $m_{\Delta0} \lesssim 100$ GeV.
- 18 AAIJ 20AL look for a light new boson decaying into a pair of muons using the LHCb data with an integrated luminosity of 5.1 fb $^{-1}$, and set limits on the cross section over a range of $m_{\chi0}=0.22$ –3 and 20–60 GeV. See Figs. 8 and 9 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁹ GAVELA 20 focus on the axion production as an s-channel off shell mediator, and use the Run 2 CMS public data to set limits on the product of the axion couplings to gluons

- and photons as well as Z bosons as $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ G_{Agg} < 2.8×10^{-7} GeV $^{-2}$ and G_{AZZ} G_{Agg} < 9.8×10^{-7} GeV $^{-2}$ for m_{A^0} \lesssim 200 GeV. See their Fig.3 for the limits.
- ²⁰ SIRUNYAN 19BQ look for the pair production of a new light boson decaying into a pair of muons, and set limits on the product of the production cross section times branching fraction to dimuons squared times acceptance over a range of $m_{\chi 0} = 0.25$ –8.5 GeV. See the right panel of their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- See the right panel of their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits. ²¹ JAIN 07 claims evidence for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ produced in ²⁰⁷Pb collision on nuclear emulsion (Ag/Br) for $m(A^0)=7\pm1$ or 19 ± 1 MeV and $\tau(A^0)\leq10^{-13}$ s.
- 22 AHMAD 97 reports a result of APEX Collaboration which studied positron production in $^{238} \rm U + ^{232} \rm Ta$ and $^{238} \rm U + ^{181} \rm Ta$ collisions, without requiring a coincident electron. No narrow lines were found for 250 $<\!E_{a^+}<$ 750 keV.
- 23 LEINBERGER 97 (ORANGE Collaboration) at GSI looked for a narrow sum-energy $e^+\,e^-$ -line at ~ 635 keV in 238 U+ 181 Ta collision. Limits on the production probability for a narrow sum-energy $e^+\,e^-$ line are set. See their Table 2.
- ²⁴ GANZ 96 (EPos II Collaboration) has placed upper bounds on the production cross section of e^+e^- pairs from 238 U+ 181 Ta and 238 U+ 232 Th collisions at GSI. See Table 2 for limits both for back-to-back and isotropic configurations of e^+e^- pairs. These limits rule out the existence of peaks in the e^+e^- sum-energy distribution, reported by an earlier version of this experiment.
- 25 KAMEL 96 looked for e^+e^- pairs from the collision of 32 S (200 GeV/nucleon) and emulsion. No evidence of mass peaks is found in the region of sensitivity $m_{e\,e} > 2$ MeV.
- ²⁶ BLUEMLEIN 92 is a proton beam dump experiment at Serpukhov with a secondary target to induce Bethe-Heitler production of e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$ from the produce A^0 . See Fig. 5 for the excluded region in m_{A^0} -x plane. For the standard axion, 0.3 <x<25 is excluded at 95% CL. If combined with BLUEMLEIN 91, 0.008 <x<32 is excluded.
- is excluded at 95% CL. If combined with BLUEMLEIN 91, 0.008 <x<32 is excluded. 27 MEIJERDREES 92 give $\Gamma(\pi^-p\to\ nA^0)\cdot {\rm B}(A^0\to\ e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\pi^-p\to\ {\rm all})<10^{-5}$ (90% CL) for $m_{A^0}=100$ MeV, $\tau_{A^0}=10^{-11}$ –10 $^{-23}$ sec. Limits ranging from 2.5 \times 10^{-3} to 10^{-7} are given for $m_{A^0}=25$ –136 MeV.
- 28 BLUEMLEIN 91 is a proton beam dump experiment at Serpukhov. No candidate event for $A^0 \to e^+ e^-$, 2γ are found. Fig. 6 gives the excluded region in m_{A^0} -x plane (x = $\tan\beta = v_2/v_1$). Standard axion is excluded for 0.2 $< m_{A^0} < 3.2$ MeV for most x > 1, 0.2–11 MeV for most x < 1.
- ²⁹ FAISSNER 89 searched for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ in a proton beam dump experiment at SIN. No excess of events was observed over the background. A standard axion with mass $2m_e$ –20 MeV is excluded. Lower limit on f_{A^0} of $\simeq 10^4$ GeV is given for $m_{A^0} = 2m_e$ –20 MeV.
- 30 DEBOER 88 reanalyze EL-NADI 88 data and claim evidence for three distinct states with mass $\sim 1.1, \sim 2.1,$ and ~ 9 MeV, lifetimes 10^{-16} – 10^{-15} s decaying to $e^+\,e^-$ and note the similarity of the data with those of a cosmic-ray experiment by Bristol group (B.M. Anand, Proc. of the Royal Society of London, Section A **A22** 183 (1953)). For a criticism see PERKINS 89, who suggests that the events are compatible with π^0 Dalitz decay. DEBOER 89B is a reply which contests the criticism.
- 31 EL-NADI 88 claim the existence of a neutral particle decaying into e^+e^- with mass 1.60 \pm 0.59 MeV, lifetime (0.15 \pm 0.01) \times 10 $^{-14}$ s, which is produced in heavy ion interactions with emulsion nuclei at \sim 4 GeV/c/nucleon.
- 32 FAISSNER 88 is a proton beam dump experiment at SIN. They found no candidate event for $A^0 \to \gamma \gamma$. A standard axion decaying to 2γ is excluded except for a region $x{\simeq} 1$. Lower limit on f_{A^0} of $10^2{-}10^3$ GeV is given for $m_{A^0}=0.1{-}1$ MeV.
- ³³ BADIER 86 did not find long-lived A^0 in 300 GeV π^- Beam Dump Experiment that decays into e^+e^- in the mass range $m_{\Delta0}=(20-200)$ MeV, which excludes the A^0 decay

- constant $f(A^0)$ in the interval (60–600) GeV. See their figure 6 for excluded region on $f(A^0)$ - m_{A^0} plane.
- ³⁴ BERGSMA 85 look for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$, e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$. First limit above is for $m_{A^0}=1$ MeV; second is for 200 MeV. See their figure 4 for excluded region on $f_{A^0}-m_{A^0}$ plane, where f_{A^0} is A^0 decay constant. For Peccei-Quinn PECCEI 77 A^0 , m_{A^0} <180 keV and τ >0.037 s. (CL = 90%). For the axion of FAISSNER 81B at 250 keV, BERGSMA 85 expect 15 events but observe zero.
- 35 FAISSNER 83 observed 19 1- γ and 12 2- γ events where a background of 4.8 and 2.3 respectively is expected. A small-angle peak is observed even if iron wall is set in front of the decay region.
- 36 FAISSNER 83B extrapolate SIN γ signal to LAMPF ν experimental condition. Resulting 370 γ 's are not at variance with LAMPF upper limit of 450 γ 's. Derived from LAMPF limit that $\left[d\sigma(A^0)/d\omega$ at $90^{\circ}\right]m_{A^0}/\tau_{A^0}<14\times10^{-35}~{\rm cm^2~sr^{-1}~MeV~ms^{-1}}.$ See comment on FRANK 83B.
- 37 FRANK 83B stress the importance of LAMPF data bins with negative net signal. By statistical analysis say that LAMPF and SIN-A0 are at variance when extrapolation by phase-space model is done. They find LAMPF upper limit is 248 not 450 γ 's. See comment on FAISSNER 83B.
- comment on FAISSNER 83B. 38 HOFFMAN 83 set CL = 90% limit $d\sigma/dt$ B(e^+e^-) $< 3.5 \times 10^{-32}$ cm $^2/\text{GeV}^2$ for 140 $< m_{A^0}$ <160 MeV. Limit assumes $\tau(A^0) < 10^{-9}$ s.
- 39 FETSCHER 82 reanalyzes SIN beam-dump data of FAISSNER 81. Claims no evidence for axion since 2- γ peak rate remarkably decreases if iron wall is set in front of the decay region.
- $^{40}\,\mathrm{FAISSNER}$ 81 see excess $\mu\,e$ events. Suggest axion interactions.
- ⁴¹ FAISSNER 81B is SIN 590 MeV proton beam dump. Observed 14.5 \pm 5.0 events of 2γ decay of long-lived neutral penetrating particle with $m_{2\gamma} \lesssim 1$ MeV. Axion interpretation with η - A^0 mixing gives $m_{A^0} = 250 \pm 25$ keV, $\tau_{(2\gamma)} = (7.3 \pm 3.7) \times 10^{-3}$ s from above rate. See critical remarks below in comments of FETSCHER 82, FAISSNER 83, FAISSNER 83B, FRANK 83B, and BERGSMA 85. Also see in the next subsection ALEKSEEV 82B, CAVAIGNAC 83, and ANANEV 85.
- 42 KIM 81 analyzed 8 candidates for $A^0 \to 2\gamma$ obtained by Aachen-Padova experiment at CERN with 26 GeV protons on Be. Estimated axion mass is about 300 keV and lifetime is $(0.86 \sim 5.6) \times 10^{-3}$ s depending on models. Faissner (private communication), says axion production underestimated and mass overestimated. Correct value around 200 keV.
- 43 FAISSNER 80 is SIN beam dump experiment with 590 MeV protons looking for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ decay. Assuming $A^0/\pi^0=5.5\times 10^{-7}$, obtained decay rate limit $20/(A^0$ mass) MeV/s (CL = 90%), which is about 10^{-7} below theory and interpreted as upper limit to $m_{A^0} < 2m_{e^-}$.
- ⁴⁴ JACQUES 80 is a BNL beam dump experiment. First limit above comes from nonobservation of excess neutral-current-type events $[\sigma(\text{production})\sigma(\text{interaction}) < 7. \times 10^{-68} \text{ cm}^4$, CL = 90%]. Second limit is from nonobservation of axion decays into 2γ 's or e^+e^- , and for axion mass a few MeV.
- $^{45}\,\text{SOUKAS}$ 80 at BNL observed no excess of neutral-current-type events in beam dump.
- ⁴⁶ BECHIS 79 looked for the axion production in low energy electron Bremsstrahlung and the subsequent decay into either 2γ or e^+e^- . No signal found. CL = 90% limits for model parameter(s) are given.
- ⁴⁷ COTEUS 79 is a beam dump experiment at BNL.
- ⁴⁸ DISHAW 79 is a calorimetric experiment and looks for low energy tail of energy distributions due to energy lost to weakly interacting particles.
- ⁴⁹ BELLOTTI 78 first value comes from search for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$. Second value comes from search for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$, assuming mass $<2m_{e^-}$. For any mass satisfying this,

limit is above value×(mass⁻⁴). Third value uses data of PL 60B 401 and quotes σ (production) σ (interaction) < 10^{-67} cm⁴.

- ⁵⁰ BOSETTI 78B quotes $\sigma(\text{production})\sigma(\text{interaction}) < 2. \times 10^{-67} \text{ cm}^4$.
- ⁵¹ DONNELLY 78 examines data from reactor neutrino experiments of REINES 76 and GURR 74 as well as SLAC beam dump experiment. Evidence is negative.
- ⁵² MICELMACHER 78 finds no evidence of axion existence in reactor experiments of REINES 76 and GURR 74. (See reference under DONNELLY 78 below).
- ⁵³ VYSOTSKII 78 derived lower limit for the axion mass 25 keV from luminosity of the sun and 200 keV from red supergiants.

A⁰ (Axion) Searches in Reactor Experiments

VALUE	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the follow	ving data for average	es, fits	, limits, e	etc. • • •
	¹ CHANG			Primakoff or Compton
	² ALTMANN	95	CNTR	Reactor; $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$
	³ KETOV			Reactor, $A^0 ightarrow \gamma \gamma$
	⁴ KOCH	86	SPEC	Reactor; $A^0 ightarrow \gamma \gamma$
	⁵ DATAR			Light water reactor
	⁶ VUILLEUMIE	R 81	CNTR	Reactor, $A^0 o 2\gamma$

- ¹ CHANG 07 looked for monochromatic photons from Primakoff or Compton conversion of axions from the Kuo-Sheng reactor due to axion coupling to photon or electron, respectively. The search places model-independent limits on the products $G_{A\gamma\gamma}G_{ANN}$ and $G_{A\alpha\alpha}G_{ANN}$ for $m(A^0)$ less than the MeV range.
- and $G_{A\,e\,e}G_{A\,N\,N}$ for $m(A^0)$ less than the MeV range. 2 ALTMANN 95 looked for A^0 decaying into e^+e^- from the Bugey 5 nuclear reactor. They obtain an upper limit on the A^0 production rate of $\omega(A^0)/\omega(\gamma) \times \mathrm{B}(A^0 \to e^+e^-) < 10^{-16}$ for $m_{A^0} = 1.5$ MeV at 90% CL. The limit is weaker for heavier A^0 . In the case of a standard axion, this limit excludes a mass in the range $2m_e < m_{A^0} < 4.8$ MeV at 90% CL. See Fig. 5 of their paper for exclusion limits of axion-like resonances Z^0 in the (m_{X^0},f_{X^0}) plane.
- 3 KETOV 86 searched for A^0 at the Rovno nuclear power plant. They found an upper limit on the A^0 production probability of 0.8 $[100~{\rm keV}/m_{A^0}]^6 \times 10^{-6}$ per fission. In the standard axion model, this corresponds to $m_{A^0} > 150~{\rm keV}$. Not valid for $m_{A^0} \gtrsim 1~{\rm MeV}$.
- 4 KOCH 86 searched for $A^0 \to \gamma \gamma$ at nuclear power reactor Biblis A. They found an upper limit on the A^0 production rate of $\omega(A^0)/\omega(\gamma(M1)) < 1.5 \times 10^{-10}$ (CL=95%). Standard axion with $m_{A^0} = 250$ keV gives 10^{-5} for the ratio. Not valid for $m_{A^0} > 1022$ keV
- keV. 5 DATAR 82 looked for $A^0 \to 2\gamma$ in neutron capture $(np \to dA^0)$ at Tarapur 500 MW reactor. Sensitive to sum of I=0 and I=1 amplitudes. With ZEHNDER 81 [(I=0)-(I=1)] result, assert nonexistence of standard A^0 .
- ⁶ VUILLEUMIER 81 is at Grenoble reactor. Set limit $m_{\Delta0}$ <280 keV.

A^0 (Axion) and Other Light Boson (X^0) Searches in Nuclear Transitions

Limits are for branching ratio.

<u>VALUE</u> <u>CL%</u> <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • $< 8.89 \times 10^{-6}$ 90 ¹ DERBIN 23 CNTR M1 transition of 169 Tm

https://pdg.lbl.gov Page 12 Created: 12/2/2025 14:24

< 8.5	\times 10 ⁻⁶	90	² DERBIN ³ DEBOER	02 97c	CNTR RVUE	125m Te decay M1 transitions
- 55	$\times10^{-10}$	95	⁴ TSUNODA	95		²⁵² Cf fission, $A^0 \rightarrow ee$
< 1.0	× 10 × 10 ⁻⁶	95 95	⁵ MINOWA	93	CNTR	
	× 10 × 10 ⁻⁴	90	6 HICKS	93 92		35 S decay, $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
	× 10 × 10 ⁻⁹	95	⁷ ASANUMA	90		241 Am decay
	$(.0) \times 10^{-3}$	95	8 DEBOER	90		$^{8}\text{Be}^{*} \rightarrow {^{8}\text{Be}}A^{0}$.
\((0.\tau\)	.0) \ 10	93	DEBOEK	90		
<(0.2-1	$(1) \times 10^{-3}$	90	⁹ BINI	89	CNTR	$160^{*} 160^{*} \times 0$
			¹⁰ AVIGNONE	88	CNTR	$X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^ Cu^* \rightarrow CuA^0 (A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma,$
	4		11			$A^0 e \rightarrow \gamma e, A^0 Z \rightarrow \gamma Z$
< 1.5	\times 10 ⁻⁴	90	¹¹ DATAR	88	CNTR	$^{12}C^* \rightarrow ^{12}CA^0$
< 5	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	¹² DEBOER	88C	CNTR	
< 3.1	\times 10 ⁻⁵	95	¹³ DOEHNER	88	SPEC	$^{\chi^0}_{H^*, A^0} \xrightarrow{e^+e^-}_{e^+e^-}$
< 4	× 10 × 10 ⁻⁴	95 95	¹⁴ SAVAGE	88		Nuclear decay (isovector)
< 3	× 10 ⁻³	95	¹⁴ SAVAGE	88		Nuclear decay (isoscalar)
	× 10 ⁻²	90	¹⁵ HALLIN	86		⁶ Li isovector decay
<10.8	A 10	90	¹⁵ HALLIN	86		¹⁰ B isoscalar decays
< 2.2		90	¹⁵ HALLIN	86		¹⁴ N isoscalar decays
	$\times10^{-4}$	90	¹⁶ SAVAGE	86B	CNTR	
,	-		¹⁷ ANANEV	85		Li * , deut * $A^0 o 2\gamma$
			¹⁸ CAVAIGNAC	83		97Nb*, deut* transition
						$A^0 ightarrow 2\gamma$
			¹⁹ ALEKSEEV	82 B	CNTR	Li*, deut* transition
			20			$A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$
			²⁰ LEHMANN	82		$Cu^* \rightarrow CuA^0 (A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma)$
			²¹ ZEHNDER	82		Li*, Nb* decay, <i>n</i> -capt.
			²² ZEHNDER	81	CNTR	$Ba^* \to Ba A^0 \ (A^0 \to 2\gamma)$
			²³ CALAPRICE	79		Carbon

 $^{^1}$ DERBIN 23 use a thallium garnet bolometric detector to search for the 8.4 keV solar axion line emitted from the M1 nuclear transition of $^{169}\mathrm{Tm}$. Their limits are equivalent to an upper bound on the KSVZ and DFSZ axion masses of 141 eV and 244 eV, respectively.

 $^{^2}$ DERBIN 02 looked for the axion emission in an M1 transition in 125m Te decay. They looked for a possible presence of a shifted energy spectrum in gamma rays due to the undetected axion.

³ DEBOER 97C reanalyzed the existent data on Nuclear M1 transitions and find that a 9 MeV boson decaying into e^+e^- would explain the excess of events with large opening angles. See also DEBOER 01 for follow-up experiments.

 $^{^4}$ TSUNODA 95 looked for axion emission when $^{252}{\rm Cf}$ undergoes a spontaneous fission, with the axion decaying into $e^+\,e^-$. The bound is for $m_{A^0}{=}40$ MeV. It improves to 2.5×10^{-5} for $m_{A^0}{=}200$ MeV.

 $^{^5}$ MINOWA 93 studied chain process, $^{139}{\rm Ce} \rightarrow ^{139}{\rm La}^*$ by electron capture and M1 transition of $^{139}{\rm La}^*$ to the ground state. It does not assume decay modes of A^0 . The bound applies for $m_{\Delta0}<166$ keV.

 $^{^6}$ HICKS 92 bound is applicable for $\tau_{\chi 0}~<4\times 10^{-11}$ sec.

 $^{^7}$ The ASANUMA 90 limit is for the branching fraction of X^0 emission per $^{241}{\rm Am}\,\alpha$ decay and valid for $\tau_{~X^0}~<~3\times 10^{-11}$ s.

- ⁸ The DEBOER 90 limit is for the branching ratio ⁸Be* (18.15 MeV, 1⁺) \rightarrow ⁸Be A^0 , $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ for the mass range $m_{A^0} = 4$ –15 MeV.
- ⁹ The BINI 89 limit is for the branching fraction of 16 O* (6.05 MeV, $^{+}$) \rightarrow 16 O 0 O, 0 O $^{$
- of X is restricted to 0^+ or 1^- . 10 AVIGNONE 88 looked for the 1115 keV transition $C^* \to CuA^0$, either from $A^0 \to 2\gamma$ in-flight decay or from the secondary A^0 interactions by Compton and by Primakoff processes. Limits for axion parameters are obtained for $m_{A^0} < 1.1$ MeV.
- ¹¹ DATAR 88 rule out light pseudoscalar particle emission through its decay $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ in the mass range 1.02–2.5 MeV and lifetime range 10^{-13} – 10^{-8} s. The above limit is for $\tau=5\times10^{-13}$ s and m=1.7 MeV; see the paper for the τ -m dependence of the limit.
- The limit is for the branching fraction of $^{16}\mathrm{O}^*$ (6.05 MeV, $^{0+}$) \rightarrow $^{16}\mathrm{O}\,X^0$, X^0 \rightarrow $e^+\,e^-$ against internal pair conversion for $m_{\chi^0}=1.7$ MeV and $\tau_{\chi^0}<10^{-11}\,\mathrm{s}$. Similar limits are obtained for $m_{\chi^0}=1.3$ –3.2 MeV. The spin parity of X^0 must be either $^0+$ or $^1-$. The limit at 1.7 MeV is translated into a limit for the X^0- nucleon coupling constant: $g_{\chi^0}^2{}_{NN}/4\pi < 2.3 \times 10^{-9}$.
- 13 The DOEHNER 88 limit is for $m_{A^0}=1.7$ MeV, $\tau(A^0)<10^{-10}$ s. Limits less than 10^{-4} are obtained for $m_{A^0}=1.2$ –2.2 MeV.
- ¹⁴ SAVAGE 88 looked for A^0 that decays into e^+e^- in the decay of the 9.17 MeV $J^P=2^+$ state in ¹⁴N, 17.64 MeV state $J^P=1^+$ in ⁸Be, and the 18.15 MeV state $J^P=1^+$ in ⁸Be. This experiment constrains the isovector coupling of A^0 to hadrons, if $m_{A^0}=(1.1 \rightarrow 2.2)$ MeV and the isoscalar coupling of A^0 to hadrons, if $m_{A^0}=(1.1 \rightarrow 2.6)$ MeV. Both limits are valid only if $\tau(A^0)\lesssim 1\times 10^{-11}$ s.
- 15 Limits are for $\Gamma(A^0(1.8~\text{MeV}))/\Gamma(\pi\text{M1});$ i.e., for 1.8 MeV axion emission normalized to the rate for internal emission of e^+e^- pairs. Valid for $\tau_{A^0} < 2 \times 10^{-11} \text{s}.$ 6 Li isovector decay data strongly disfavor PECCEI 86 model I, whereas the 10 B and 14 N isoscalar decay data strongly reject PECCEI 86 model II and III.
- ¹⁶ SAVAGE 86B looked for A^0 that decays into e^+e^- in the decay of the 9.17 MeV $J^P=2^+$ state in ¹⁴N. Limit on the branching fraction is valid if $\tau_{A^0}\lesssim 1.\times 10^{-11} {\rm s}$ for $m_{A^0}=(1.1-1.7)$ MeV. This experiment constrains the iso-vector coupling of A^0 to hadrons.
- 17 ANANEV 85 with IBR-2 pulsed reactor exclude standard A^0 at CL = 95% masses below 470 keV (Li* decay) and below $2m_e$ for deuteron* decay.
- ¹⁸ CAVAIGNAC 83 at Bugey reactor exclude axion at any m_{97} Nb*decay and axion with $m_{\Delta0}$ between 275 and 288 keV (deuteron* decay).
- 19 ALEKSEEV 82 with IBR-2 pulsed reactor exclude standard A^0 at CL =95% mass-ranges $m_{A^0}~<\!400$ keV (Li* decay) and 330 keV $<\!m_{A^0}~<\!2.2$ MeV. (deuteron* decay).
- 20 LEHMANN 82 obtained $A^0\to 2\gamma$ rate $<6.2\times 10^{-5}/\mathrm{s}$ (CL =95%) excluding m_{A^0} between 100 and 1000 keV.
- ²¹ ZEHNDER 82 used Gosgen 2.8GW light-water reactor to check A^0 production. No 2γ peak in Li*, Nb* decay (both single p transition) nor in n capture (combined with previous Ba* negative result) rules out standard A^0 . Set limit $m_{A^0} <$ 60 keV for any A^0 .
- ²² ZEHNDER 81 looked for Ba* \rightarrow A^0 Ba transition with $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$. Obtained 2γ coincidence rate $< 2.2 \times 10^{-5}/\text{s}$ (CL = 95%) excluding $m_{A^0} >$ 160 keV (or 200 keV depending on Higgs mixing). However, see BARROSO 81.

²³ CALAPRICE 79 saw no axion emission from excited states of carbon. Sensitive to axion mass between 1 and 15 MeV.

A⁰ (Axion) Limits from Its Electron Coupling

Limits are for $\tau(A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-)$.

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

•	•	•	We do	not	use t	the	followi	nø d	ata	for	averages,	fits	limits	etc	•		•
•	•	•	vve uo	HOL	usc	LIIC	IOIIOVVI	ng u	ala	IOI	avciages,	IILS,	IIIIIILS,	CLC.	•	•	•

• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •										
		¹ ANDREEV	21	NA64	$e N \rightarrow e A^0 N$ $(A^0 \rightarrow invisibles)$					
		² ANDREEV	21 B	NA64	$e N \rightarrow e A^0 N$ $(A^0 \rightarrow e e)$					
none $4 \times 10^{-16} - 4.5 \times 10^{-12}$	90	³ BROSS	91	BDMP	$e \stackrel{\frown}{N} \rightarrow e \stackrel{\frown}{A} \stackrel{\frown}{N} $					
		⁴ GUO	90	BDMP	$e \stackrel{N}{\rightarrow} e \stackrel{A}{\rightarrow} N $ $(\stackrel{A}{\rightarrow} e e)$					
		⁵ BJORKEN	88	CALO	$A \rightarrow e^+e^-$ or					
		⁶ BLINOV	88	MD1	$ \begin{array}{ccc} ee & \rightarrow & eeA^{0} \\ (A^{0} & \rightarrow & ee) \end{array} $					
none $1 \times 10^{-14} - 1 \times 10^{-10}$	90	⁷ RIORDAN	87	BDMP	$e N \rightarrow e A^0 N$ $(A^0 \rightarrow e e)$					
none $1 \times 10^{-14} - 1 \times 10^{-11}$	90	⁸ BROWN	86	BDMP	$e N \rightarrow e A^0 N$ $(A^0 \rightarrow e e)$					
none $6 \times 10^{-14} - 9 \times 10^{-11}$	95	⁹ DAVIER	86	BDMP	$e \stackrel{N}{\rightarrow} e \stackrel{A}{\rightarrow} N $ $(\stackrel{A}{\rightarrow} e e)$					
none $3 \times 10^{-13} - 1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	¹⁰ KONAKA	86	BDMP	$e \stackrel{N}{\rightarrow} e \stackrel{A}{\rightarrow} N $ $(\stackrel{A}{\rightarrow} e e)$					

¹ ANDREEV 21 look for invisible decays of axions coupled to electrons, and set limits on g_{Aee} < 4.6×10^{-6} -3.1 \times 10⁻³ for m_{A^0} = 10⁻³-1 GeV. This limits the axion contribution to the electron g-2 to an order of magnitude less than the current experimental uncertainty. See their Figs. 3 and 4 for mass-dependent limits.

 $^{^2}$ ANDREEV 21B set limits on $g_{A\,e\,e}$ in the range of 6.3 \times 10 $^{-6}$ –1.6 \times 10 $^{-3}$ for m_{A^0} =2–17 MeV at 90% CL. This excludes 6.6×10^{-5} $< g_{Aee} < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ at $m_{A^0} =$ 16.7 MeV corresponding to the ATOMKI anomaly. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.

The listed BROSS 91 limit is for $m_{A^0}=1.14\,\mathrm{MeV}.~\mathrm{B}(A^0 \to e^+e^-)=1$ assumed. Excluded domain in the $\tau_{\Delta 0}$ - $m_{\Delta 0}$ plane extends up to $m_{\Delta 0} \approx 7$ MeV (see Fig. 5). Combining with electron g-2 constraint, axions coupling only to e^+e^- ruled out for $m_{\Delta 0} < 4.8 \text{ MeV } (90\% \text{ CL}).$

 $^{^4\,\}mathrm{GUO}$ 90 use the same apparatus as BROWN 86 and improve the previous limit in the shorter lifetime region. Combined with g-2 constraint, axions coupling only to $e^+e^$ are ruled out for $m_{\Delta0}$ < 2.7 MeV (90% CL).

⁵ BJORKEN 88 reports limits on axion parameters (f_A , m_A , au_A) for m_{A0} < 200 MeV from electron beam-dump experiment with production via Primakoff photoproduction, bremsstrahlung from electrons, and resonant annihilation of positrons on atomic elec-

 $^{^6}$ BLINOV 88 assume zero spin, m=1.8 MeV and lifetime $<~5 imes 10^{-12}\,\mathrm{s}$ and find $\Gamma(A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) < 2 \text{ eV (CL=90\%)}.$

 $^{^7}$ Assumes $A^0\gamma\gamma$ coupling is small and hence Primakoff production is small. Their figure 2 shows limits on axions for $m_{\Delta0}~<15$ MeV.

Search for A⁰ (Axion) Resonance in Bhabha Scattering

The limit is for $\Gamma(A^0)[B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-)]^2$.

$VALUE (10^{-3} \text{ eV})$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
< 1.3	97	$^{ m 1}$ HALLIN	92	CNTR	$m_{\Delta^0} = 1.75 - 1.88 \text{ MeV}$
none 0.0016-0.47	90	² HENDERSON	92 C		$m_{A0}^{7} = 1.5 - 1.86 \text{ MeV}$
< 2.0	90	³ WU	92		$m_{\Delta 0}^{7} = 1.56 - 1.86 \text{ MeV}$
< 0.013	95	TSERTOS	91	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$
none 0.19-3.3	95	⁴ WIDMANN	91		$m_{\Delta 0} = 1.78 - 1.92 \text{ MeV}$
< 5	97	BAUER	90	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$
none 0.09-1.5	95	⁵ JUDGE	90		$m_{A0}^{71} = 1.832 \text{ MeV},$
< 1.9	97	⁶ TSERTOS	89	CNTR	elastic $m_{\Delta 0} = 1.82 \text{ MeV}$
<(10–40)	97	⁶ TSERTOS	89		$m_{A^0} = 1.52 \text{ MeV}$ $m_{A^0} = 1.51-1.65 \text{ MeV}$
<(1-2.5)	97	⁶ TSERTOS	89		$m_{A^0} = 1.81 \cdot 1.05 \text{ MeV}$ $m_{A^0} = 1.80 - 1.86 \text{ MeV}$
< 31	95	LORENZ	88		$m_{A^0} = 1.60$ 1.00 MeV $m_{A^0} = 1.646$ MeV
< 94	95	LORENZ	88		$m_{A0} = 1.040 \text{ MeV}$ $m_{A0} = 1.726 \text{ MeV}$
< 23	95	LORENZ	88		$m_{A0} = 1.725 \text{ MeV}$ $m_{A0} = 1.782 \text{ MeV}$
< 19	95	LORENZ	88		$m_{A0} = 1.837 \text{ MeV}$
< 3.8	97	⁷ TSERTOS	88		$m_{A^0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$
. 5.5		⁸ VANKLINKEN		CNTR	A0 =1.00=01
		⁹ MAIER	87	CNTR	
<2500	90	MILLS	87	CNTR	$m_{A0}=1.8~{ m MeV}$
		⁰ VONWIMMER.87		CNTR	, ı

¹ HALLIN 92 quote limits on lifetime, $8 \times 10^{-14} - 5 \times 10^{-13}$ sec depending on mass, assuming B($A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$) = 100%. They say that TSERTOS 91 overstated their sensitivity by a factor of 3.

 $^{^8}$ Uses electrons in hadronic showers from an incident 800 GeV proton beam. Limits for $m_{\Delta0} < 15$ MeV are shown in their figure 3.

 $^{^9}m_{A^0}=1.8$ MeV assumed. The excluded domain in the $\tau_{A^0}-m_{A^0}$ plane extends up to $m_{A^0}\approx 14$ MeV, see their figure 4.

 $^{^{10}}$ The limits are obtained from their figure 3. Also given is the limit on the $A^0\gamma\gamma-A^0e^+e^-$ coupling plane by assuming Primakoff production.

 $^{^2}$ HENDERSON 92C exclude axion with lifetime $\tau_{A^0}{=}1.4\times10^{-12}$ –4.0 \times 10^{-10} s, assuming B(A 0 \rightarrow e $^+$ e $^-$)=100%. HENDERSON 92C also exclude a vector boson with $\tau{=}1.4\times10^{-12}$ –6.0 \times 10^{-10} s.

 $^{^3}$ WU 92 quote limits on lifetime $> 3.3 \times 10^{-13}$ s assuming B($A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$)=100%. They say that TSERTOS 89 overestimate the limit by a factor of $\pi/2$. WU 92 also quote a bound for vector boson, $\tau > 8.2 \times 10^{-13}$ s.

⁴ WIDMANN 91 bound applies exclusively to the case $B(A^0 \to e^+e^-)=1$, since the detection efficiency varies substantially as $\Gamma(A^0)_{\text{total}}$ changes. See their Fig. 6.

 $^{^5}$ JUDGE 90 excludes an elastic pseudoscalar $e^+\,e^-$ resonance for $4.5\times 10^{-13}~{\rm s}<~\tau(A^0)<<7.5\times 10^{-12}~{\rm s}$ (95% CL) at $m_{A^0}=1.832$ MeV. Comparable limits can be set for $m_{\Delta^0}=1.776-1.856$ MeV.

⁶ See also TSERTOS 88B in references.

Search for A^0 (Axion) Resonance in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

The limit is for $\Gamma(A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-)\cdot\Gamma(A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

				, cu.	
<i>VALUE</i> (10 ⁻³ eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
ullet $ullet$ We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •
< 0.18	95	VO	94	CNTR	$m_{{\it A}0}\!=\!1.1~{ m MeV}$
< 1.5	95	VO	94	CNTR	m_{A0}^{7} =1.4 MeV
<12	95	VO	94		m_{A0}^{7} =1.7 MeV
< 6.6	95	¹ TRZASKA	91	CNTR	$m_{A0} = 1.8 \text{ MeV}$
< 4.4	95	WIDMANN	91	CNTR	$m_{A0} = 1.78 - 1.92 \text{ MeV}$
		² FOX	89	CNTR	, ,
< 0.11	95	³ MINOWA	89	CNTR	$m_{A0}^{}=1.062\;\mathrm{MeV}$
<33	97	CONNELL	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.580 \text{ MeV}$
<42	97	CONNELL	88	CNTR	$m_{A0} = 1.642 \text{ MeV}$
<73	97	CONNELL	88	CNTR	$m_{A0} = 1.782 \text{ MeV}$
<79	97	CONNELL	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$
					, ·

 $^{^1\,\}rm TRZASKA$ 91 also give limits in the range (6.6–30) \times $10^{-3}\,\rm eV$ (95%CL) for $m_{A^0}=1.6$ –2.0 MeV.

Search for X^0 (Light Boson) Resonance in $e^+e^- o \gamma\gamma\gamma$

The limit is for $\Gamma(X^0 \to e^+e^-)\cdot\Gamma(X^0 \to \gamma\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. C invariance forbids spin-0 X^0 coupling to both e^+e^- and $\gamma\gamma\gamma$.

<i>VALUE</i> (10 ⁻³ eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN COMMENT	_
• • • We do not use t	ne followir	ng data for average	es, fits	, limits, etc. • • •	
< 0.2	95	1 VO	94	CNTR $m_{\chi 0}$ =1.1–1.9 MeV	
< 1.0	95	² VO		CNTR $m_{\chi 0}^{\uparrow} = 1.1 \text{ MeV}$	
< 2.5	95	² VO	94	CNTR $m_{\chi 0} = 1.4 \text{ MeV}$	
<120	95	² VO	94	CNTR $m_{\chi 0}^{\uparrow} = 1.7 \text{ MeV}$	
< 3.8	95	³ SKALSEY	92	CNTR $m_{\chi 0} = 1.5 \text{ MeV}$	

 $^{^{7}}$ The upper limit listed in TSERTOS 88 is too large by a factor of 4. See TSERTOS 88B, footnote 3.

⁸ VANKLINKEN 88 looked for relatively long-lived resonance ($\tau=10^{-10}$ – 10^{-12} s). The sensitivity is not sufficient to exclude such a narrow resonance.

 $^{^9}$ MAIER 87 obtained limits $R\Gamma\lesssim 60$ eV (100 eV) at $m_{\mbox{$A\!\!\!/$}0}\simeq 1.64$ MeV (1.83 MeV) for energy resolution $\Delta E_{\mbox{$\rm cm$}}\simeq 3$ keV, where R is the resonance cross section normalized to that of Bhabha scattering, and $\Gamma=\Gamma_{\mbox{$\rm e\,e$}}^2/\Gamma_{\mbox{total}}$. For a discussion implying that $\Delta E_{\mbox{$\rm cm$}}\simeq 10$ keV, see TSERTOS 89.

 $^{^{10}}$ VONWIMMERSPERG 87 measured Bhabha scattering for $E_{\rm cm}=1.37\text{--}1.86$ MeV and found a possible peak at 1.73 with $\int \sigma dE_{\rm cm}=14.5\pm6.8$ keV·b. For a comment and a reply, see VANKLINKEN 88B and VONWIMMERSPERG 88. Also see CONNELL 88.

 $^{^2}$ FOX 89 measured positron annihilation with an electron in the source material into two photons and found no signal at 1.062 MeV (< 9×10^{-5} of two-photon annihilation at rest).

 $^{^3\,\}mathrm{Similar}$ limits are obtained for $m_{A^0}=1.045\text{--}1.085$ MeV.

Light Boson (X^0) Search in Nonresonant e^+e^- Annihilation at Rest

Limits are for the ratio of $n\gamma + X^0$ production relative to $\gamma\gamma$.

$VALUE$ (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID)	TECN COMMENT
• • • We do not use	e the followin	ng data for averag	es, fits	, limits, etc. • • •
< 4.2	90	$^{ m 1}$ MITSUI		CNTR γX^0
< 4	68	² SKALSEY		CNTR γX^0
<40	68	³ SKALSEY		RVUE γX^0
< 0.18	90	⁴ ADACHI		CNTR $\gamma \gamma X^0$, $X^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
< 0.26	90	⁵ ADACHI		CNTR $\gamma \gamma X^0$, $X^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
< 0.33	90	⁶ ADACHI	94	CNTR γX^0 , $X^0 o \gamma \gamma \gamma$

 $^{^1}$ MITSUI 96 looked for a monochromatic $\gamma.$ The bound applies for a vector X^0 with $C{=}{-}1$ and m_{χ^0} <200 keV. They derive an upper bound on $e\,e\,X^0$ coupling and hence on the branching ratio B(o-Ps $\rightarrow~\gamma\gamma\,X^0)$ < 6.2×10^{-6} . The bounds weaken for heavier X^0 .

SKALSEY 95 looked for a monochromatic γ without an accompanying γ in e^+e^- annihilation. The bound applies for scalar and vector X^0 with C=-1 and $m_{X^0}=100-1000$ keV.

 3 SKALSEY 95 reinterpreted the bound on γA^0 decay of o-Ps by ASAI 91 where 3% of delayed annihilations are not from 3S_1 states. The bound applies for scalar and vector X^0 with C=-1 and $m_{\chi 0}=$ 0–800 keV.

Searches for Goldstone Bosons (X^0)

(Including Horizontal Bosons and Majorons.) Limits are for branching ratios.

(menading	11011201111	Dosons and Majoror	13.)	-IIIIICS GI	e for brunening ratios.
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not	use the fo	llowing data for aver	rages	, fits, lim	nits, etc. • • •
					$ au^- ightarrow~e^-X^0$, Familon
			23A	BEL2	$ au^- ightarrow \ \mu^- extit{X}^0$, Familon
					Majoron, SN 1987A
		⁴ SANDNER			
					νe non-standard interactions
$< 4.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	⁶ AGUILAR-AR	21A	PIEN	$\pi ightarrow \ \mu u X^0$, Majoron
$< 5.2 \times 10^{-8}$	90				$\pi ightarrow e u X^0$, Majoron
$<9 \times 10^{-6}$	90	⁸ AGUILAR-AR	.20	PIEN	$\mu^+ ightarrow \ e^+ X^0$, Familon
https://pdg.lbl	l.gov	Page 18		C	Created: 12/2/2025 14:24

¹ VO 94 looked for $X^0 \to \gamma \gamma \gamma$ decaying at rest. The precise limits depend on m_{χ^0} . See Fig. 2(b) in paper.

 $^{^2}$ VO 94 looked for $X^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma$ decaying in flight.

 $^{^3}$ SKALSEY 92 also give limits 4.3 for $m_{\chi^0}=1.54$ and 7.5 for 1.64 MeV. The spin of χ^0 is assumed to be one.

⁴ ADACHI 94 looked for a peak in the $\gamma\gamma$ invariant mass distribution in $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$ production from e⁺ e⁻ annihilation. The bound applies for $m_{\chi0}=$ 70–800 keV.

 $^{^5}$ ADACHI 94 looked for a peak in the missing-mass mass distribution in $\gamma\gamma$ channel, using $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$ production from $e^+\,e^-$ annihilation. The bound applies for $m_{\chi0}~<800$ keV.

⁶ ADACHI 94 looked for a peak in the missing mass distribution in $\gamma\gamma\gamma$ channel, using $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$ production from e^+e^- annihilation. The bound applies for $m_{\chi^0}=200$ –900 keV.

<7	\times 10 ⁻¹²	90	⁹ BALDINI	20	MEG	$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma),$
<9	× 10 ⁻⁶	90	10 BAYES 11 LATTANZI 12 LESSA 13 FARZAN 14 DIAZ	15 13 07 03 98	COSM RVUE ASTR	Familon $\mu^+ \to e^+ X^0$, Familon Majoron dark matter decay Meson, ℓ decays to Majoron Majoron, SN cooling $H^0 \to X^0 X^0$, $A^0 \to$
			¹⁵ BOBRAKOV	91		X ⁰ X ⁰ X ⁰ , Majoron Electron quasi-magnetic in-
<3.	3×10^{-2}	95	¹⁶ ALBRECHT	90E	ARG	teraction $ au o \mu X^0$. Familon
<1.	8×10^{-2}	95	¹⁶ ALBRECHT	90E	ARG	$ au ightarrow e X^0$. Familon
<6.	4×10^{-9}	90	¹⁷ ATIYA	90	B787	$K^+ ightarrow \pi^+ X^0$. Familon
<1.	4×10^{-5}	90	¹⁸ BALKE	88	CNTR	$\mu^+ ightarrow e^+ X^0$. Familon
	1×10^{-9}	90	¹⁹ BOLTON	88	CBOX	$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \gamma X^0$. Familon
			²⁰ CHANDA	88	ASTR	Sun, Majoron
			²¹ CHOI	88	ASTR	Majoron, SN 1987A
< 5	$\times 10^{-6}$	90	²² PICCIOTTO	88	CNTR	$\pi ightarrow \ e u X^0$, Majoron
<1.	3×10^{-9}	90	²³ GOLDMAN	87	CNTR	$\mu ightarrow e \gamma X^0$. Familon
<3	\times 10 ⁻⁴	90	²⁴ BRYMAN	86 B	RVUE	$\mu ightarrow e X^0$. Familon
<1	\times 10 ⁻¹⁰	90	²⁵ EICHLER	86	SPEC	$\mu^+ ightarrow e^+ X^0$. Familon
<2.	6×10^{-6}	90	²⁶ JODIDIO	86	SPEC	$\mu^+ ightarrow e^+ X^0$. Familon
			²⁷ BALTRUSAIT.	85	MRK3	$ au ightarrow \ell X^0$. Familon
			²⁸ DICUS	83	COSM	$ u(hvy) ightarrow \ u(light) X^0$

 1 ADACHI 23A set limits in the range of 1.1×10^{-3} –9.7 $\times 10^{-3}$ for $0< m_{\chi 0}<1.6$ GeV on B($\tau^-\to e^- X^0)/{\rm B}(\tau^-\to e^- \overline{\nu}_e \nu_\tau)$. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits. 2 ADACHI 23A set limits in the range of 7×10^{-4} –1.22 $\times 10^{-2}$ for $0< m_{\chi 0}<1.6$ GeV on B($\tau^-\to \mu^- X^0)/{\rm B}(\tau^-\to \mu^- \overline{\nu}_\mu \nu_\tau)$. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.

 $^{^3}$ FIORILLO 23 used data from Kamiokande-II and IMB on the neutrino flux from SN1987A to constrain the universal neutrino Majoron Yukawa coupling, g. They set an upper limit of g $m_{\chi 0} \lesssim 10^{-9}$ MeV for Majoron masses 100 eV $\lesssim m_{\chi 0} \lesssim$ 100 MeV, using neutrino coalescence as production of Majorons which then decay back to neutrinos. See their Fig. 1 for the mass-dependent limits.

 $^{^4}$ SANDNER 23 study Majoron production via neutrino inverse decay and use Planck data to constrain the neutrino Majoron Yukawa coupling to $g\lesssim 2\times 10^{-13}$ –1 $\times 10^{-12}$ for Majoron masses $m_{\chi^0}=1$ –10 eV. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.

 $^{^5}$ COLOMA 22A used the spectral data of Borexino Phase II to constrain the neutrino non-standard interaction with electrons mediated by a scalar or a pseudoscalar. Limits on the universal coupling to neutrinos and electrons between 2 \times 10 $^{-6}$ and 10 $^{-4}$ are obtained for $m_{\chi0} \lesssim$ 30–40 MeV. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.

 $^{^6}$ AGUILAR-AREVALO 21A quoted limit applies to $m_{\chi^0}=33.9$ MeV. Limits between 4.3×10^{-6} and 7.5×10^{-5} are obtained for 0 $< m_{\chi^0}<33.9$ MeV. The lifetime of χ^0 is assumed to be long enough. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.

 $^{^7}$ AGUILAR-AREVALO 21A quoted limit applies to $m_{\chi^0}=85$ MeV. Limits between 5.2×10^{-8} and 1.4×10^{-6} are obtained for $0< m_{\chi^0}<120$ MeV, which improve the limits of PICCIOTTO 88 by an order of magnitude. The lifetime of χ^0 is assumed to be long enough. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.

 $^{^8}$ AGUILAR-AREVALO 20 obtained limits of order 10^{-5} for $m_{\chi 0}=47.8$ –95.1 MeV. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\chi 0}=75$ MeV. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.

- 9 BALDINI 20 obtained limits for $m_{\chi^0}=$ 20–45 MeV and $\tau_{\chi^0}<$ 40 ps, and supersedes BOLTON 88 for $m_{\chi^0}=$ 20–40 MeV. See their Fig. 17 for mass-dependent limits.
- 10 BAYES 15 limits are the average over $m_{\chi 0}=13\text{--}80$ MeV for the isotropic decay distribution of positrons. See their Fig. 4 and Table II for the mass-dependent limits as well as the dependence on the decay anisotropy. In particular, they find a limit $<58\times10^{-6}$ at 90% CL for massless familions and for the same asymmetry as normal muon decay, a case not covered by JODIDIO 86.
- ¹¹ LATTANZI 13 use WMAP 9 year data as well as X-ray and γ -ray observations to derive limits on decaying majoron dark matter. A limit on the decay width $\Gamma(X^0 \to \nu \overline{\nu})$ < 6.4 × 10⁻¹⁹ s⁻¹ at 95% CL is found if majorons make up all of the dark matter.
- ¹² LESSA 07 consider decays of the form Meson $\rightarrow \ell \nu$ Majoron and $\ell \rightarrow \ell' \nu \overline{\nu}$ Majoron and use existing data to derive limits on the neutrino-Majoron Yukawa couplings $g_{\alpha\beta}$ ($\alpha,\beta=e,\mu,\tau$). Their best limits are $|g_{e\alpha}|^2<5.5\times10^{-6}$, $|g_{\mu\alpha}|^2<4.5\times10^{-5}$, $|g_{\tau\alpha}|^2<5.5\times10^{-2}$ at CL = 90%.
- ¹³ FARZAN 03 set limits on the neutrino Majoron Yukawa coupling, $|g_{e\,e}| < 4 \times 10^{-7}$, by considering the SN cooling due to the massless Majoron emission via neutrino coalescence. They also exclude values around 10^{-5} for both $g_{e\,\mu}$ and $g_{\mu\,\mu}$ using the process $\nu\nu\to X^0X^0$. See also their Figs. 3 and 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 14 DIAZ 98 studied models of spontaneously broken lepton number with both singlet and triplet Higgses. They obtain limits on the parameter space from invisible decay $Z \to H^0 A^0 \to X^0 X^0 X^0 X^0 X^0$ and $e^+ e^- \to Z H^0$ with $H^0 \to X^0 X^0$.
- 15 BOBRAKOV 91 searched for anomalous magnetic interactions between polarized electrons expected from the exchange of a massless pseudoscalar boson (arion). A limit $x_e^2 < 2 \times 10^{-4}$ (95%CL) is found for the effective anomalous magneton parametrized as $x_e (G_F/8\pi\sqrt{2})^{1/2}$.
- ¹⁶ ALBRECHT 90E limits are for B($au o \ell X^0$)/B($au o \ell
 u \overline{
 u}$). Valid for $m_{\chi 0} < 100$ MeV. The limits rise to 7.1% (for μ), 5.0% (for e) for $m_{\chi 0} = 500$ MeV.
- 17 ATIYA 90 limit is for $m_{\chi^0}=0.$ The limit B $<1\times10^{-8}$ holds for $m_{\chi^0}<95$ MeV. For the reduction of the limit due to finite lifetime of χ^0 , see their Fig. 3.
- 18 BALKE 88 limits are for B($\mu^+\to e^+ X^0$). Valid for $m_{\chi 0}^-<$ 80 MeV and $\tau_{\chi 0}^->10^{-8}$ sec.
- 19 BOLTON 88 limit corresponds to $F>3.1\times10^9$ GeV, which does not depend on the chirality property of the coupling.
- 20 CHANDA 88 find v_T < 10 MeV for the weak-triplet Higgs vacuum expectation value in Gelmini-Roncadelli model, and v_S > 5.8×10^6 GeV in the singlet Majoron model.
- ²¹CHOI 88 used the observed neutrino flux from the supernova SN 1987A to exclude the neutrino Majoron Yukawa coupling h in the range $2\times 10^{-5} < h < 3\times 10^{-4}$ for the interaction $L_{\rm int} = \frac{1}{2}ih\overline{\psi}^c_{\nu}\gamma_5\psi_{\nu}\phi_{\rm X}$. For several families of neutrinos, the limit applies for $(\Sigma h_i^4)^{1/4}$.
- ²² PICCIOTTO 88 limit applies when $m_{\chi^0} <$ 55 MeV and $\tau_{\chi^0} >$ 2ns, and it decreases to 4×10^{-7} at $m_{\chi^0} =$ 125 MeV, beyond which no limit is obtained.
- ²³ GOLDMAN 87 limit corresponds to $F>2.9\times10^9$ GeV for the family symmetry breaking scale from the Lagrangian $L_{\rm int}=(1/F)\overline{\psi}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}$ ($a+b\gamma_5$) $\psi_e\partial_{\mu}\phi_{\chi^0}$ with $a^2+b^2=1$. This is not as sensitive as the limit $F>9.9\times10^9$ GeV derived from the search for $\mu^+\to e^+\chi^0$ by JODIDIO 86, but does not depend on the chirality property of the coupling.
- ²⁴ Limits are for $\Gamma(\mu \to e X^0)/\Gamma(\mu \to e \nu \overline{\nu})$. Valid when $m_{\chi^0}=0$ –93.4, 98.1–103.5 MeV.

- ²⁵ EICHLER 86 looked for $\mu^+ \to e^+ X^0$ followed by $X^0 \to e^+ e^-$. Limits on the branching fraction depend on the mass and and lifetime of X^0 . The quoted limits are valid when $\tau_{X^0} \lesssim 3. \times 10^{-10}$ s if the decays are kinematically allowed.
- ²⁶ JODIDIO 86 corresponds to $F>9.9\times 10^9$ GeV for the family symmetry breaking scale with the parity-conserving effective Lagrangian $L_{\rm int}=(1/F)~\overline{\psi}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{e}\partial^{\mu}\phi_{\chi0}$.
- ²⁷ BALTRUSAITIS 85 search for light Goldstone boson(X^0) of broken U(1). CL = 95% limits are B($au o \mu^+ X^0$)/B($au o \mu^+ \nu \nu$) <0.125 and B($au o e^+ X^0$)/B($au o e^+ \nu \nu$) <0.04. Inferred limit for the symmetry breaking scale is m >3000 TeV.
- ²⁸ The primordial heavy neutrino must decay into ν and familon, f_A , early so that the red-shifted decay products are below critical density, see their table. In addition, $K \to \pi f_A$ and $\mu \to e f_A$ are unseen. Combining these excludes $m_{\rm heavy}\nu$ between 5×10^{-5} and 5×10^{-4} MeV (μ decay) and $m_{\rm heavy}\nu$ between 5×10^{-5} and 0.1 MeV (K-decay).

Majoron Searches in Neutrinoless Double β Decay

Limits are for the half-life of neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ decay with a Majoron emission. No experiment currently claims any such evidence. Only the best or comparable limits for each isotope are reported.

$t_{1/2}$	$2(10^{21} \text{ yr})$	CL%	ISOTOPE	TRANSITION	METHOD		DOCUMENT ID	
>7	200	90	¹²⁸ Te		CNTR	1	BERNATOW	92
• •	• We do not us	se th	_	g data for ave	erages, fits, limits,	etc.	• • •	
>	16-24	90	100 Mo	$0 \nu 1 \chi$	CUPID-Mo	2	AUGIER	24
>	120	90	⁸² Se	$0 \nu 1 \chi$	CUPID-0		AZZOLINI	23
>	640	90	76_{Ge}	$0 \nu 1 \chi$	GERDA		AGOSTINI	22
>4	300	90	136 Xe	$0 u 1 \chi$	EXO-200		AL-KHARUSI	21
>	4.4	90	100_{Mo}	$0 \nu 1 \chi$	NEMO-3		ARNOLD	19
>	37	90	⁸² Se	$0 u1\chi$	NEMO-3		ARNOLD	18
>	420	90	76 _{Ge}	$0 u1\chi$	GERDA		AGOSTINI	15A
>	400	90	100_{Mo}	$0 u1\chi$	NEMO-3	9	ARNOLD	15
>1	.200	90	136 Xe	$0 u1\chi$	EXO-200	10	ALBERT	14A
>2	2600	90	136 Xe	$0 u1\chi$	KamLAND-Zen	11	GANDO	12
>	16	90	¹³⁰ Te	$0 u1\chi$	NEMO-3		ARNOLD	11
>	1.9	90	⁹⁶ Zr	$2 \nu 1 \chi$	NEMO-3		ARGYRIADES	10
>	1.52	90	$^{150}\mathrm{Nd}$	$0 u1\chi$	NEMO-3	14	ARGYRIADES	09
>	27	90	100 Mo	$0 u 1 \chi$	NEMO-3	15	ARNOLD	06
>	15	90	⁸² Se	$0 u1\chi$	NEMO-3	16	ARNOLD	06
>	14	90	100 Mo	$0 u 1 \chi$	NEMO-3	17	ARNOLD	04
>	12	90	⁸² Se	$0 u 1 \chi$	NEMO-3	18	ARNOLD	04
>	2.2	90	$^{130}\mathrm{Te}$	$0 u 1 \chi$	Cryog. det.	19	ARNABOLDI	03
>	0.9	90	130 _{Te}	$0 \nu 2 \chi$	Cryog. det.	20	ARNABOLDI	03
>	8	90	^{116}Cd	$0 u 1 \chi$	CdWO ₄ scint.	21	DANEVICH	03
>	0.8	90	$^{116}\mathrm{Cd}$	$0 \nu 2 \chi$	CdWO ₄ scint.	22	DANEVICH	03
>	500	90	136_{Xe}	$0\nu1\chi$	Liquid Xe Scint.	23	BERNABEI	02 D
>	5.8	90	100_{Mo}	$0\nu1\chi$	ELEGANT V	24	FUSHIMI	02
>	0.32	90	100_{Mo}	$0\nu1\chi$	Liq. Ar ioniz.	25	ASHITKOV	01
>	0.0035	90	160 Gd	$0\nu1\chi$	¹⁶⁰ Gd ₂ SiO ₅ :Ce	26	DANEVICH	01
>	0.013	90	$^{160}\mathrm{Gd}$	$0\nu2\chi$	¹⁶⁰ Gd ₂ SiO ₅ :Ce		DANEVICH	01

>	2.3	90	⁸² Se	$0 u1\chi$	NEMO 2	²⁸ ARNOLD (00
>	0.31	90	⁹⁶ Zr	$0 \nu 1 \chi$	NEMO 2	²⁹ ARNOLD	00
>	0.63	90	⁸² Se	$0 u2\chi$	NEMO 2		00
>	0.063	90	^{96}Zr	$0 u2\chi$	NEMO 2		00
>	0.16	90	$^{100}\mathrm{Mo}$	$0 u2\chi$	NEMO 2	³⁰ ARNOLD (00
>	2.4		⁸² Se	$0 u1\chi$	NEMO 2	³¹ ARNOLD	98
>	7.2	90	136 Xe	$0 \nu 2 \chi$	TPC	32 LUESCHER	98
>	7.91	90	$^{76}\mathrm{Ge}$		SPEC	33 GUENTHER	96
>	17	90	76_{Ge}		CNTR	BECK 9	93

- 1 BERNATOWICZ 92 studied double- β decays of ^{128}Te and $^{130}\text{Te},$ and found the ratio $\tau(^{130}\text{Te})/\tau(^{128}\text{Te})=(3.52\pm0.11)\times10^{-4}$ in agreement with relatively stable theoretical predictions. The bound is based on the requirement that Majoron-emitting decay cannot be larger than the observed double-beta rate of ^{128}Te of $(7.7\pm0.4)\times10^{24}$ year. We calculated 90% CL limit as $(7.7-1.28\times0.4=7.2)\times10^{24}$.
- 2 AUGIER 24 make use of 1.47 kg·yr of 100 Mo exposure of the CUPID-Mo scintillating cryogenic calorimeter, operated at the Laboratoire Souterrain de Modane to place a range of limits on the single Majoron mode of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of 100 Mo. The range is due to the use of two different analysis strategies. Various limits on modes involving the emission of multiple Majorons are given too. The derived range of constraints on the Majoron-neutrino coupling constant is $g_{\nu\chi} < 4.0–8.5\times10^{-5}$, reflecting the variability of the used nuclear matrix elements and of the two data analysis strategies.
- 3 AZZOLINI 23 use 9.95 kg·yr of data, collected by the CUPID-0 experiment, to place a limit on the single Majoron mode of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of 82 Se. Various limits on modes involving the emission of multiple Majorons are given too. The resulting constraint on the Majoron-neutrino coupling constant is $g_{\nu\chi} < 1.8$ –4.4 \times 10 $^{-5}$. The range is due to the variability of the used nuclear matrix elements.
- 4 AGOSTINI 22 use 32.8 kg·yr of GERDA phase 2 data to derive a limit of $g_{\nu\chi} < 1.8$ –4.4 \times 10^{-5} on the neutrino-Majoron coupling. The range reflects the author's evaluation of the spread of nuclear matrix elements.
- 5 AL-KHARUSI 21 utilize the complete dataset of the EXO-200 experiment, corresponding to an exposure of 234 kg yr, to place a limit on the one Majoron mode of the neutrinoless double beta decay of 136 Xe. Several limits are reported, the one given here corresponds to a spectral index of 1, resulting in a limit of $g_{\nu\chi} < 0.4$ –0.9 \times 10 $^{-5}$ on the Majoronneutrino coupling constant. The range reflects the spread of the nuclear matrix elements.
- 6 ARNOLD 19 uses the NEMO-3 tracking calorimeter to determine limits for the Majoron emitting double beta decay, with spectral index n = 3. The limit corresponds to the range of the g_{ee} coupling of 0.013–0.035; depending on the nuclear matrix elements used
- ⁷ ARNOLD 18 use the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The limit corresponds to $\langle g_{ee} \rangle < 3.2-8.0 \times 10^{-5}$; the range corresponds to different nuclear matrix element calculations.
- 8 AGOSTINI 15A analyze a 20.3 kg yr of data set of the GERDA calorimeter to determine $g_{\nu\chi} < 3.4 8.7 \times 10^{-5}$ on the Majoron-neutrino coupling constant. The range reflects the spread of the nuclear matrix elements.
- 9 ARNOLD 15 use the NEMO-3 tracking calorimeter with 3.43 kg yr exposure to determine the limit on Majoron emission. The limit corresponds to $g_{\nu\chi} < 1.6 \text{--} 3.0 \times 10^{-4}$. The spread reflects different nuclear matrix elements. Supersedes ARNOLD 06.
- 10 ALBERT 14A utilize 100 kg yr of exposure of the EXO-200 tracking calorimeter to place a limit on the $g_{\nu\chi} < 0.8-1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ on the Majoron-neutrino coupling constant. The range reflects the spread of the nuclear matrix elements.

- 11 GANDO 12 use the KamLAND-Zen detector to obtain the limit on the $0
 u\chi$ decay with Majoron emission. It implies that the coupling constant $g_{\nu\gamma} < 0.8$ –1.6 \times 10⁻⁵ depending on the nuclear matrix elements used.
- 12 ARNOLD 11 use the NEMO-3 detector to obtain the reported limit on Majoron emission. It implies that the coupling constant $g_{\nu\chi} < 0.6$ – 1.6×10^{-4} depending on the nuclear matrix element used. Supercedes ARNABOLDI 03.
- 13 ARGYRIADES 10 use the NEMO-3 tracking detector and 96 Zr to derive the reported limit. No limit for the Majoron electron coupling is given.
- 14 ARGYRIADES 09 use 150 Nd data taken with the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The reported limit corresponds to $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle < 1.7 3.0 \times 10^{-4}$ using a range of nuclear matrix elements that include the effect of nuclear deformation. 15 ARNOLD 06 use 100 Mo data taken with the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The reported limit corresponds to $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle < (0.4 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$ using a range of matrix element calculations. Supposed the ARNOLD 15.
- lations. Superseded by ARNOLD 15.
- $^{16}\,\mathrm{NEMO}\text{--}3$ tracking calorimeter is used in ARNOLD 06 . Reported half-life limit for $^{82}\mathrm{Se}$ corresponds to $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle <$ (0.66–1.9)×10⁻⁴ using a range of matrix element calculations. Supersedes ARNOLD 04.
- 17 ARNOLD 04 use the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The limit corresponds to $\langle g_{
 u\chi}
 angle~<$ (0.5-0.9)10⁻⁴ using the matrix elements of SIMKOVIC 99, STOICA 01 and CIV-ITARESÉ 03. Superseded by ARNOLD 06.
- 18 ARNOLD 04 use the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The limit corresponds to $\langle g_{
 u\chi}
 angle \; <$ $(0.7-1.6)10^{-4}$ using the matrix elements of SIMKOVIC 99, STOICA 01 and CIV-
- ¹⁹ Supersedes ALESSANDRELLO 00. Array of TeO₂ crystals in high resolution cryogenic calorimeter. Some enriched in 130 Te. Derive $\langle g_{
 u\chi}
 angle~<~17$ –33 $imes~10^{-5}$ depending on
- 20 Supersedes ALESSANDRELLO 00. Cryogenic calorimeter search.
- 21 Limit for the $0
 u\chi$ decay with Majoron emission of 116 Cd using enriched CdWO $_4$ scintillators. $\langle g_{
 u Y}
 angle <$ 4.6–8.1 imes 10⁻⁵ depending on the matrix element. Supersedes DANEVICH 00.
- 22 Limit for the $0\nu2\chi$ decay of 116 Cd. Supersedes DANEVICH 00.
- 23 BERNABEI 02D obtain limit for 0 $u\chi$ decay with Majoron emission of 136 Xe using liquid Xe scintillation detector. They derive $\langle g_{
 u\chi}
 angle <$ 2.0–3.0 imes 10⁻⁵ with several nuclear matrix elements.
- ²⁴ Replaces TANAKA 93. FUSHIMI 02 derive half-life limit for the $0\nu\chi$ decay by means of tracking calorimeter ELEGANT V. Considering various matrix element calculations, a range of limits for the Majoron-neutrino coupling is given: $\langle {\it g}_{\nu\,\chi} \rangle$ <(6.3–360) \times 10⁻⁵.
- 25 ASHITKOV 01 result for 0 $u\chi$ of 100 Mo is less stringent than ARNOLD 00.
- 26 DANEVICH 01 obtain limit for the 0 $u\chi$ decay with Majoron emission of 160 Gd using Gd₂SiO₅:Ce crystal scintillators.
- 27 DANEVICH 01 obtain limit for the 0u 2 χ decay with 2 Majoron emission of 160 Gd.
- 28 ARNOLD 00 reports limit for the 0 $u\chi$ decay with Majoron emission derived from tracking calorimeter NEMO 2. Using 82 Se source: $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle < 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$. Matrix element from
- GUENTHER 96. 29 Using $^{96}{\rm Zr}$ source: $\langle {\it g}_{\nu\chi} \rangle <$ 2.6 \times 10 $^{-4}$. Matrix element from ARNOLD 99.
- 30 ARNOLD 00 reports limit for the $0 \nu 2 \chi$ decay with two Majoron emission derived from tracking calorimeter NEMO 2.
- 31 ARNOLD 98 determine the limit for $0
 u_{\chi}$ decay with Majoron emission of 82 Se using the NEMO-2 tracking detector. They derive $\langle g_{\nu_{\nu}} \rangle <$ 2.3–4.3 \times 10⁻⁴ with several nuclear matrix elements.

Invisible A^0 (Axion) MASS LIMITS from Astrophysics and Cosmology $v_1 = v_2$ is usually assumed (v_i = vacuum expectation values). For a review of these limits, see RAFFELT 91 and TURNER 90. In the comment lines below, D and K refer to DFSZ and KSVZ axion types, discussed in the above minireview. DOCUMENT ID

VALUE (eV)	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID	tile at	TECN_	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	followin	g data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
< 0.16	95	¹ BIANCHINI	24	COSM	Hot dark matter
		² CHENG	23	ASTR	
$> 3.2 \times 10^{-19}$	95	³ DELLA-MON	. 23	ASTR	Ultralight DM soliton
<1.41	00			CNTD	halo core
<141	90	⁴ DERBIN ⁵ NOTARI	23	CNTR	· ·
< 0.24	95	6 ROGERS	23		K, Hot dark matter
none $10^{-24} - 5 \times 10^{-23}$	95	7 SMARRA	23		Ultra-light axion DM
none 10 - : -5 × 10 - 5	95		23	EPTA	Ultralight DM mass limit
		⁸ XIA	23	ASTR	
		⁹ LAGUE	22	COSM	Ultralight axion DM
none $0.15 - 1.5 \times 10^{-12}$	95	¹⁰ YUAN	22A	ASTR	BH superradiance
$> 1.4 \times 10^{-21}$	95	¹¹ BANIK	21	ASTR	Fuzzy DM
$< 1.9 \times 10^4$		12 BAUMHOLZ	21	COSM	warm dark matter
		¹³ CROON	21	ASTR	SN 1987A, axion-muon coupling
		¹⁴ FUJIKURA	21	ASTR	
		¹⁵ MARTINCAM.	.21	ASTR	•
none $1.3-2.7 \times 10^{-13}$		$^{16}\mathrm{NG}$	21	ASTR	BH superradiance
$> 2 \times 10^{-20}$	95	¹⁷ ROGERS	21	COSM	<u>-</u>
none $0.8-6.5 \times 10^{-13}$	95	¹⁸ TSUKADA	21	ASTR	BH superradiance
$>$ 2 \times 10 ⁻¹⁷		¹⁹ IRSIC	20	COSM	Isocurvature fluctua- tions
		²⁰ PODDAR	20	ASTR	Compact binary systems
$> 2.1 \times 10^{-21}$		²¹ SCHUTZ	20	COSM	Fuzzy DM
none $6.4-8.0 \times 10^{-13}$	95	²² SUN	20	ASTR	_
none $2.9-4.6 \times 10^{-21}$		²³ DAVOUDIASL	19	ASTR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
none 10^{-21} -6 × 10^{-20}		²⁴ MARSH	19	ASTR	
none $1.1 - 4 \times 10^{-13}$	95	²⁵ PALOMBA	19	ASTR	BH superradiance
< 0.06		²⁶ CHANG	18	ASTR	K, SN 1987A
		²⁷ PORAYKO	18	PPTA	Fuzzy DM
< 0.67	95	²⁸ ARCHIDIACO.	13A	COSM	K, hot dark matter
none $0.73 imes 10^5$		²⁹ CADAMURO	11	COSM	D abundance
<105	90	30 DERBIN			D, solar axion
		31 ANDRIAMON.	10	CAST	K, solar axions
< 0.72	95	³² HANNESTAD	10	COSM	K, hot dark matter
		³³ ANDRIAMON.	.09		K, solar axions
<191	90	34 DERBIN	09A		K, solar axions
<334	95	35 KEKEZ	09		K, solar axions
< 1.02	95	³⁶ HANNESTAD	80	COSM	K, hot dark matter

Page 24

Created: 12/2/2025 14:24

https://pdg.lbl.gov

 $^{^{32}}$ LUESCHER 98 report a limit for the 0ν decay with Majoron emission of 136 Xe using Xe TPC. This result is more stringent than BARABASH 89. Using the matrix elements of ENGEL 88, they obtain a limit on $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle$ of 2.0×10^{-4} .

 $^{^{33}}$ See Table 1 in GUENTHER 96 for limits on the Majoron coupling in different models.

< 1.2 < 0.42 < 1.05 3 < 0.007 < 4 <(0.5-6) × < 0.018 < 0.010	to 20 : 10 ⁻³	95 95 95	38 39 40 41 42 43 44	HANNESTAD MELCHIORRI HANNESTAD MOROI BORISOV KACHELRIESS KEIL RAFFELT ALTHERR	98 97	COSM COSM	K, hot dark matter K, hot dark matter K, hot dark matter K, hot dark matter D, neutron star D, neutron star cooling SN 1987A D, red giant D, red giants, white
< 0.01 < 0.03 none 3–8			47	CHANG WANG WANG BERSHADY	93 92 92c 91	ASTR ASTR ASTR ASTR	dwarfs K, SN 1987A D, white dwarf D, C-O burning D, K, intergalactic light
< 10				KIM	91 C	COSM	D, K, mass density of the universe, super- symmetry
			49	RAFFELT	91 B	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
< 1	$\times 10^{-3}$		50	RESSELL	91	ASTR	K, intergalactic light
none 10^{-3}	-3			BURROWS	90	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
			51	ENGEL	90	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
< 0.02			52	RAFFELT	90D	ASTR	D, red giant
	$\times 10^{-3}$		53	BURROWS	89	ASTR	
<(1.4–10)			54	ERICSON	89		D,K, SN 1987A
	× 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10			MAYLE	89		D,K, SN 1987A
< 12	× 10			CHANDA	88	ASTR	
	$\times 10^{-3}$						D, Sun
< 1	× 10 °			RAFFELT RAFFELT	88	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
. 0.07					88B	ASTR	red giant
< 0.07				FRIEMAN RAFFELT	87	ASTR	D, red giant
< 0.7					87	ASTR	K, red giant
< 2-5				TURNER	87		K, thermal production
< 0.01				DEARBORN	86	ASTR	D, red giant
< 0.06				RAFFELT RAFFELT	86	ASTR	D, red giant
< 0.7					86	ASTR	K, red giant
< 0.03				RAFFELT		ASTR	D, white dwarf
< 1	00			KAPLAN			K, red giant
< 0.003–0.				IWAMOTO	84		D, K, neutron star
	× 10 ⁻⁵			ABBOTT	83		D,K, mass density of the universe
	\times 10 ⁻⁵			DINE ELLIS	83 83B	ASTR	D,K, mass density of the universe
	\times 10 ⁻⁵			PRESKILL			D, red giant
	X 10				83		D,K, mass density of the universe
< 0.1				BARROSO	82	ASTR	D, red giant
< 1				FUKUGITA	82	ASTR	D, stellar cooling
< 0.07				FUKUGITA	82 B	ASTR	D, red giant

¹ BIANCHINI 24 report a new limit on the axion mass, testing for the existence of a thermally produced hot dark matter population using current cosmic microwave background and baryon acoustic oscillation data. This analysis presents a stronger limit than previous bounds, e.g. NOTARI 23.

- 2 CHENG 23 employ an improved approximation of the boson cloud eigenfrequency to calculate the superradiance rate. They find that sensitivity depends on initial spin distribution and the merger timescale, and identify two preferred ranges for boson mass centered at 1.78×10^{-12} and 7.94×10^{-13} eV
- centered at 1.78×10^{-12} and 7.94×10^{-13} eV. 3 DELLA-MONICA 23 consider the solitonic core implied by ultralight scalar dark matter in the centre of the Milky Way and the effect its presence would have on the precisely tracked orbits of the stars orbiting our galaxy's central supermassive black hole, Sagittarius A*.
- ⁴ DERBIN 23 employ a thulium garnet crystal bolometer to search for the 8.4 keV solar axion line emitted from the M1 nuclear transition of thulium-169, ¹⁶⁹Tm. Mass bound applies to KSVZ axions, value for DFSZ is 244 eV.
- ⁵ NOTARI 23 improved the evaluation of axion production from pion scatterings by using pion-pion scattering data and incorporating the momentum dependence of the Boltzmann equation. The limit is based on the Planck 2018, BAO, and Pantheon SN Ia data.
- 6 ROGERS 23 use the CMB and BOSS galaxy-clustering data to set limits on the abundance of ultralight axion DM. They obtained $\Omega_{A^0} < 0.002$ for $m_{A^0} = 10^{-30} 10^{-28}$ eV and set upper limits ranging from 0.002 to 0.07 for $m_{A^0} = 10^{-32} 10^{-25}$ eV. See their Fig. 22 for mass-dependent limits.
- 7 SMARRA 23 is the European Pulsar Timing Array's constraint on the contribution of ultralight DM to the DM density in our local galactic neighbourhood. Ultralight DM cannot saturate the known DM density of 0.3 GeV/cc for masses inside this mass interval of 10^{-24} –5 \times 10^{-23} eV.
- 8 XIA 23 is analogous to PORAYKO 18 and use the Fermi-LAT pulsar timing array. They set a bound on the local density as $\rho_{A^0} \lesssim 8~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ for $m_{A^0} \lesssim 10^{-23}~{\rm eV}$ at 95% CL, with weaker constraints up to $10^{-22}~{\rm eV}$. See their Fig. 1 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 9 LAGUE 22 used the BOSS galaxy-clustering data to set limits on the abundance of ultralight axion dark matter. When combined with the CMB data, they obtained $\Omega_{A^0} h^2 < 0.004$ for $m_{A^0} = 10^{-31}$ – 10^{-26} eV. See their Figs. 1 and 15 for mass-dependent limits.
- 10 YUAN 22A use the data of Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo's first three observing runs to search for stochastic GW background produced by scalar bosonic clouds formed by the BH superradiant instability. They set the limit, taking into account all the unstable modes
- 11 BANIK 21 use the subhalo mass function inferred from the analyses of the GD-1 and Pal 5 stellar streams. The limit is strengthened to 2.2×10^{-21} eV when adding dwarf satellite counts.
- 12 BAUMHOLZER 21 study the freeze-in production of axion dark matter through couplings to photons, and set the limit using Lyman- α forest data and the observed number of Milky Way subhalos.
- 13 CROON 21 study the supernova cooling effect of the axion-muon coupling, taking account of semi-Compton scattering and muon-proton bremsstrahlung, as well as the loop-induced axion-photon coupling, and exclude the range of $g_{A\mu\mu} \simeq 7\times 10^{-3}$ – 2×10^{-10} for $m_{A0} < 0.5$ GeV. See their Fig. 8 for mass-dependent limits.
- 14 FUJIKURA 21 use the EROS-2 survey and the Subaru HSC observation to set limits on spherically symmetric axion clumps, taking account of the finite lens and source size effects. $f_{A^0} \gtrsim 10^{12}$ GeV can be constrained depending on the fraction of the axion dark matter collapsed into clumps, and the clump densities. See their Figs. 7–10 for the limits
- ¹⁵ MARTINCAMALICH 21 considered axion emission from a supernova core through the Λ hyperon decay, and set the limit on B($\Lambda \to nA^0$) $\lesssim 8 \times 10^{-9}$, or equivalently, $f_{A^0}/C_{sd} \gtrsim 2.6 \times 10^9$ GeV in terms of the flavor-violating axion coupling to the down and strange quarks.

- ¹⁶ NG 21 use the binary black holes reported by LIGO and Virgo to determine the black hole spin distribution at formation and the scalar boson mass simultaneously, neglecting the boson self-interaction.
- 17 ROGERS 21 set the limit by using a framework involving Bayesian emulator optimization to accurately forward-model the Lyman- α flux power spectrum, and comparing this with small-scale data to constrain the predicted suppression of cosmic structure growth.
- ¹⁸ TSUKADA 21 look for a stochastic GW background produced by extragalactic BH-hidden photon cloud systems through the superradiant instability. They assume a uniform spin distribution at birth of isolated BHs from 0 to 1.
- 19 IRSIC 20 used the Lyman- α forest constraint on small-scale isocurvature perturbation to derive limits on the axion mass and decay constant, assuming that the axion makes up all dark matter in the post-inflationary scenario. See their Fig. 1 for other astrophysical limits as well as the limits on the case of the temperature-dependent axion mass.
- 20 PODDAR 20 used the observed decay in orbital period of four compact binary systems to derive a limit on the emission of axions with $m_{A^0} < 1 \times 10^{-19}$ eV, assuming they couple to nucleons and the strong $\it CP$ phase vanishes at the potential minimum. They exclude $f_{\Delta^0} \lesssim 10^{11}$ GeV for such axions.
- ²¹ SCHUTZ 20 set a limit on fuzzy dark matter based on the existing limits for warm dark matter derived from the inferred subhalo mass function.
- SUN 20 look for quasimonochromatic gravitational waves emitted from boson clouds around the Cygnus X-1 black hole. The quoted limit assume the black hole age of 5×10^6 years. A mass range of $9.6-15.5 \times 10^{-13}$ eV is disfavored when repeated induction of bosenova for string axions with decay constant $f_{A^0} \simeq 10^{15}$ GeV prevents the superradiance from being saturated.
- ²³ DAVOUDIASL 19 used the observed data of M87* by the Event Horizon Telescope to set the limit. A mass range of $0.85-4.6\times10^{-21}$ eV is disfavored for a spin-1 boson.
- ²⁴ MARSH 19 considered heating of star clusters due to the stochastic oscillations of the core and granular quasiparticles in the outer halo. The limit was derived by requiring the survival of the old star cluster in Eridanus II, where the lower end is set by the validity of diffusion approximation. The effect of tidal stripping is also discussed for lower masses.
- 25 PALOMBA 19 used the LIGO O2 dataset to derive limits on nearly monochromatic gravitational waves emitted by boson clouds formed around a stellar-mass black hole. They exclude boson masses in a range of 1.1×10^{-13} and 4×10^{-13} eV for high initial black hole spin, and 1.2×10^{-13} and 1.8×10^{-13} eV for moderate spin. See their Figs. 2 and 3 for limits based on various values of black hole initial spin, boson cloud age, and distance.
- 26 CHANG 18 update axion bremsstrahlung emission rates in nucleon-nucleon collisions, shifting the excluded mass range to higher values. They rule out the hadronic axion with mass up to a few hundred eV, closing the hadronic axion window. See their Fig. 11 for results based on several different choices of the temperature and density profile of the proto-neutron star.
- 27 PORAYKO 18 look for time-dependent oscillations in the gravitational potential generated by ultralight scalar dark matter, and set a bound on its local density as $\rho_{A^0} \lesssim 6$ GeV/cm 3 for $m_{A^0} \lesssim 10^{-23}$ eV at 95% CL. See their Fig. 4 for the limits.
- ARCHIDIACONO 13A is analogous to HANNESTAD 05A. The limit is based on the CMB temperature power spectrum of the Planck data, the CMB polarization from the WMAP 9-yr data, the matter power spectrum from SDSS-DR7, and the local Hubble parameter measurement by the Carnegie Hubble program.
- 29 CADAMURO 11 use the deuterium abundance to show that the m_{A^0} range 0.7 eV 300 keV is excluded for axions, complementing HANNESTAD 10.
- 30 DERBIN 11A look for solar axions produced by Compton and bremsstrahlung processes, in the resonant excitation of 169 Tm, constraining the axion-electron \times axion nucleon couplings.

- ³¹ ANDRIAMONJE 10 search for solar axions produced from ⁷Li (478 keV) and D(p,γ)³He (5.5 MeV) nuclear transitions. They show limits on the axion-photon coupling for two reference values of the axion-nucleon coupling for $m_A < 100$ eV.
- 32 This is an update of HANNESTAD 08 including 7 years of WMAP data.
- ³³ ANDRIAMONJE 09 look for solar axions produced from the thermally excited 14.4 keV level of ⁵⁷ Fe. They show limits on the axion-nucleon \times axion-photon coupling assuming $m_A < 0.03$ eV.
- ³⁴ DERBIN 09A look for Primakoff-produced solar axions in the resonant excitation of ¹⁶⁹Tm, constraining the axion-photon × axion-nucleon couplings.
- ³⁵ KEKEZ 09 look at axio-electric effect of solar axions in HPGe detectors. The one-loop axion-electron coupling for hadronic axions is used.
- $^{36}\,\mathrm{This}$ is an update of HANNESTAD 07 including 5 years of WMAP data.
- 37 This is an update of HANNESTAD 05A with new cosmological data, notably WMAP (3 years) and baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO). Lyman- α data are left out, in contrast to HANNESTAD 05A and MELCHIORRI 07A, because it is argued that systematic errors are large. It uses Bayesian statistics and marginalizes over a possible neutrino hot dark matter component.
- 38 MELCHIORRI 07A is analogous to HANNESTAD 05A, with updated cosmological data, notably WMAP (3 years). Uses Bayesian statistics and marginalizes over a possible neutrino hot dark matter component. Leaving out Lyman- α data, a conservative limit is $1.4~{\rm eV}$.
- 39 HANNESTAD 05A puts an upper limit on the mass of hadronic axion because in this mass range it would have been thermalized and contribute to the hot dark matter component of the universe. The limit is based on the CMB anisotropy from WMAP, SDSS large scale structure, Lyman α , and the prior Hubble parameter from HST Key Project. A χ^2 statistic is used. Neutrinos are assumed not to contribute to hot dark matter.
- 40 MOROI 98 points out that a KSVZ axion of this mass range (see CHANG 93) can be a viable hot dark matter of Universe, as long as the model-dependent $g_{A\gamma}$ is accidentally small enough as originally emphasized by KAPLAN 85; see Fig. 1.
- 41 BORISOV 97 bound is on the axion-electron coupling $g_{ae} < 1 \times 10^{-13}$ from the photoproduction of axions off of magnetic fields in the outer layers of neutron stars.
- 42 KACHELRIESS 97 bound is on the axion-electron coupling $g_{ae} < 1 \times 10^{-10}$ from the production of axions in strongly magnetized neutron stars. The authors also quote a stronger limit, $g_{ae} < 9 \times 10^{-13}$ which is strongly dependent on the strength of the magnetic field in white dwarfs.
- 43 KEIL 97 uses new measurements of the axial-vector coupling strength of nucleons, as well as a reanalysis of many-body effects and pion-emission processes in the core of the neutron star, to update limits on the invisible-axion mass.
- 44 RAFFELT 95 reexamined the constraints on axion emission from red giants due to the axion-electron coupling. They improve on DEARBORN 86 by taking into proper account degeneracy effects in the bremsstrahlung rate. The limit comes from requiring the red giant core mass at helium ignition not to exceed its standard value by more than 5% (0.025 solar masses).
- ⁴⁵ ALTHERR 94 bound is on the axion-electron coupling $g_{ae} < 1.5 \times 10^{-13}$, from energy loss via axion emission.
- 46 CHANG 93 updates ENGEL 90 bound with the Kaplan-Manohar ambiguity in $z=m_{_{\scriptstyle U}}/m_{_{\scriptstyle d}}$ (see the Note on the Quark Masses in the Quark Particle Listings). It leaves the window $f_{\cal A}{=}3\times10^5{-}3\times10^6$ GeV open. The constraint from Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis is satisfied in this window as well.
- 47 BERSHADY 91 searched for a line at wave length from 3100–8300 Å expected from 2γ decays of relic thermal axions in intergalactic light of three rich clusters of galaxies.
- ⁴⁸ KIM 91C argues that the bound from the mass density of the universe will change drastically for the supersymmetric models due to the entropy production of saxion (scalar component in the axionic chiral multiplet) decay. Note that it is an *upperbound* rather than a lowerbound.

- $^{
 m 49}$ RAFFELT 91B argue that previous SN 1987A bounds must be relaxed due to corrections to nucleon bremsstrahlung processes.
- $^{50}\,\mathrm{RESSELL}$ 91 uses absence of any intracluster line emission to set limit.
- 51 ENGEL 90 rule out $10^{-10} \lesssim g_{AN} \lesssim 10^{-3}$, which for a hadronic axion with EMC motivated axion-nucleon couplings corresponds to $2.5 \times 10^{-3} \, \mathrm{eV} \, \lesssim \, m_{\Delta 0} \, \lesssim \, 2.5 \, \times$ 10^4 eV. The constraint is loose in the middle of the range, i.e. for $g_{AN} \sim 10^{-6}$.
- ⁵² RAFFELT 90D is a re-analysis of DEARBORN 86.
- 53 The region $m_{A^0} \gtrsim 2$ eV is also allowed.
- ⁵⁴ ERICSON 89 considered various nuclear corrections to axion emission in a supernova core, and found a reduction of the previous limit (MAYLE 88) by a large factor.
- $^{55}\,\mathrm{MAYLE}$ 89 limit based on naive quark model couplings of axion to nucleons. Limit based on couplings motivated by EMC measurements is 2-4 times weaker. The limit from axion-electron coupling is weak: see HATSUDA 88B.
- 56 RAFFELT 88B derives a limit for the energy generation rate by exotic processes in heliumburning stars $\epsilon < 100 \ {\rm erg \ g^{-1} \ s^{-1}}$, which gives a firmer basis for the axion limits based on red giant cooling.
- 57 RAFFELT 87 also gives a limit $g_{A\gamma}~<~1 imes 10^{-10}~{
 m GeV}^{-1}$.
- ⁵⁸ DEARBORN 86 also gives a limit $g_{A\gamma} < 1.4 \times 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$.
- 59 RAFFELT 86 gives a limit $g_{A\gamma} < 1.1 \times 10^{-10}~{
 m GeV}^{-1}$ from red giants and $< 2.4 \times 10^{-9}$ ${\rm GeV}^{-1}$ from the sun. 60 KAPLAN 85 says $m_{A^0} <$ 23 eV is allowed for a special choice of model parameters.
- $^{61}\,\text{FUKUGITA}$ 82 gives a limit $g_{A\gamma}~<~2.3\times10^{-10}~\text{GeV}^{-1}.$

Search for Relic Invisible Axions

Limits are for the dimensionless quantity $[G_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_{A^0}]^2
ho_A$ where $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ denotes the axion two-photon coupling, $L_{\rm int}=-\frac{G_{A\gamma\gamma}}{4}\phi_A F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}=G_{A\gamma\gamma}\phi_A {\bf E}\cdot {\bf B}$, and ρ_A is the axion energy density near the earth, unless otherwise stated. Notice that for QCD axions ${\it G_{A\gamma\gamma}}/{\it m_{A^0}}$ does not depend on ${\it m_{A^0}}.$ For the reference values ${\it m_{A^0}}=1~\mu{\rm eV}$, $G_{A\gamma\gamma}=3.9 imes10^{-16}~{
m GeV}^{-1}$ (that would apply to KSVZ axions at that mass), and $\rho_A = 300 \text{ MeV/cm}^3 \text{ one finds } [G_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_{A0}]^2 \rho_A = 3.5 \times 10^{-43}.$

VALUE _____CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

```
<1.0 \times 10^{-44} 90
                                      <sup>1</sup> AHN
                                                                       CAPP m_{\Delta0}=4.24–4.91~\mu \mathrm{eV}
                                                               24 CAPP m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 21.38-21.79~\mu eV
24 ASTR m_{A^0} < 1 \times 10^{-20}~eV
< 7.3 \times 10^{-41} 95
                                      <sup>2</sup> BAE
< 1.0 \times 10^{-3}
                                      <sup>3</sup> DAVYDOV
                                                               24 LIDA m_{\Delta 0} = 1.97-2.01 \text{ neV}
< 1.7 \times 10^{-26}
                                      <sup>4</sup> HEINZE
                                                               24 CAPP m_{A0} = 21.86-22 \ \mu eV
< 3.5 \times 10^{-43}
                                      <sup>5</sup> KIM
                                      <sup>6</sup> PANDEY
< 3.3 \times 10^{-25} 95
                                                               24 ADBC m_{A0} = 40.9-43.3, 49.3-50.6,
                                                                \begin{array}{ccc} & & & & \\ & 54.4 - 56.7 \text{ neV} \\ 24 & \text{ORGN} & m_{A^0} = 107.42 - 111.93 \ \mu\text{eV} \end{array} 
<4.6 \times 10^{-39} 95
                                      <sup>7</sup> QUISKAMP
< 2 \times 10^{-41} 90
                                      <sup>8</sup> RETTAROLI
                                                               24 QUAX m_{A0} = 36.52 - 36.55 \ \mu eV
< 1.3 \times 10^{-3}
                                      <sup>9</sup> ADACHI
                                                               23D CMB m_{A0} = 0.096 - 2.2 \times 10^{-20} \text{ eV}
                                                                       QUAX m_{A0}^{\gamma} = 42.8178-42.8190 \ \mu eV
< 7.5 \times 10^{-43}
                                    <sup>10</sup> DI-VORA
< 2.3 \times 10^{-42}
                                    <sup>11</sup> JEWELL
                                                                       HYST m_{A^0} = 18.44 - 18.71 \ \mu eV
                                                                       HYST m_{A^0} = 16.96-17.12,
< 2.0 \times 10^{-42} 90
                                    <sup>12</sup> JEWELL
                                                                                      17.14–17.28 μeV
```

$< 2.5 \times 10^{-42}$	90	¹³ KIM	23	CAPP	$m_{A^0} = 9.39 – 9.51~\mu eV$
$<3.0 \times 10^{-4}$	95	¹⁴ OSHIMA	23	DANC	$m_{A0} = 4.1 \times 10^{-16} - 2.0 \times$
					10^{-12} eV
$< 2.56 \times 10^{-24}$	95	¹⁵ THOMSON	23	UPLD	$m_{A^0} = 1.12 - 1.20 \ \mu eV$
$< 6.09 \times 10^{-43}$	90	¹⁶ YANG	23	CAPP	$m_{\Delta^0} = 19.883 – 19.926 \ \mu eV$
$< 6.6 \times 10^{-44}$	90	¹⁷ YI	23	CAPP	$m_{A^0} = 4.51 - 4.59 \ \mu eV$
$< 2.6 \times 10^{-44}$	90	¹⁸ YI	23A	CAPP	$m_{\Delta 0} = 4.51 - 4.59 \; \mu \text{eV}$
$< 4.7 \times 10^{-5}$	95	¹⁹ ADE	22	CMB	$m_{A^0} = 0.16 - 4.8 \times 10^{-20} \text{ eV}$
$< 1.0 \times 10^{-41}$	90	²⁰ ALESINI	22	QUAX	$m_{A0}^{7} = 42.8210 - 42.8223 \ \mu eV$
$< 7 \times 10^{-33}$	95	²¹ BATTYE	22	ASTR	$m_{A0}^{7} = 4.2-60 \ \mu eV$
$< 5.8 \times 10^{-41}$	95	²² CHANG	22	TASE	$m_{\Delta^0} = 19.4687 - 19.8436 \ \mu \text{eV}$
$< 3.2 \times 10^{-6}$	95	²³ FERGUSON	22	CMB	$m_{\Delta^0} = 0.047 - 4.7 \times 10^{-20} \text{ eV}$
$< 8.4 \times 10^{-43}$	90	²⁴ LEE	22	CAPP	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 19.764 - 19.890 \ \mu \text{eV}$
$< 4.9 \times 10^{-39}$	95	²⁵ QUISKAMP	22	ORGN	$m_{A^0} = 63.2 - 67.1 \ \mu eV$
$< 3.6 \times 10^{-43}$	90	²⁶ YOON	22	CAPP	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 19.764 - 19.890 \ \mu eV$
$< 1.03 \times 10^{-35}$	95	²⁷ ZHOU	22	ASTR	$m_{A0}^{A0} = 3.18 - 4.35 \ \mu eV$
$< 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	95	²⁸ ADE	21	СМВ	$m_{A^0} = 0.16 - 4.8 \times 10^{-20} \text{ eV}$
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-41}$	90	²⁹ ALESINI	21		$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 43 \ \mu \text{eV}$
$< 1 \times 10^{-44}$	90	³⁰ BARTRAM	21A		$m_{A^0} = 3.3-4.2 \ \mu \text{eV}$
$< 1.6 \times 10^{-29}$	95	³¹ DEVLIN	21	TRAP	$m_{A0}^{0} = 2.7906 - 2.7914 \text{ neV}$
$<1.4 \times 10^{-23}$	95	³² GRAMOLIN	21	SHFT	$m_{A0} = 0.012-12 \text{ neV}$
$<7 \times 10^{-43}$	90	33 KWON	21	CAPP	$m_{\Delta 0} = 10.7126 - 10.7186 \ \mu \text{eV}$
$<4.6 \times 10^{-40}$	95	³⁴ MELCON	21	RADE	$m_{A^0} = 34.6738 - 34.6771 \ \mu eV$
$< 3.5 \times 10^{-28}$	95	³⁵ SALEMI	21	ABRA	$m_{\Delta 0} = 0.41 - 8.27 \text{ neV}$
$<3 \times 10^{-3}$	95	³⁶ THOMSON	21		$m_{\Delta 0} = 7.44 - 19.38 \text{ neV}$
<1 $\times 10^{-2}$	95	³⁶ THOMSON	21		$m_{A0} = 74.4-74.5 \ \mu \text{eV}$
<1 × 10	33	37 YUAN	21	ASTR	$m_{A0} = 10^{-20} - 10^{-17} \text{ eV}$
$< 1.9 \times 10^{-44}$	90	38 BRAINE	20		$m_{A0} = 10^{-10} \text{ GeV}$ $m_{A0} = 2.81 - 3.31 \ \mu\text{eV}$
$\begin{array}{ccc} <1.5 & \times 10 \\ <2 & \times 10^{-35} \end{array}$	90	³⁹ CRISOSTO	20	SLIC	$m_{A0} = 2.01 3.31 \mu \text{eV}$ $m_{A0} = 180.07 - 180.15 \text{neV}$
<4 $\times 10^{-37}$	95	40 DARLING	20A	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 100.07 \cdot 100.13 \text{ NeV}$ $m_{A^0} = 4.2 - 165.6 \ \mu\text{eV}$
$<3.2 \times 10^{-36}$	95 95	⁴¹ FOSTER	20	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 4.2 \text{ 105.0 } \mu\text{eV}$ $m_{A^0} = 57, 1011\mu\text{eV}$
$< 5.7 \times 10^{-41}$	90	⁴² JEONG	20	CAPP	
<5.7 × 10	30	43 KENNEDY	20	C/ (i i	$m_{A0} = 13.0 \text{13.5 } \text{peV}$ $m_{S0} = 10^{-19} \text{-} 10^{-17} \text{eV}$
$< 4.8 \times 10^{-42}$	90	44 LEE	20A	CAPP	$m_{S^0} = 10^{-10}$ eV $m_{A^0} = 6.62 - 6.82 \ \mu \text{eV}$
$< 2.6 \times 10^{-39}$	95	⁴⁵ ALESINI	19		, ,
$< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	46 FUJITA	19		$m_{A^0} = 37.5 \ \mu \text{eV}$
$<0 \times 10^{-27}$	95	47 OUELLET			$m_{A^0} < 10^{-21} \text{ eV}$
		48 BOUTAN	19A		$m_{A0} = 0.31 - 8.3 \text{ neV}$
$< 7.3 \times 10^{-40}$	90	48 BOUTAN	18		$m_{A^0} = 17.38 - 17.57 \ \mu eV$
$<1.8 \times 10^{-39}$ $<3.4 \times 10^{-39}$	90	48 BOUTAN	18		$m_{A^0} = 21.03 - 23.98 \ \mu eV$
	90	49 DU	18		$m_{A^0} = 29.67 - 29.79 \ \mu eV$
$<1.4 \times 10^{-44}$	90		18		$m_{A^0} = 2.66 - 2.81 \ \mu eV$
$< 2.87 \times 10^{-42}$	90	50 ZHONG	18		$m_{A^0} = 23.15 - 24 \ \mu eV$
		⁵¹ BRANCA	17	AUKG	$m_{S^0} = 3.5 - 3.9 \text{ peV}$

<3	$\times 10^{-42}$	90	⁵² BRUBAKER	17	HYST	$m_{\Delta^0} = 23.55 – 24.0 \ \mu eV$
<1.0	$\times 10^{-29}$	95	⁵³ CHOI	17		$m_{A0}^{7} = 24.7 - 29.1 \ \mu eV$
< 5.9	$\times 10^{-36}$	90	⁵⁴ MCALLISTER	17		at $m_{\Delta 0} = 110~\mu \mathrm{eV}$
<8.6	$\times 10^{-42}$	90	⁵⁵ HOSKINS	16		$m_{A^0} = 3.36 - 3.52$ or
						$3.55-3.69~\mu \text{eV}$
			⁵⁶ BECK	13		$m_{A^0} = 0.11 \text{ meV}$
< 3.5	$\times 10^{-43}$		⁵⁷ HOSKINS	11		$m_{A^0} = 3.3 - 3.69 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
< 2.9	$\times 10^{-43}$	90	⁵⁸ ASZTALOS	10		$m_{A^0} = 3.34 - 3.53 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
<1.9	$\times 10^{-43}$	97.7	⁵⁹ DUFFY	06		$m_{A^0} = 1.98 - 2.17 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
< 5.5	$\times 10^{-43}$	90	⁶⁰ ASZTALOS	04		$m_{A0}^{7} = 1.9 - 3.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
			⁶¹ KIM	98	THEO	,,
<2	\times 10 ⁻⁴¹		⁶² HAGMANN	90	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = (5.4-5.9)10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
< 6.3	$\times 10^{-42}$	95	⁶³ WUENSCH	89	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = (4.5-10.2)10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
< 5.4	\times 10 ⁻⁴¹	95	⁶³ WUENSCH	89		$m_{A^0} = (11.3 - 16.3)10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
						/ 1

¹ AHN 24 is from the CAPP-MAX experiment with sensitivity to DFSZ axions. See Fig. 22 for mass-dependent limits.

 5 KIM 24 report results from the CAPP-12T experiment searching for dark matter axions around 22 μ eV down to the KSVZ level. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.

² BAE 24 search for dark matter axions using a microwave cavity with a tunable TM020 mode, scanning around 5 GHz. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.

³ DAVYDOV 24 constrain ultralight axion-like particle dark matter via the oscillating bire-fringence effect induced by the local ALP field. Their constraint is based on polarimetric measurements of the HL Tauri protoplanetary disk. Their constraint is $g_{a\gamma}$ < $2.111 \times 10^{-12} \text{ GeV}^{-1}(m_a/10^{-22}) \text{ eV}$.

⁴ HEINZE 24 search for ALP dark matter using the LIDA experiment - a laser interferometric haloscope that can detect the axion-induced polarisation rotation of light. Quoted limit assumes a dark matter density of 0.4 GeV/cm³. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.

⁶ PANDEY 24 report first search from the ADBC haloscope - an optical bow-tie laser cavity searching for the oscillating birefringence effect due to axion dark matter coupled to the photon. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.

⁷QUISKAMP 24 present results from Phase 1b of the ORGAN experiment, a high-frequency resonant axion haloscope in the 15–50 GHz range. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.

⁸ RETTAROLI 24 report results from the QUAX resonant cavity haloscope at 8.8 GHz. This extends their previous search around this mass range reported in ALESINI 19. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.

⁹ ADACHI 23D is analogous to ADE 21. They used POLARBEAR data, and take account of a stochastic local axion field amplitude with the time-averaged local axion density $\rho_A = 0.3 \text{ GeV/cm}^3$. Limits are set at $G_{A\gamma\gamma} < 2.4 \times 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1} \ (m_{A^0}/10^{-21} \text{ eV})$, which is 2.2 times larger than the deterministic case. See Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.

¹⁰ DI-VORA 23 searches for axions in a narrow mass window using an 8T haloscope and a travelling wave parametric amplifier to achieve noise close to the quantum limit. This is an improvement on their previous scan at the same mass, ALESINI 21. See Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits and a comparison.

¹¹ JEWELL 23 is an update of BRUBAKER 17. See their Fig. 11 for the mass-dependent limits.

¹² JEWELL 23 correct an underestimation of intermediate frequency noise in BACKES 21. See their Fig. 11 for the mass-dependent limits.

- 13 KIM 23 is an update of KWON 21 on the CAPP-PACE experiment. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁴ OSHIMA 23 report first limits from the DANCE experiment. This experiment is based on a novel bow-tie cavity design that searches for the oscillating rotation of polarised laser light driven by the DM axion-photon mixing at low frequencies. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁵THOMSON 23 used an AC microwave cavity to search for dark matter axions. The axion signal is resonantly enhanced when the axion mass matches the difference between a cavity which is pumped with power and another resonant mode close in frequency that is used to read out the signal. See their Fig. 7 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 16 YANG 23 extends the first phase of CAPP 18T to KSVZ axions between 4.8077 and 4.8181 GHz. They used an 18T high-temperature superconducting magnet haloscope. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits. Quoted value is for their limit derived with a Bayesian method.
- ¹⁷ YI 23 is analogous to LEE 20A, using the CAPP-12TB haloscope. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- $^{18}\,\mathrm{YI}$ 23A used the same data as YI 23, but instead of the standard halo model, they searched for axion dark matter in the Sagittarius tidal stream with a velocity v=300 km/sec and a velocity dispersion $\delta v=20$ km/sec. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 19 ADE 22 is an update of ADE 21 based on the expanded data of the 2012–2015 observing seasons. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits over the extended mass range 1×10^{-23} –6 $\times\,10^{-19}$ eV.
- ²⁰ ALESINI 22 is an update of ALESINI 21, using the TM030 mode of the cylindrical dielectric cavity. See their Fig. 8 for mass-dependent limits.
- 21 BATTYE 22 is analogous to DARLING 20A, and use plasma ray tracing technique to analyze the propagation of radio photons converted from axion dark matter in the magnetosphere of PSR J1745-2900. The quoted limit assumes $\rho_A=6.5\times 10^4~\text{GeV/cm}^3$ in the vicinity of the magnetar. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- ²² CHANG 22 used a microwave cavity detector to search for dark matter axions. See Fig. 3 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 23 FERGUSON 22 is analogous to ADE 21. They use the data of the SPT-3G's 2019 observing season. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits over the extended mass range 0.047–9.5 \times 10 $^{-20}$ eV.
- 24 LEE 22 is analogous to LEE 20A. They used an 18T high-temperature superconducting magnet haloscope. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 25 QUISKAMP 22 is a 15.28 to 16.23 GHz microwave cavity haloscope with 11.5 T B-field. See Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ²⁶ YOON 22 analyzed the data from LEE 22 and changed from a frequentist to a Bayesian method to set limits. See their Fig. 27 for mass-dependent limits.
- 27 ZHOU 22 is analogous to DARLING 20A, and they use the data from the MeerKAT radio telescope's observation of the neutron star J0806.4-4123, which is 250 pc from Earth. See their Fig.3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 28 ADE 21 looks for a time-variable global rotation of the CMB polarization induced by the harmonic oscillations of local axion-like dark matter and uses data from the 2012 observing season of the Keck Array, part of the BICEP program. The limits get 25% weaker for $m_{A^0}=4.8\times 10^{-20}$ –5.7 $\times 10^{-19}$ eV. See their Eq. (80) and Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- ²⁹ ALESINI 21 is an update of ALESINI 19. See their Figs. 5 and 6 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 30 BARTRAM 21A is analogous to DU 18. See their Fig.4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ³¹ DEVLIN 21 use the superconducting resonant detection circuit of a cryogenic Penning trap with a single antiproton. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 32 GRAMOLIN 21 use two detection channels, each consisting of two stacked toroids to look for the axion-induced oscillating magnetic field. The quoted limit applies at $m_{A^0} = 0.02$ neV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.

- 33 KWON 21 is analogous to LEE 20A. They also obtain weaker limits in the range of m_{A^0} = 10.16–11.37 μ eV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 34 MELCON 21 use a radio frequency cavity consisting of 5 sub-cavities coupled by inductive irises installed inside the CAST dipole magnet to look for higher axion masses. See their Fig. 9 for mass-dependent limits.
- $^{35}\,\mathrm{SALEMI}$ 21 is an update of OUELLET 19A. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ³⁶ THOMSON 21 use a resonant cavity supporting two spatially overlapping microwave modes, which is sensitive to the axion mass corresponding to the sum or difference of the two resonant frequencies. The original limit was retracted due to a sign error. See their Fig. 2 in the erratum for the corrected limits.
- 37 YUAN 21 use polarimetric observations of Sgr A* taken by the Event Horizon Telescope to search for periodic oscillation of the polarization induced by axion dark matter, assuming a solitonic core near the Galactic center. They obtained limits in the range of $G_{A\gamma\gamma}=8\times 10^{-13}\text{--}3\times 10^{-11}~\text{GeV}^{-1}$.
- 38 BRAINE 20 is analogous to DU 18. See Fig. 4 for their mass-dependent limits.
- 39 CRISOSTO 20 used a resonant LC circuit to look for lighter axion dark matter. They obtained a similar, slightly weaker limit for $m_{A^0}=174.98$ –175.19 and 177.34–177.38 neV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 40 DARLING 20A use VLA data to look for radio-frequency radiation converted from axion dark matter in the magnetosphere of the Galactic Center magnetar PSR J1745-2900. They extended the results of DARLING 20, which used only data with the highest angular resolution, by adding sub-optimal data. They use $\rho_A=6.5\times 10^4~\text{GeV/cm}^3$ in the vicinity of the magnetar. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 41 FOSTER 20 look for radio-frequency radiation converted from axion dark matter in the magnetic field around neutron stars. They use the observed data of isolated local neutron stars and in the Galactic center. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0} \simeq 7~\mu \rm eV$. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁴² JEONG 20 is analogous to LEE 20A, and they use a double-cell cavity to look for axions with mass > 10 μ eV. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 43 KENNEDY 20 is analogous to BRANCA 17, and they compare the frequency ratios of the Si cavity measured by a Sr optical lattice clock and by a H maser. Assuming the local density of moduli dark matter, $\rho_S=0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$, they obtain a limit $G_{S\,\gamma\gamma}<5.8\times 10^{-24}~{\rm GeV^{-1}}$ at $m_{S^0}=2\times 10^{-19}~{\rm eV}.$ See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits as well as limits on the modulus coupling to electrons.
- 44 LEE 20A used a microwave cavity detector at the IBS/CAPP to search for dark matter axions. See Fig. 3 for the mass-dependent limits.
- ⁴⁵ ALESINI 19 used a superconducting resonant cavity made of NbTi to increase the quality factor. The limit applies to a mass range of 0.2 neV around $m_{\Delta0}=37.5~\mu \text{eV}$.
- ⁴⁶ FUJITA 19 look for photon birefringence under the oscillating axion background using the polarimetric imaging observation of a protoplanetary disk, AB Aur. See their Fig. 2 for a more conservative limit taking account of possible systematic effects.
- 47 OUELLET 19A look for the axion-induced oscillating magnetic field generated by a toroidal magnetic field. The quoted limit applies at $m_{A^0}=8$ neV. See their Fig. 3 for the mass-dependent limits.
- ⁴⁸ BOUTAN 18 use a small high frequency cavity installed above the main ADMX cavity to look for heavier axion dark matter. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 49 DU 18 is analogous to DUFFY 06. They upgraded a dilution refrigerator to reduce the system noise. The quoted limit is around $m_{A^0}=2.69~\mu {\rm eV}$ for the boosted Maxwellian axion line shape. See Fig. 4 for their mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵⁰ ZHONG 18 is analogous to BRUBAKER 17. The quoted limit applies at $m_{A^0}=23.76$ $\mu {\rm eV}$. See Fig. 4 for their mass-dependent limits.
- 51 BRANCA 17 look for modulations of the fine-structure constant and the electron mass due to moduli dark matter by using the cryogenic resonant-mass AURIGA detector. The

limit on the assumed dilatonic coupling implies $G_{S\gamma\gamma} < 1.5 \times 10^{-24} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ for the scalar to two-photon coupling. See Fig. 5 for the mass-dependent limits.

- ⁵² BRUBAKER 17 used a microwave cavity detector at the Yale Wright Laboratory to search for dark matter axions. See Fig. 3 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 53 CHOI 17 used a microwave cavity detector with toroidal geometry. See Fig. 4 for their mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵⁴ MCALLISTER 17 used a high-frequency microwave cavity haloscope at 26.6 GHz in a 7 T magnetic field. See Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵⁵ HOSKINS 16 is analogous to DUFFY 06. See Fig. 12 for mass-dependent limits in terms of the local dark matter density.
- 56 BECK 13 argues that dark-matter axions passing through Earth may generate a small observable signal in resonant S/N/S Josephson junctions. A measurement by HOFF-MANN 04 [Physical Review **B70** 180503 (2004)] is interpreted in terms of subdominant dark matter axions with $m_{\varDelta 0}=0.11$ meV.
- ⁵⁷ HOSKINS 11 is analogous to DUFFY 06. See Fig. 4 for the mass-dependent limit in terms of the local density.
- 58 ASZTALOS 10 used the upgraded detector of ASZTALOS 04 to search for halo axions. See their Fig. 5 for the $m_{\Delta0}$ dependence of the limit.
- ⁵⁹ DUFFY 06 used the upgraded detector of ASZTALOS 04, while assuming a smaller velocity dispersion than the isothermal model as in Eq. (8) of their paper. See Fig. 10 of their paper on the axion mass dependence of the limit.
- 60 ASZTALOS 04 looked for a conversion of halo axions to microwave photons in magnetic field. At 90% CL, the KSVZ axion cannot have a local halo density more than 0.45 GeV/cm 3 in the quoted mass range. See Fig. 7 of their paper on the axion mass dependence of the limit.
- 61 KIM 98 calculated the axion-to-photon couplings for various axion models and compared them to the HAGMANN 90 bounds. This analysis demonstrates a strong model dependence of $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ and hence the bound from relic axion search.
- ⁶²HAGMANN 90 experiment is based on the proposal of SIKIVIE 83.
- 63 WUENSCH 89 looks for condensed axions near the earth that could be converted to photons in the presence of an intense electromagnetic field via the Primakoff effect, following the proposal of SIKIVIE 83. The theoretical prediction with $[G_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_{A^0}]^2=2\times 10^{-14}~\rm MeV^{-4}$ (the three generation DFSZ model) and $\rho_A=300~\rm MeV/cm^3$ that makes up galactic halos gives $(G_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_{A^0})^2~\rho_A=4\times 10^{-44}$. Note that our definition of $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ is $(1/4\pi)$ smaller than that of WUENSCH 89.

Invisible A^0 (Axion) Limits from Photon Coupling

Limits are for the modulus of the axion-two-photon coupling $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ defined by $L=-G_{A\gamma\gamma}\phi_A{\bf E}\cdot{\bf B}$. For scalars S^0 the limit is on the coupling constant in $L=G_{S\gamma\gamma}\phi_S({\bf E}^2-{\bf B}^2)$. The relation between $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ and m_{A^0} is not used unless stated otherwise, i.e., many of these bounds apply to low-mass axion-like particles (ALPs), not to QCD axions.

$VALUE$ (GeV $^{-1}$)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
$< 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	95	¹ ABLIKIM	24AE	BES3	$m_{A0} = 0.18 - 2.85 \text{ GeV}$
$< 5.8 \times 10^{-11}$	95	² ALTENMULL	. 24	CAST	$m_{\Delta^0} < 0.02 \text{ eV}$
$< 7 \times 10^{-12}$	95	³ DEV			$m_{A0}^{71} = 0.01-100 \text{ MeV}$
$< 5 \times 10^{-11}$		⁴ DIAMOND	24	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 1-300 \text{ MeV}$
$< 1.38 \times 10^{-10}$	95	⁵ FORDHAM			$m_{A^0} < \text{keV}$
https://pdg.lbl.gov		Page 34		Creat	ed: 12/2/2025 14:24

$<$ 2 \times 10 ⁻¹¹	95	⁶ GAO	24	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 10^{-9} - 10^{-7} \text{ eV}$
<2 \times 10^{-11}	95	⁷ GAO		ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 10^{-10} - 2 \times$
					10 ⁻⁷ eV
<4 \times 10 ⁻⁹	95	⁸ GUO	24	DM	$m_{\Delta 0} = 4.15 – 6.06$ or
.1.5 10-11	05	⁹ LI	04.	ACTD	8.3–12.2 μeV
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-11}$	95	LI	24A	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 5 \times 10^{-10} - 1 \times$
$< 7.5 \times 10^{-11}$	95	¹⁰ LI	24 B	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{10^{-7} \text{ eV}} = 2 \times 10^{-8} - 2 \times$
					10-6 01/
$<4 \times 10^{-12}$	99	¹¹ LI	24D	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{10} = 10^{-9} - 10^{-8} \text{ eV}$
$< 3 \times 10^{-12}$	95	¹² MANZARI	24	ASTR	$m_{A^0}~< 0.01~{ m eV}$
$< 3 \times 10^{-11}$	95	¹³ PANT	24	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 0.3-1 \text{ neV}$
$< 8 \times 10^{-4}$	95	¹⁴ PYBUS	24	GLUX	$m_{A^0} = 200-450 \text{ MeV}$
$< 3 \times 10^{-11}$	95	¹⁵ RAVENSBURG	24	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < 0.5 \text{ MeV}$
$< 3 \times 10^{-13}$	95	¹⁶ TODARELLO	24	DM	$m_{A^0} = 2.7 - 5.3 \text{ eV}$
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-12}$		¹⁷ WANG	24A	DM	$m_{A^0} = 2.8-12.4 \text{ eV}$
$< 5.5 \times 10^{-11}$	95	¹⁸ BATTYE	23	DM	$m_{A^0} = 3.9 - 4.7 \ \mu eV$
$< 2 \times 10^{-13}$	95	¹⁹ BEAUFORT	23	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 3-38 \text{ keV}$
$< 2 \times 10^{-12}$	99	²⁰ BERNAL	23	COSM	$m_{A^0} = 8-25 \text{ eV}$
$<$ 4 \times 10 ⁻¹⁴	99	²¹ CAPOZZI	23	COSM	$m_{A0} = 30-800 \text{ eV}$
$< 1.3 \times 10^{-7}$	95	²² CAPOZZI	23A	DUMP	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 10^3 - 2 \times 10^8 \text{ eV}$
$< 5 \times 10^{-12}$	95	²³ DAVIES	23	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 5-200 \text{ neV}$
$< 1.7 \times 10^{-10}$		²⁴ DIAMOND	23	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 2-56 \text{ MeV}$
$< 6 \times 10^{-29}$	95	²⁵ FILZINGER	23		Dilaton-like dark matter
$< 4.5 \times 10^{-12}$	95	²⁶ HOOF	23	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 4 imes 10^{-10} \; \mathrm{eV}$
$< 3 \times 10^{-12}$	95	²⁷ HOOF	23	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 60 \text{ MeV}$
$< 2.7 \times 10^{-11}$	99.7	²⁸ JACOBSEN	23	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < 3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ eV}$
$<$ 2 \times 10 ⁻¹¹	99	²⁹ LI	23H	ASTR	$m_{A0} = 1 - 100 \text{ neV}$
$< 3.0 \times 10^{-12}$	95	³⁰ NOORDHUIS	23	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 10^{-9} - 10^{-5} \text{ eV}$
$< 5 \times 10^{-11}$	95	³¹ PANT	23	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^7 = 0.1-1000 \text{ neV}$
$< 5 \times 10^{-26}$	95	32 SHERRILL	23	DM	Dilaton-like dark matter
$< 8 \times 10^{-9}$	95	³³ SULAI	23	DM	$m_{A^0} = 0.25 - 2 \times 10^{-14}$
-7.0 · · · 10—12		³⁴ YAO	00	ACTD	۸۱/
$< 7.9 \times 10^{-12}$ $< 3.8 \times 10^{-22}$	05	35 ZHANG	23	ASTR	$m_{A^0} \lesssim 10^{-13} \text{ eV}$
$< 3.8 \times 10^{-10}$	95 90	36 APRILE	23A 22B	YENT	Dilaton-like dark matter Solar axions
$\begin{array}{c} < 3 & \times 10 \\ < 1.45 \times 10^{-9} \end{array}$	95 95	37 ARNQUIST	22		$m_{A^0} < 100 \text{ eV}$
$<7 \times 10^{-11}$	95	³⁸ ARZA	22	DM	$m_{A0} = 0.2 - 7 \times 10^{-17} \text{eV}$
$3-6 \times 10^{-11}$	95	³⁹ BERNAL	22		$m_{A^0} = 8-20 \text{ eV}$
$< 3.76 \times 10^{-11}$	95	⁴⁰ CALORE	22	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 0.20 \text{ eV}$ $m_{A^0} < 10^{-11} \text{ eV}$
$<2 \times 10^{-10}$	33	⁴¹ CAPUTO	22	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 1-500 \text{ MeV}$
$<3 \times 10^{-14}$	95	42 CASTILLO	22		$m_{A^0} = 3 \times 10^{-23} \text{ eV}$
$<6 \times 10^{-12}$	90	43 DEROCCO	22	ΔSTR	$m_{A^0} = 5 \times 10^{-10}$ eV $m_{A^0} = 5 - 30 \text{ keV}$
$< 5.4 \times 10^{-12}$	95	44 DESSERT		ASTD	$m_{A0} = 3.50 \text{ keV}$
$< 5.4 \times 10^{-2}$ $< 2.1 \times 10^{-11}$		45 ECKNER		ASIK	$m_{A^0} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ eV}$
<2.1 × 10 11	95	- ECKINEK	22	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < 2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ eV}$
https://pdg.lbl.gov		Page 35		Creat	ed: 12/2/2025 14:24

$< 1 \times 10^{-11}$	95	⁴⁶ FOSTER	22	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 16.5 – 32.5 \; \mu \mathrm{eV}$
$< 1.14 \times 10^{-5}$	95	⁴⁷ KIRITA	22		$m_{A^0}^{7} = 0.5-500 \text{ meV}$
$<$ 2 \times 10 ⁻¹⁶		⁴⁸ LANGHOFF	22	COSM	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 0.1 - 3 \times 10^4 \text{ keV}$
$< 6 \times 10^{-12}$	95	⁴⁹ LI	22	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 0.2-20 \text{ neV}$
$< 1.3 \times 10^{-11}$	95	50 _{LI}	22C	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 8-200 \text{ neV}$
$< 1 \times 10^{-5}$		⁵¹ LUCENTE	22	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} \lesssim 0.4 \text{ MeV}$
$< 9.2 \times 10^{-11}$	95	⁵² BASU	21	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 3.6 \times 10^{-21} \text{ eV}$
$< 1.8 \times 10^{-10}$	95	⁵³ BI	21	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 2 - 6 \times 10^{-7} \text{ eV}$
$< 1.6 \times 10^{-10}$	95	⁵⁴ DOLAN	21A	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 1-570 \text{ keV}$
$< 5 \times 10^{-11}$	95	⁵⁵ GUO	21	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 8-23 \text{ neV}$
$< 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	95	⁵⁶ HOMMA	21	SAPH	$m_{\Delta^0} = 0.4-600 \text{ meV}$
$< 1.2 \times 10^{-11}$	95	57 _{LI}	21 B	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 0.5-500 \text{ neV}$
		⁵⁸ LLOYD	21	ASTR	Magnetars
$< 1 \times 10^{-13}$	95	⁵⁹ REGIS	21	DM	$m_{A^0} = 2.7 - 5.3 \text{ eV}$
$< 1.8 \times 10^{-11}$	95	⁶⁰ XIAO	21	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < 3.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{eV}$
$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	95	⁶¹ ABUDINEN	20	BEL2	$m_{A0}^{7} = 0.2-1 \text{ GeV}$
$< 2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	⁶² BANERJEE	20A	NA64	$m_{A^0}^{\gamma}$ < 55 MeV
$< 1.0 \times 10^{-11}$	95	⁶³ BUEHLER	20	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{\gamma} < 3 \text{ neV}$
$< 5 \times 10^{-10}$		⁶⁴ CALORE	20	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{A^*} \lesssim 10^{-11} \text{ eV}$
		⁶⁵ CARENZA	20	ASTR	Globular clusters
$2-4 \times 10^{-10}$	95	66 DENT	20A	ASTR	Solar axions
12		67 DEPTA	20	COSM	
$< 3.6 \times 10^{-12}$	95	68 DESSERT		ASTR	$m_{A^0} < 5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ eV}$
4.610=10	00	⁶⁹ ESTEBAN ⁷⁰ _{GAO}	20	ANIT	Axion-like particles
$4-6 \times 10^{-10}$ <2.8 × 10 ⁻¹¹	90 95	71 KOROCHKIN	20 20	ASTR ASTR	Solar axions
none 6.0×10^{-9} – $1.3 \times$	93	72 LUCENTE		ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{} = 25 \text{ eV} m_{A^0}^{} < 270 \text{ MeV}$
10 ⁻⁵		LOCLIVIE	20A		
$< 2.6 \times 10^{-11}$	95	⁷³ MEYER	20	FLAT	$m_{A^0} < 3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ eV}$
$< 8.4 \times 10^{-8}$	99	⁷⁴ YAMAMOTO	20	COSM	$m_{A^0} < 4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
$< 1 \times 10^{-3}$	95	⁷⁵ ALONI	19		$m_{\Delta^0}=0.16~{\sf GeV}$
$< 1.4 \times 10^{-14}$	95	⁷⁶ CAPUTO	19	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 5 \times 10^{-24} \text{ eV}$
$< 9.6 \times 10^{-14}$	95	⁷⁷ FEDDERKE	19	CMB	$m_{A0} = 10^{-22} \text{ eV}$
$< 7 \times 10^{-13}$	95	⁷⁸ IVANOV	19	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 5 \times 10^{-23} \text{ eV}$
$<$ 4 \times 10 ⁻¹¹	95	⁷⁹ LIANG	19	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ eV}$
10		⁸⁰ FORTIN	18	ASTR	Axion-like particles
$< 3 \times 10^{-12}$		⁸¹ JAECKEL	18	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 30-100 \text{ MeV}$
$< 5.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	82 YAMAJI	18	LSW	$m_{A^0} = 46-1020 \text{ eV}$
<1 \times 10 ⁻¹¹	99.9	83 ZHANG	18	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 0.6$ -4 neV
11		84 ADE	17	CMB	Axion-like particles
$<6.6 \times 10^{-11}$	95	⁸⁵ ANASTASSO		CAST	$m_{A^0} < 0.02 \text{ eV}$
·0.51 · · · 10—4	0.5	86 DOLAN	17		Axion-like particles
$<2.51 \times 10^{-4}$ >1.5 $\times 10^{-11}$	95 or	88 KOUDI	17	LSW	$m_{A^0} < 0.1 \text{ eV}$
$>1.5 \times 10^{-11}$ $<2.6 \times 10^{-12}$	95 05	88 KOHRI	17	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 0.7-50 \text{ neV}$
<2.0 × 10 12	95	⁸⁹ MARSH	17	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^{7} \leq 10^{-13} \text{ eV}$
https://ndg.lhl.gov		Page 36		Creat	ed: 12/2/2025 14:24

https://pdg.lbl.gov

Page 36

12		00			15
$< 6 \times 10^{-13}$		⁹⁰ TIWARI	17		$m_{A^0} \leq 10^{-15} \text{ eV}$
$< 5 \times 10^{-12}$	95	⁹¹ AJELLO	16	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 0.5 - 5 \text{ neV}$
$<1.2 \times 10^{-7}$	95	⁹² DELLA-VALLE		LASR	$m_{A^0} = 1.3 \text{ meV}$
$< 7.2 \times 10^{-8}$	95	⁹³ DELLA-VALLE	16	LASR	$m_{A^0} < 0.5 \text{ meV}$
$< 8 \times 10^{-4}$		⁹⁴ JAECKEL	16	ALPS	$m_{\Delta 0} = 0.1-100 \text{ GeV}$
$< 6 \times 10^{-21}$		⁹⁵ LEEFER	16		$m_{S^0}^{-1} < 10^{-18} \text{ eV}$
		⁹⁶ ANASTASSO	. 15	CAST	Chameleons
$< 1.47 \times 10^{-10}$	95	⁹⁷ ARIK	15	CAST	$m_{A^0} = 0.39 – 0.42 \text{ eV}$
$< 3.5 \times 10^{-8}$	95	⁹⁸ BALLOU	15	LSW	$m_{\Lambda^0} < 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}$
		⁹⁹ BRAX	15	ASTR	$m_{S^0}^{A^*} < 4 \times 10^{-12} \text{ eV}$
$< 5.42 \times 10^{-4}$	95	¹⁰⁰ HASEBE	15	LASR	$m_{A^0} = 0.15 \text{ eV}$
		¹⁰¹ MILLEA	15	COSM	Axion-like particles
10		102 VANTILBURG	15		Dilaton-like dark matter
$<4.1 \times 10^{-10}$	99.7	¹⁰³ VINYOLES	15	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 0.6 - 185 \text{ eV}$
$< 3.3 \times 10^{-10}$	95	¹⁰⁴ ARIK	14	CAST	$m_{A^0} = 0.64 - 1.17 \text{ eV}$
$<6.6 \times 10^{-11}$	95	105 AYALA	14	ASTR	Globular clusters
$< 1.4 \times 10^{-7}$	95	106 DELLA-VALLE	14	LASR	$m_{A^0}=1\;{\sf meV}$
0		107 EJLLI	14	COSM	$m_{A^0} = 2.66 - 48.8 \ \mu eV$
$< 8 \times 10^{-8}$	95	¹⁰⁸ PUGNAT	14	LSW	$m_{A^0} < 0.3 \text{ meV}$
$< 1 \times 10^{-11}$		109 REESMAN	14	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < 1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ eV}$
$< 2.1 \times 10^{-11}$	95	¹¹⁰ ABRAMOWSK		IACT	$m_{A^0} = 1560 \text{ neV}$
$< 2.15 \times 10^{-9}$	95	¹¹¹ ARMENGAUD	13	EDEL	$m_{A^0}^{} < 200 \text{ eV}$
$<4.5 \times 10^{-8}$	95	¹¹² BETZ	13	LSW	$m_{A^0} = 7.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
$< 8 \times 10^{-11}$		113 FRIEDLAND	13	ASTR	Red giants
>2 × 10 ⁻¹¹		114 MEYER	13	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < 1 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{eV}$
$< 8.3 \times 10^{-12}$	95	¹¹⁵ WOUTERS	13	ASTR	$m_{A^0}^7 < 7 \times 10^{-12} \text{ eV}$
12		116 CADAMURO	12	COSM	Axion-like particles
$< 2.5 \times 10^{-13}$	95	117 PAYEZ	12	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < 4.2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ eV}$
$< 2.3 \times 10^{-10}$	95	¹¹⁸ ARIK	11	CAST	$m_{A^0} = 0.39 - 0.64 \text{ eV}$
$<6.5 \times 10^{-8}$	95	119 EHRET	10	ALPS	$m_{A^0} < 0.7 \text{ meV}$
$< 2.4 \times 10^{-9}$	95	120 AHMED	09A	CDMS	$m_{ extstyle A^0} < 100 \; ext{eV}$
$< 1.2 - 2.8 \times 10^{-10}$	95	¹²¹ ARIK	09	CAST	$m_{A^0} = 0.02 – 0.39 \text{ eV}$
10		¹²² CHOU	09		Chameleons
$< 7 \times 10^{-10}$		123 GONDOLO	09	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < \text{few keV}$
$<1.3 \times 10^{-6}$	95	124 AFANASEV	80		$m_{ extstyle S^0} < 1 ext{ meV}$
$<3.5 \times 10^{-7}$	99.7	¹²⁵ CHOU	80		$m_{A^0} < 0.5 \text{ meV}$
$<1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	99.7	126 FOUCHE	80		$m_{A^0} < 1 \text{ meV}$
$< 5.6 - 13.4 \times 10^{-10}$	95	127 INOUE	80		$m_{A^0} = 0.84 - 1.00 \text{ eV}$
$< 5 \times 10^{-7}$		128 ZAVATTINI	80		$m_{A^0} < 1 \text{ meV}$
$< 8.8 \times 10^{-11}$	95	129 ANDRIAMON.		CAST	$m_{A^0} < 0.02 \text{ eV}$
$<1.25 \times 10^{-6}$	95	130 ROBILLIARD	07		$m_{A^0} < 1 \text{ meV}$
$2-5 \times 10^{-6}$		131 ZAVATTINI	06		$m_{A^0} = 1 1.5 \text{ meV}$
$<1.1 \times 10^{-9}$	95	132 INOUE	02		$m_{A^0} = 0.05 - 0.27 \text{ eV}$
$< 2.78 \times 10^{-9}$	95	¹³³ MORALES	02 B		$m_{A^0}^{7}$ <1 keV

<1	$.7 \times 10^{-9}$	90	¹³⁴ BERNABEI	01 B		$m_{ extstyle A^0} < 100 \; ext{eV}$
<1	$.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹³⁵ ASTIER	00 B		$m_{\Delta^0}^{\gamma}$ <40 eV
			¹³⁶ MASSO	00		induced γ coupling
	$.7 \times 10^{-9}$	95	¹³⁷ AVIGNONE	98	SLAX	$m_{ extcolor{A}^0} < 1 ext{ keV}$
<6	$.0 \times 10^{-10}$	95	¹³⁸ MORIYAMA	98		$m_{A0}^{7} < 0.03 \text{ eV}$
<3	$.6 \times 10^{-7}$	95	¹³⁹ CAMERON	93		$m_{\Delta 0}^{7} < 10^{-3} \text{ eV},$
<6	$.7 \times 10^{-7}$	95	¹⁴⁰ CAMERON	93		optical rotation $m_{A0} < 10^{-3} \text{ eV},$ photon regeneration
<3	$.6 \times 10^{-9}$	99.7	¹⁴¹ LAZARUS	92		$m_{A^0} < 0.03 \text{ eV}$
<7	$.7 \times 10^{-9}$	99.7	¹⁴¹ LAZARUS	92		$m_{A^0}^{A^0} = 0.03 - 0.11 \text{ eV}$
<7	$.7 \times 10^{-7}$	99	¹⁴² RUOSO	92		$m_{A^0}^{A^3} < 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$
<2	$.5 \times 10^{-6}$		¹⁴³ SEMERTZIDIS	90		$m_{A^0}^{A^*} < 7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}$

- 1 ABLIKIM 24AD constrain the axion-photon coupling through radiative J/ψ decays in the BESIII detector at the Beijing electron-positron collider. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ² ALTENMULLER 24 report an extended search for solar axions using the CAST experiment. The experiment employed a new xenon-based microMEGAS detector a pathfinder for the future International Axion Observatory (IAXO). This limit improves upon ANASTASSOPOULOS 17. See Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 3 DEV 24 use Fermi gamma-ray observations of the neutron star merger GW170817 to constrain the production and subsequent decay of high-mass axion-like particles. Constraint extends diagonally in mass-coupling space, reaching 7 \times 10 $^{-12}$ GeV $^{-1}$ at 100 MeV. See Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁴ DIAMOND 24 use multi-messenger observations of the neutron star merger GW170817 to constrain the production and radiative decay of axions in the event. Limits shown in their Fig. 3 extend diagonally in mass-coupling space.
- 5 FORDHAM 24 use asteroseismology observations of a solar-like star, KIC 6933899, to constrain axion emission from its interior via the photon coupling. Bound applies to all axion masses up to around the core temperature of the star \sim keV.
- ⁶ GAO 24 constrain ALP-photon oscillations via their imprint on the gamma-ray spectrum of the blazar Mrk 421, as observed by MAGIC and Fermi-LAT. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁷ GAO 24A constrain ALP-photon oscillations using the high-energy gamma-ray spectrum observed by LHAASO of the brightest gamma-ray burst so far detected, GRB 221009A. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits. The strength of the limit depends on the magnetic field of the host galaxy.
- ⁸ GUO 24 search for axion dark matter decaying or converting into photons in the Coma Berenices dwarf galaxy using the radio telescope FAST. The quoted limit is for the case of axions decaying, including stimulated emission. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁹LI 24A constrain the imprint of ALP-photon oscillations on the TeV gamma-ray spectrum of the BL Lac blazar 1ES 1215+303 using VERITAS and Fermi-LAT data. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁰ LI 24B constrain the imprint of ALP-photon oscillations on the very-high-energy gammaray spectrum of various galactic sources detected by the LHAASO air-shower array. Best limits are obtained from the Crab Nebula after combining several observations from different gamma-ray observatories in addition to LHAASO. See their Fig. 5 for massdependent limits.
- ¹¹LI 24D search for evidence of axion-photon oscillations imprinted on the gamma-ray spectrum of the nearby BL Lac blazar Markarian 421. They set upper limits using data from Fermi-LAT and HAWC. The quoted limit applies for $m_{A^0} \simeq 3 \times 10^{-9}$ eV. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.

- MANZARI 24 search for evidence of axions produced in SN1987A converting into gammarays that would have been detectable by the Solar Maximum Mission. This analysis improves upon previous studies of axion-photon conversion after SN1987A (e.g. HOOF 23) by including the magnetic field of the progenitor star. Quoted limit applies for masses below 0.1 neV and rises towards larger masses. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 13 PANT 24 searches for the imprint of axion-photon oscillations in the very-high-energy gamma-ray spectrum of the quasar QSO B1420+326 observed by the MAGIC telescope. Three small disconnected regions of mass-coupling parameter space below 1 neV are ruled out. See Fig. 4 for the limits.
- 14 PYBUS 24 report results from the GlueX detector, which has searched for the two-photon decay of heavy axions produced through the nuclear Primakoff effect due to a beam of photons incident on a Carbon target. See their Fig. 8 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁵ RAVENSBURG 24 search for heavy axions decaying to two photons using the recent nearby type-II supernova SN 2023ixf. Constraint extends diagonally downwards in mass-coupling space, see their Fig. 2 for full limits. Quoted limit is the most constraining point for the most conservative choices for supernova parameters.
- 16 TODARELLO 24 search for axions decaying to two photons in the halos of Milky Way dwarf galaxies observed in the MUSE-Faint survey. This study improves upon an earlier one presented in REGIS 21. See their Fig. 5 for compiled mass-dependent limits.
- 17 WANG 24A search for axions decaying into two photons assuming that they make up the dark matter halos of galaxies. They use data from the Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument, searching for the axion decay line in optical wavelengths with their Bright Galaxy and Luminous Red Galaxy samples. See their Fig. 8 for mass-dependent limits on the axion to photon decay rate. Their constraint is quoted as a 5σ detection limit.
- 18 BATTYE 23 look for dark-matter axions falling into pulsar magnetospheres and converting into narrow radio lines. Unlike the earlier FOSTER 22 they search for evidence of conversion in the time-domain signal of a single pulsar, using 1 hour of MeerKAT data on the pulsar PSR J2144-3933. The quoted limit applies to an assumed magnetic field of $2\times 10^{12}\,$ G and a dark matter density of 0.45 GeV/cm 3 .
- ¹⁹ BEAUFORT 23 extends DEROCCO 22 who searched for the X-ray decay of axions that build up in the gravitational well of the Sun over its lifetime, the 'solar basin'. They use data from NuSTAR and SphinX telescopes and extends the previous study by accounting for the axion production via photon coalescence.
- 20 BERNAL 23 use gamma-ray data from 739 blazars observed by FermiLAT and 38 blazars by Cherenkov observatories. They estimate optical depth, subtract the astrophysical component, and attribute the residual to axion two-photon decay. The quoted limit is for $m_{A^0} \simeq 25$ eV. See their Fig. 3 for the mass-dependent limits.
- ²¹ CAPOZZI 23 use Planck CMB and Lyman- α observations to set limits on early energy injection by decaying dark matter axions that would affect CMB anisotropies and the reionisation history of the Universe. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0}=100$ eV and the reionization model of Fauchere-Giguere. See Fig. 4 for mass-dependent constraints from different reionization models.
- ²² CAPOZZI 23A search for axions produced in electromagnetic showers in proton beam dumps and fixed target experiments. In this case, they reinterpret MiniBoone data. Quoted limit applies at 100 MeV but the limit does not extend to arbitrarily large couplings. See Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- ²³ DAVIES 23 is analogous to AJELLO 16, and use the Fermi-LAT data from three quasars (3C454.3, CTA 102, and 3C279), considering the blazer jets as the regions where the axion-photon oscillations occur. See Fig. 8 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 24 DIAMOND 23 demonstrate that a window of decaying 10-MeV-mass ALP parameter space previously thought to be excluded by the lack of gamma-ray emission from the SN 1987A explosion is actually unconstrained because of the formation of a fireball that would prevent decay photons from escaping. They nevertheless re-exclude this window by considering the non-detection of the sub-MeV emission by the Pioneer Venus Orbiter. The quoted limit is at $m_{\Lambda0}=56$ MeV. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.

- ²⁵ FILZINGER 23 searched for oscillations in the fine structure constant induced by dilaton-like dark matter by measuring the frequency ratio between the E3 and E2 transitions of $^{171}{\rm Yb}^+$. They assume the local dark matter density $\rho_S=0.4~{\rm GeV/cm}^3$. The quoted limit is set at $m_{S^0}\simeq 4\times 10^{-23}~{\rm eV}$. See their Fig. 4 for the limits over $m_{S^0}=1\times 10^{-24}-1\times 10^{-17}~{\rm eV}$.
- 26 HOOF 23 consider axions emitted from SN1987A converting to gamma rays in Galactic magnetic fields, using temporal information of the Solar Maximum Mission data. They set a limit $G_{A\gamma\gamma} \lesssim 5\times 10^{-12}$ for masses $m_{A^0} \lesssim 2\times 10^{-10}$ eV. See left panel in Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 27 HOOF 23 look for gamma rays resulting from the decay of axions produced from SN1987A, using the Solar Maximum Mission data. See right panel in Fig. 3 for massdependent limits.
- ²⁸ JACOBSEN 23 search for the imprints of axion-photon mixing on the TeV spectra of several blazars using data from the HAWC air shower detector.
- ²⁹ LI 23H look for gamma-ray spectral irregularities induced by axion-photon oscillations from AGN VER J0521+211, using the Fermi-LAT and VERITAS data. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ³⁰ NOORDHUIS 23 places strong constraints on the axion-photon coupling over a broad mass window using the fact that the polar cap regions of pulsars can generate a population of axions, which would then convert into an observable outgoing radio flux in the presence of the neutron star's B-field. They search for this signal in 27 pulsars and set mass-dependent limits shown in their Fig. 2.
- 31 PANT 23 study the effect of axion-photon oscillations on the gamma-ray spectrum from the extragalactic neutrino source, TXS 0506+056. The quoted limit is at $m_{A^0} \simeq 2.7 \times 10^{-7}$ eV. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 32 SHERRILL 23 search for scalar dilaton-like dark matter via oscillations in the fundamental constants. Their most competitive constraint is on the scalar photon coupling (Fig. 6, upper panel) that affects the fine-structure constant, which they extract using an optical-to-optical clock comparison between ¹⁷¹Yb⁺ and ⁸⁷Sr. Quoted limit applies at the mass in their search window for this case of 10⁻²⁰ eV.
- 33 SULAI 23 looked for ultralight axion dark matter using the "Earth as a transducer" concept over the 0.5 to 5 Hz frequency range. They situate several magnetometers at magnetically quiet places and search for spatially-correlated magnetic field patterns induced by axion dark matter interacting in the effective cavity formed between the Earth's surface and the ionosphere. See their Fig. 12 for mass-dependent limits in context. This limit extends to higher-frequencies than their previous limit using archival geomagnetic field data collected by the SuperMAG collaboration, see ARZA 22
- 34 YAO 23 study an optical circular polarization in blazers induced by the axion-photon mixing. The quoted limit assumes the transverse magnetic field at the jet's emission site, with $B_T=1\,$ G, and this limit inversely scales with $B_T.$ See their Fig. 3 for the limits' dependence on B_T and electron density.
- 35 ZHANG 23A searched for oscillations in the fine structure constant induced by dilaton-like dark matter by measuring the frequencies of a hyperfine-structure transition in 87 Rb and an electronic transition in 164 Dy, and by comparing them with that of a quartz oscillator. They assume the local dark matter density $\rho_S \simeq 0.4~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$. The quoted limit is set at $m_{S^0} \simeq 1 \times 10^{-17}~{\rm eV}$. See their Fig. 3 for the limits over $m_{S^0} = 1 \times 10^{-17} 8.3 \times 10^{-13}~{\rm eV}$.
- 36 APRILE 22B is an update of APRILE 20 based on a similar solar axion modeling to DENT 20A and GAO 20. They exclude the XENON1T excess found in APRILE 20. The quoted limit holds for small $g_{Ae\,e}$. See Fig. 6 for correlation between $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ and $g_{Ae\,e}$.
- 37 ARNQUIST 22 is analogous to AVIGNONE 98, and supersedes ANASTASSOPOULOS 17 for $m_{A^0} \gtrsim 1.2$ eV.

- 38 ARZA 22 search for low-mass axions as dark matter using the Earth as a transducer for axion-photon conversion. The concept works because the region between the Earth and the ionosphere forms an insulating cavity that parametrically enhances the axion signal by the radius of the Earth. The result is an oscillating and spatially correlated magnetic field induced via the interaction between axion dark matter and the geomagnetic field, which they searched for using archival magnetometer field data over 20 years compiled by the SuperMAG collaboration. Quoted limit applies for masses $3\text{--}4\times10^{-17}$ eV, see Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- ³⁹ BERNAL 22 explored the possibility that the excess in the cosmic optical background measured by New Horizonss Long Range Reconnaisance Imager was due to axion dark matter decaying into monoenergetic photons. See their Fig. 2 for the axion-photon coupling to explain the excess.
- ⁴⁰ CALORE 22 update CALORE 20 by evaluating axion fluxes from progenitors of various masses and performing a template-based analysis using 12 years of Fermi-LAT data in the energy range from 50 MeV to 500 GeV. See their Fig. 10 for mass-dependent limits.
- 41 CAPUTO 22 study the effect of energy deposition by radiative decay of axions produced via the Primakoff process and photon coalescence in the supernova core, and set the limits by the radiative energy deposition $<~10^{50}$ erg and progenitor radius $=5\times10^{13}$ cm. The quoted limit is at $m_{A^0}=150$ MeV. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 42 CASTILLO 22 update CAPUTO 19 using the polarization measurements of the Crab Pulsar by the QUIJOTE MFI instrument and 20 Galactic pulsars from the PPTA project. See their Table 1 for the assumed local axion energy density ρ_A for each pulsar and their Fig. 7 for the mass-dependent limits in the range of $3\times 10^{-23}~{\rm eV} \le m_{A^0} \le 10^{-19}~{\rm eV}.$
- 43 DEROCCO 22 uses the NuSTAR data to search for monochromatic X-ray lines produced by the decay of solar axions trapped on bound orbits. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0} \simeq 9$ keV. They also derive limits in the plane of g_{Aee} and $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$. See their Figs. 2 and 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁴⁴ DESSERT 22A look for an axion-induced linear polarization using data from multiple magnetic white dwarf stars. See their Figs. 1 and 8 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 45 ECKNER 22 set limits by using sub-PeV diffuse gamma-ray data from HAWC and Tibet AS γ by assuming that gamma rays produced simultaneously with high-energy neutrinos from extragalactic sources suggested by IceCube are converted to axions in the magnetic field at the source and reconverted to gamma rays in the Galactic magnetic field. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 46 FOSTER 22 is an update of FOSTER 20 in the list of limits on relic invisible axions. They search for axion-photon transitions generated by neutron stars in the Galactic center region. They use improved population models of the Galactic center neutron stars and a Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) model of the galactic dark matter distribution. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A0} \simeq 17\text{--}25~\mu\text{eV}$. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁴⁷ KIRITA 22 update HOMMA 21 by increasing the laser energy and developing a background discrimination method using the beam cross-section dependence of the background originated from optical elements. The quoted limits applies to $m_{A^0}=0.18$ eV. See their Fig. 11 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁴⁸ LANGHOFF 22 set limits by considering the freeze-in production of axions coupled only to photons. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0}=2$ MeV for the reheating temperature equal to 5 MeV. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁴⁹ LI 22 is analogous to LI 21B, and use the spectra of the blazar FSRQ 4C+21.35 measured by MAGIC, VERITAS, and Fermi-LAT. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0} \simeq 8 \times 10^{-10}$ eV. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 50 LI 22C is analogous to LI 21B, and use the spectra of the blazars Mrk 421 and PG 1553+113 measured by MAGIC and Fermi-LAT. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0} \simeq 1 \times 10^{-8}$ eV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵¹ LUCENTE 22 developed a method to correctly incorporate the effects of axions decaying into photons inside the core of horizontal-branch stars. They update CARENZA 20 by

- evaluating axion energy transfer in the range of axion mean free path where the diffusive energy transport and free streaming approximations are not applicable. See their Fig. 1 for the limits.
- 52 BASU 21 searched for birefringence induced by axion dark matter using multiple images of the polarized source in the strongly gravitationally lensed system CLASS B1152+199. They assume the axion makes up all dark matter, and used the axion density in the emitting region, $\rho_A=20~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$. Limits between 9.2×10^{-11} –7.7 \times $10^{-8}~{\rm GeV^{-1}}$ are obtained for $m_{A^0}=3.6\times10^{-21}$ –4.6 \times $10^{-18}~{\rm eV}$. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits
- 53 BI 21 look for the gamma-ray spectral distortions induced by axion-photon oscillations in the presence of the Galactic magnetic field, using the measurements of sub-PeV gamma-rays from the Crab Nebula by the Tibet AS γ and HAWC experiments, together with MAGIC and HEGRA gamma-ray data. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵⁴ DOLAN 21A study the effect of axion production on the evolution of asymptotic giant branch stars, and use the white-dwarf initial-final mass relation to set the limits. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵⁵ GUO 21 is analogous to AJELLO 16, and use the Fermi-LAT and H.E.S.S. II measurements of PG 1553+113 and PKS 2155-304. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- 56 HOMMA 21 look for the production of axion resonance states and their subsequent stimulated decays by combining linearly polarized creation laser pulses and circularly polarized inducing laser pulses. The quoted limit is at $m_{A^0} \simeq 0.178$ eV. See their Fig. 14 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵⁷LI 21B is analogous to AJELLO 16, and use the spectra of the blazar Mrk 421 measured by ARGO-YBJ and Fermi-LAT. They consider ALP-photon mixing in the magnetic fields of both the blazar jet and the Galaxy. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0} \simeq 1 \times 10^{-9}$ eV. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 58 LLOYD 21 is analogous to FORTIN 18, and set limits on the product of the axion couplings to photons and nucleons as g_{ANN} $G_{A\gamma\gamma} \lesssim 4.6 \times 10^{-19}$ GeV $^{-1}$ for $m_{A^0} \lesssim 10^{-5}$ eV by using the quiescent soft gamma-ray flux upper limits in five magnetars. We use $g_{ANN} = G_{AN}$ $2m_N$ to translate their limits. See their Table II and Fig. 3 for the limits
- ⁵⁹ REGIS 21 look for monochromatic photons from axion decay, using the MUSE spectroscopic data on the Leo T dwarf spheroidal galaxy. They assume that axions make up all of dark matter and use the integrated dark matter density along the line of sight determined by observations.
- 60 XIAO 21 use X-ray data from Betelgeuse to look for signals from axions produced in the stellar core that were converted to X-rays by the Galactic magnetic field. See their Fig. 1 for the mass-dependent limit.
- ⁶¹ ABUDINEN 20 look for the process $e^+e^- \to \gamma A^0$ ($A^0 \to \gamma \gamma$) and set upper limits of around 10^{-3} over the mass range. The quoted limit is at $m_{A^0}=0.3$ GeV. See their Fig. 5 for mass dependent limits.
- 62 BANERJEE 20A look for axions produced from high-energy bremsstrahlung photons through the Primakoff effect with the electric field of the target nuclei. They exclude $G_{A\gamma\gamma}=2\times 10^{-4}$ –5 $\times 10^{-2}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$ for $m_{A^0}~<$ 55 MeV. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 63 BUEHLER 20 look for the γ -ray transparency due to axion-photon oscillations using high-energy photon events from 79 sources in the Second Fermi-LAT Catalog of High-Energy Sources. The quoted limit is for the intergalactic magnetic field strength and coherence length of B=1 nG and s=1 Mpc. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for mass-dependent limits and for different magnetic-field parameters.
- 64 CALORE 20 use the isotropic diffuse γ -ray background measured by the Fermi-LAT to constrain the γ -ray flux converted in the Galactic magnetic field from axions produced from past core-collapse supernovae. They also derive a limit on a heavier axion with $m_{A^0} \gtrsim \text{keV}$ decaying into two photons of $G_{A\gamma\gamma} \lesssim 5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ for m_{A^0}

- = 5 keV. See their Figs. 5 and 7 for the limits as well as limits in the presence of axion-nucleon couplings.
- ⁶⁵ CARENZA 20 extend the globular cluster bound of AYALA 14 to heavier masses ($m_{A^0} \leq$ a few 100 keV) by taking account of the coalescence process $\gamma + \gamma \rightarrow A^0$ as well as the decay of the ALP inside the stellar core. See their Fig.4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁶⁶ DENT 20A is analogous to GAO 20. The quoted limit is from their arXiv:2006.15118v3 (v2 is their published version), using the relativistic Hartree-Fock form factor. The limit is up to two times weaker than the published one. See Fig. 4 in their arXiv version 3 for the correlation between $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ and g_{Aee} corresponding to the excess reported in APRILE 20.
- 67 DEPTA 20 correct the underestimated D abundance in MILLEA 15, and derive robust cosmological bounds by allowing the reheating temperature, $N_{\rm eff}$, and neutrino chemical potential to vary. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- 68 DESSERT 20A use the NuSTAR data of the Quintuplet and Westerlund 1 super star clusters to look for X-rays converted in the Galactic magnetic field from the axions produced in stellar cores. See their Fig. 3 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 69 ESTEBAN 20 show that the two anomalous ANITA events can be explained by the reflected radio pulses that are resonantly produced in the ionosphere via axion-photon conversion for $m_{A^0} \lesssim 1 \times 10^{-7}$ eV , if an axion clump passes the Earth about once a month. See their Fig.5 for the region consistent with this interpretation for different values of the axion density inside the clumps.
- 70 GAO 20 correct the limit of APRILE 20 by including inverse Primakoff scattering in the XENON1T detector. The quoted limit is from their arXiv:2006.14598v4 (v3 is their published version), taking account of the atomic form factor of Xe as pointed out in ABE 20J. The limit is weaker by a factor of 1.5–2 than the published one. See Fig. 3 in their arXiv version 4 for correlation between $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ and g_{Aee} corresponding to the excess reported in APRILE 20.
- 71 KOROCHKIN 20 assume the axion makes up all dark matter, and look for a dip in the observed gamma-ray spectrum of the blazer 1ES 1218+304 by Fermi/LAT and VERITAS due to the extragalactic background light produced by the axion decay. Their analysis favors nonzero axion-induced absorption with $G_{A\gamma\gamma}=3\times 10^{-11}$ –2 $\times 10^{-10}$ GeV $^{-1}$ over a range of $m_{A^0}=2$ –18 eV. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits between 0.25 $< m_{A^0} <$ 25 eV.
- 72 LUCENTE 20A study the SN 1987A energy-loss argument on the axion-like particle production. In addition to the Primakoff process, they take account of photon coalescence as well as gravitational trapping that become relevant at $m_{A^0} > 100$ MeV. See their Fig. 12 for the mass-dependent limit.
- 73 MEYER 20 look for prompt γ -rays converted in the Galactic magnetic fields from axions produced via the Primakoff process in a sample of 20 extragalactic core-collapse supernovae. The limits assume a progenitor mass of 10 times the solar mass and certain models for the optical emission and the galactic magnetic field. See their Figs. 2 and 6 in the erratum for mass- and model-dependent limits.
- 74 YAMAMOTO 20 look for X-ray photons converted by the Earth's magnetic field from the axions produced by the two-body decay of dark matter, and set the limits by using the Suzaku data. The quoted limit is for the monochromatic X-ray line from the galactic dark matter with lifetime $\tau=4.32\times10^{17}$ sec. They also derive limits on the continuum spectrum from the extragalactic component. See their Fig. 7 for the limits.
- 75 ALONI 19 used the data collected by the PRIMEX experiment to derive a limit based on a data-driven method. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 76 CAPUTO 19 look for an oscillating variation of the polarization angle of the pulsar J0437-4715, where they assume the local axion energy density $\rho_A=0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits for $5\times 10^{-24}~{\rm eV}~\leq~m_{A^0}~\leq~2\times 10^{-19}~{\rm eV}.$
- 77 FEDDERKE 19 look for a uniform reduction of the CMB polarization at large scales, which is induced by the oscillating axion background during CMB decoupling. The quoted

- limit is based on the assumption that axions make up all of the dark matter. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits for $m_{A^0}=10^{-22}$ – 10^{-19} eV.
- 78 IVANOV 19 look for the axion-induced periodic changes in the polarization angle of parsec-scale jets in active galactic nuclei observed by the MOJAVE program, where they use the axion energy density $\rho_A=20~{\rm GeV/cm^3}.$ See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits for $5\times 10^{-23}~{\rm eV}~\leq~m_{A^0}~\leq~1.2\times 10^{-21}~{\rm eV}.$
- ⁷⁹LIANG 19 look for spectral irregularities in the spectrum of 10 bright H.E.S.S. sources in the Galactic plane, assuming photon-ALP mixing in the Galactic magnetic fields. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits with different Galactic magnetic field models.
- 80 FORTIN 18 studied the conversion of axion-like particles produced in the core of a magnetar to hard X-rays in the magnetosphere. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits with different values of the magnetar core temperature.
- 81 JAECKEL 18 study axions produced through the Primakoff process from SN 1987A, which subsequently decay into photon pairs. See their Fig. 1 for the mass-dependent limits in the range of $m_{\Delta0}=0.01\text{--}100$ MeV.
- 82 YAMAJI 18 search for axions with an x-ray LSW at Spring-8, using the Laue-case conversion in a silicon crystal. They also obtain $G_{A\gamma\gamma} < 4.2 \times 10^{-3} \; \text{GeV}^{-1}$ for $m_{A^0} < 10 \; \text{eV}$. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 83 ZHANG 18 look for spectral irregularities in the spectrum of PKS 2155-304 measured by Fermi LAT, assuming photon-ALP mixing in the intercluster and Galactic magnetic fields. See their Figs. 2 and 3 for mass-dependent limits with different values of the intercluster magnetic field parameters.
- 84 ADE 17 look for cosmic birefringence from axion-like particles using CMB polarization data taken by the BICEP2 and Keck Array experiments. They set a limit $G_{A\gamma\gamma}H_I$ $<7.2\times10^{-2}$ at 95 %CL for m_{A^0} $<10^{-28}$ eV, where H_I is the Hubble parameter during inflation.
- ⁸⁵ ANASTASSOPOULOS 17 looked for solar axions by the CAST axion helioscope in the vacuum phase, and supersedes ANDRIAMONJE 07.
- 86 DOLAN 17 update existing limits on $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ for axion-like particles. The limits from the proton beam dump experiments in their Fig. 2 contained an error, and the corrected version is shown in Fig. 1 of DOLAN 21.
- 87 INADA 17 search for axions with an x-ray LSW at Spring-8. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁸⁸ KOHRI 17 attributed to axion-photon oscillations the excess of cosmic infrared background observed by the CIBER experiment. See their Fig. 5 for the region preferred by their scenario.
- 89 MARSH 17 is similar to WOUTERS 13, using Chandra observations of M87. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁹⁰ TIWARI 17 use observed limits of the cosmic distance-duality relation to constrain the photon-ALP mixing based on 3D simulations of the magnetic field configuration. The quoted value is for the averaged magnetic field of 1nG with a coherent length of 1 Mpc. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 91 AJELLO 16 look for irregularities in the energy spectrum of the NGC1275 measured by Fermi LAT, assuming photon-ALP mixing in the intra-cluster and Galactic magnetic fields. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁹² DELLA-VALLE 16 look for the birefringence induced by axion-like particles. See their Fig. 14 for mass-dependent limits.
- 93 DELLA-VALLE 16 look for the dichroism induced by axion-like particles. See their Fig. 14 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁹⁴ JAECKEL 16 use the LEP data of $Z \to 2\gamma$ and $Z \to 3\gamma$ to constrain the ALP production via $e^+e^- \to Z \to A^0\gamma$ ($A^0 \to \gamma\gamma$), assuming the ALP coupling with two hypercharge bosons. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.

- ⁹⁵LEEFER 16 derived limits by using radio-frequency spectroscopy of dysprosium and atomic clock measurements. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits as well as limits on Yukawa-type couplings of the scalar to the electron and nucleons.
- ⁹⁶ ANASTASSOPOULOS 15 search for solar chameleons with CAST and derived limits on the chameleon coupling to photons and matter. See their Fig. 12 for the exclusion region.
- 97 ARIK 15 is analogous to ARIK 09, and search for solar axions for m_{A^0} around 0.2 and 0.4 eV. See their Figs. 1 and 3 for the mass-dependent limits.
- ⁹⁸ Based on OSQAR photon regeneration experiment. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits on scalar and pseudoscalar bosons.
- ⁹⁹ BRAX 15 derived limits on conformal and disformal couplings of a scalar to photons by searching for a chaotic absorption pattern in the X-ray and UV bands of the Hydra A galaxy cluster and a BL lac object, respectively. See their Fig. 8.
- 100 HASEBE 15 look for an axion via a four-wave mixing process at quasi-parallel colliding laser beams. They also derived limits on a scalar coupling to photons $G_{S\gamma\gamma} < 2.62 \times 10^{-4} \; {\rm GeV^{-1}}$ at $m_{S^0} = 0.15$ eV. See their Figs. 11 and 12 for mass-dependent limits.
- 101 MILLEA 15 is similar to CADAMURO 12, including the Planck data and the latest inferences of primordial deuterium abundance. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- $^{102}\,\text{VANTILBURG}$ 15 look for harmonic variations in the dyprosium transition frequency data, induced by coherent oscillations of the fine-structure constant due to dilaton-like dark matter, and set the limits, $G_{S\,\gamma\gamma}~<~6\times10^{-27}~\text{GeV}^{-1}$ at $m_{S^0}=6\times10^{-23}~\text{eV}.$ See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits between $1\times10^{-24}< m_{S^0}<1\times10^{-15}~\text{eV}.$
- 103 VINYOLES 15 performed a global fit analysis based on helioseismology and solar neutrino observations. See their Fig. 9.
- 104 ARIK 14 is similar to ARIK 11. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁰⁵ AYALA 14 derived the limit from the helium-burning lifetime of horizontal-branch stars based on number counts in globular clusters.
- 106 DELLA-VALLE 14 use the new PVLAS apparatus to set a limit on vacuum magnetic birefringence induced by axion-like particles. See their Fig. 6 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 107 EJLLI 14 set limits on a product of primordial magnetic field and the axion mass using CMB distortion induced by resonant axion production from CMB photons. See their Fig. 1 for limits applying specifically to the DFSZ and KSVZ axion models.
- 108 PUGNAT 14 is analogous to EHRET 10. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits on scalar and pseudoscalar bosons.
- 109 REESMAN 14 derive limits by requiring effects of axion-photon interconversion on gamma-ray spectra from distant blazars to be no larger than errors in the best-fit optical depth based on a certain extragalactic background light model. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹¹⁰ ABRAMOWSKI 13A look for irregularities in the energy spectrum of the BL Lac object PKS 2155–304 measured by H.E.S.S. The limits depend on assumed magnetic field around the source. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- 111 ARMENGAUD 13 is analogous to AVIGNONE 98. See Fig. 6 for the limit.
- ¹¹² BETZ 13 performed a microwave-based light shining through the wall experiment. See their Fig. 13 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹¹³ FRIEDLAND 13 derived the limit by considering blue-loop suppression of the evolution of red giants with 7–12 solar masses.
- 114 MEYER 13 attributed to axion-photon oscillations the observed excess of very high-energy γ -rays with respect to predictions based on extragalactic background light models. See their Fig.4 for mass-dependent lower limits for various magnetic field configurations.
- 115 WOUTERS 13 look for irregularities in the X-ray spectrum of the Hydra cluster observed by Chandra. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.

- 116 CADAMURO 12 derived cosmological limits on $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ for axion-like particles. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 117 PAYEZ 12 derive limits from polarization measurements of quasar light (see their Fig. 3). The limits depend on assumed magnetic field strength in galaxy clusters. The limits depend on assumed magnetic field and electron density in the local galaxy supercluster.
- ¹¹⁸ ARIK 11 search for solar axions using ³He buffer gas in CAST, continuing from the ⁴He version of ARIK 09. See Fig. 2 for the exact mass-dependent limits.
- ¹¹⁹ ALPS is a photon regeneration experiment. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits on scalar and pseudoscalar bosons.
- $^{120}\,\mathrm{AHMED}$ 09A is analogous to AVIGNONE 98.
- 121 ARIK 09 is the ⁴He filling version of the CAST axion helioscope in analogy to INOUE 02 and INOUE 08. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- 122 CHOU 09 use the GammeV apparatus in the afterglow mode to search for chameleons, (pseudo)scalar bosons with a mass depending on the environment. For pseudoscalars they exclude at 3σ the range $2.6\times 10^{-7}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}<~G_{A\gamma\gamma}<~4.2\times 10^{-6}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$ for vacuum m_{A^0} roughly below 6 meV for density scaling index exceeding 0.8.
- 123 GONDOLO 09 use the all-flavor measured solar neutrino flux to constrain solar interior temperature and thus energy losses.
- 124 LIPSS photon regeneration experiment, assuming scalar particle S^0 . See Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 125 CHOU 08 perform a variable-baseline photon regeneration experiment. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits. Excludes the PVLAS result of ZAVATTINI 06.
- 126 FOUCHE 08 is an update of ROBILLIARD 07. See their Fig. 12 for mass-dependent limits.
- 127 INOUE 08 is an extension of INOUE 02 to larger axion masses, using the Tokyo axion helioscope. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹²⁸ ZAVATTINI 08 is an upgrade of ZAVATTINI 06, see their Fig. 8 for mass-dependent limits. They now exclude the parameter range where ZAVATTINI 06 had seen a positive signature.
- ¹²⁹ ANDRIAMONJE 07 looked for Primakoff conversion of solar axions in 9T superconducting magnet into X-rays. Supersedes ZIOUTAS 05.
- 130 ROBILLIARD 07 perform a photon regeneration experiment with a pulsed laser and pulsed magnetic field. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits. Excludes the PVLAS result of ZAVATTINI 06 with a CL exceeding 99.9%.
- ¹³¹ ZAVATTINI 06 propagate a laser beam in a magnetic field and observe dichroism and birefringence effects that could be attributed to an axion-like particle. This result is now excluded by ROBILLIARD 07, ZAVATTINI 08, and CHOU 08.
- 132 INOUE 02 looked for Primakoff conversion of solar axions in 4T superconducting magnet into X ray.
- 133 MORALES 02B looked for the coherent conversion of solar axions to photons via the Primakoff effect in Germanium detector.
- 134 BERNABEI 01B looked for Primakoff coherent conversion of solar axions into photons via Bragg scattering in NaI crystal in DAMA dark matter detector.
- ¹³⁵ ASTIER 00B looked for production of axions from the interaction of high-energy photons with the horn magnetic field and their subsequent re-conversion to photons via the interaction with the NOMAD dipole magnetic field.
- 136 MASSO 00 studied limits on axion-proton coupling using the induced axion-photon coupling through the proton loop and CAMERON 93 bound on the axion-photon coupling using optical rotation. They obtained the bound $g_p^2/4\pi < 1.7 \times 10^{-9}$ for the coupling $g_p \overline{p} \gamma_5 p \phi_A$.
- 137 AVIGNONE 98 result is based on the coherent conversion of solar axions to photons via the Primakoff effect in a single crystal germanium detector.
- 138 Based on the conversion of solar axions to X-rays in a strong laboratory magnetic field.

¹³⁹ Experiment based on proposal by MAIANI 86.

Limit on Invisible A^0 (Axion) Electron Coupling

The limit is for $g_{Aee} \ \phi_A \ \overline{e}(i \ \gamma_5)e$, or equivalently, the dipole-dipole potential $-\frac{g_{Ae\,e}^2}{16\pi m_{\,e}^2}\left((\pmb{\sigma}_1\cdot \pmb{\sigma}_2) - 3(\pmb{\sigma}_1\cdot \pmb{n})\,(\pmb{\sigma}_2\cdot \pmb{n})\right)/r^3 \text{ where } \pmb{n} = \pmb{r}/r \text{ and the sign of the potential was corrected based on DAIDO 17}.$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
	use the follow	wing data for averag	ges, fi	ts, limits	, etc. • • •
$< 3.3 \times 10^{-12}$	90	$^{ m 1}$ AGOSTINI	24A	GRDA	$m_{A^0} = 65-1021 \text{ keV}$
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-13}$	90	² ARNQUIST	24	MAJD	$m_{A0} = 1-100 \text{ keV}$
$< 2.35 \times 10^{-12}$	90	³ AALBERS	23A	LZ	Solar axions
$< 1.3 \times 10^{-14}$	90	⁴ AALBERS	23A	LZ	$m_{A0} = 1-17 \text{ keV}$
$<1.61 \times 10^{-11}$	90	⁵ ADHIKARI	23	C100	Solar axions
$< 6 \times 10^{-13}$	90	⁶ ADHIKARI	23A	C100	$m_{A0} = 10-1000 \text{ keV}$
$< 8 \times 10^{-14}$	90	⁷ AGNES	23A	DS50	$m_{A0} = 0.03-20 \text{ keV}$
		8 APRILE	23 B	XE1T	Neutron star merger_
$< 3 \times 10^{-9}$	95	⁹ CAPOZZI	23A	DUMP	$m_{A^0} = 10^4 - 2 \times 10^7 \text{ eV}$
$< 6 \times 10^{-15}$		¹⁰ WADEKAR	23	ASTR	$m_{A^0} = 100 \text{ keV}$
$<$ 4 \times 10 ⁻¹²	90	¹¹ APRILE	22	XE1T	$m_{A0} = 0.01 - 0.4 \text{ keV}$
$< 9 \times 10^{-15}$	90	¹² APRILE	22 B	XENT	$m_{A0} = 1-39, 44-140 \text{ keV}$
$<$ 2 \times 10 ⁻¹²	90	13 APRILE	22 B	XENT	Solar axions
C		14 DESSERT	22	ASTR	Magnetic white dwarf
$< 2.6 \times 10^{-6}$	95	¹⁵ IKEDA	22		$m_{A^0} = 33.117 - 33.130 \ \mu eV$
$< 2.5 \times 10^{-18}$		¹⁶ LANGHOFF	22	COSM	$m_{A^0}^7 = 20-3 \times 10^4 \text{ keV}$
		¹⁷ WANG	22C		$m_{A^0} \leq 0.47 \text{ meV}$
		18 XIAO	22	ASTR	•
0		¹⁹ CALORE	21	ASTR	•
$<2 \times 10^{-9}$		²⁰ LUCENTE	21	ASTR	SN 1987A
$< 5.1 \times 10^{-12}$	90	²¹ AGOSTINI	20	HPGE	$m_{A^0} = 0.06 - 1 \text{ MeV}$
$<1 \times 10^{-9}$	90	²² AMARAL	20	SCDM	$m_{A^0} = 1.2 - 50 \text{ eV}$
<2 \times 10 ⁻¹⁴	90	²³ APRILE	20	XE1T	$m_{A^0}=1 \text{ keV}$
$2.6-3.7 \times 10^{-12}$	90	²⁴ APRILE	20	XE1T	Solar axions
$< 6 \times 10^{-13}$	90	²⁵ ARALIS	20	SCDM	$m_{A^0} = 0.04-500 \text{ keV}$
$< 1.3 \times 10^{-13}$	95	²⁶ CAPOZZI	20	ASTR	Tip of the Red Giant Branch
$< 1.7 \times 10^{-11}$	95	²⁷ CRESCINI	20	QUAX	$m_{\Delta 0} = 42.4 – 43.1 \ \mu {\rm eV}$
$< 1.8 \times 10^{-9}$		²⁸ GHOSH	20A	COSM	$m_{A^0}^{\gamma} \lesssim 0.5 \text{ MeV}$
$< 1.48 \times 10^{-13}$	95	²⁹ STRANIERO	20	ASTR	Tip of the Red Giant Branch
$< 2.48 \times 10^{-11}$	90	³⁰ WANG	20A	CDEX	Solar axions
https://pdg.lbl	.gov	Page 47		Cre	ated: 12/2/2025 14:24

https://pdg.lbl.gov

Page 47

 $^{^{140}\,\}text{Experiment}$ based on proposal by VANBIBBER 87.

 $^{^{141}}$ LAZARUS 92 experiment is based on proposal found in VANBIBBER 89. 142 RUOSO 92 experiment is based on the proposal by VANBIBBER 87.

 $^{^{143}}$ SEMERTZIDIS 90 experiment is based on the proposal of MAIANI 86. The limit is obtained by taking the noise amplitude as the upper limit. Limits extend to $m_{A^0}=$ 4×10^{-3} where $G_{A\gamma\gamma} < 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$.

$<$ 4 \times 10 ⁻¹³	90	³¹ WANG	20A	CDEX	$m_{A0}=1.5 \; \mathrm{keV}$
$<1.7 \times 10^{-11}$	90	³² ADHIKARI	19B	C100	Solar axions
$< 2.3 \times 10^{-14}$	90	33 APRILE	19D	XE1T	$m_{\Delta 0} = 0.186 - 1 \text{ keV}$
₹2.5 × 10	30	³⁴ DESSERT	19	ASTR	Magnetic white dwarf
$< 2.6 \times 10^{-10}$	95	35 TERRANO	19	ASTR	Torsion pendulum
$<1.5 \times 10^{-13}$	90	36 ABE	18F	XMAS	$m_{A0} = 40-120 \text{ keV}$
$<1.1 \times 10^{-11}$	90	37 ARMENGAUD		EDE3	Solar axions
$<4 \times 10^{-13}$	90	38 ARMENGAUD		EDE3	$m_{A0} = 0.8-500 \text{ keV}$
$<4.9 \times 10^{-10}$	95	³⁹ CRESCINI	18		, ı
<4.9 × 10	93	⁴⁰ FICEK	18	THEO	$m_{A^0} = 58 \ \mu eV$
$< 4.5 \times 10^{-13}$	00	41 ABGRALL			$m_{A^0} < 10 \text{ keV}$
10	90	42 AKERIB	17		$m_{A^0} = 11.8 \text{ keV}$
10	90	43 AKERIB		LUX	Solar axions
	90		17B	LUX	$m_{A^0} = 1-16 \text{ keV}$
$< 2.3 \times 10^{-13}$	90	44 APRILE	17B	X100	$m_{A^0} = 6 \text{ keV}$
$<4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	⁴⁵ FICEK	17		$m_{ extstyle A^0} < 1 ext{ keV}$
$<4.35 \times 10^{-12}$	90	⁴⁶ FU	17A	PNDX	Solar axions
$<4.3 \times 10^{-14}$	90	⁴⁷ FU	17A		$m_{A^0}=2 \text{ keV}$
$< 5 \times 10^{-13}$	90	⁴⁸ LIU	17A	CDEX	$m_{A^0} = 13 \text{ keV}$
$< 2.5 \times 10^{-11}$	90	49 LIU	17A	CDEX	Solar axions
< 0.15	95	⁵⁰ LUO	17		$m_{A^0} = 300 \text{ eV}$
$<3.3 \times 10^{-13}$	68	⁵¹ BATTICH	16		White dwarf cooling
$< 7 \times 10^{-13}$		⁵² CORSICO	16	ASTR	White dwarf cooling
$<1.39 \times 10^{-11}$	90	⁵³ YOON	16	KIMS	Solar axions
$< 7.4 \times 10^{-9}$	95	⁵⁴ TERRANO	15		$m_{A^0} < 30 \ \mu eV$
<8 × 10 ⁻¹³	90	⁵⁵ ABE	14F		$m_{A^0} = 60 \text{ keV}$
$< 7.7 \times 10^{-12}$	90	⁵⁶ APRILE ⁵⁷ APRILE	14B	X100	Solar axions
< 0.06 0.0 × 10=8	00	58 DERBIN	14B	X100	$m_{A^0} = 5-7 \text{ keV}$
$< 0.96 - 8.2 \times 10^{-8}$	90		14	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 0.1-1 \text{ MeV}$
$<2.8 \times 10^{-13}$	99	⁵⁹ MILLER-BER		ASTR	White dwarf cooling
$<5.4 \times 10^{-11}$ $<1.07 \times 10^{-12}$	90 90	61 ARMENGAUD		EDEL	Solar axions
					$m_{A^0} = 12.5 \text{ keV}$
$< 2.59 \times 10^{-11}$	90	⁶² ARMENGAUD ⁶³ BARTH	13 13	CAST	Solar axions Solar axions
$< 1.4-9.7 \times 10^{-7}$	90	64 DERBIN	13	CNTR	$m_{\Delta^0} = 0.1-1 \text{ MeV}$
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$	68	65 HECKEL	13	CIVII	/ 1
$<4.3 \times 10^{-13}$	95	66 VIAUX	13A	ASTR	$m_{ extstyle A^0} \leq 0.1~\mu ext{eV}$ Low-mass red giants
$<7 \times 10^{-13}$	95 95	67 CORSICO	13A 12	ASTR	White dwarf cooling
$< 2.2 \times 10^{-10}$	90	68 DERBIN	12	CNTR	Solar axions
$< 0.02-1 \times 10^{-10}$	90	69 AALSETH	11	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 0.3-8 \text{ keV}$
$<1.4 \times 10^{-12}$	90	⁷⁰ AHMED	09A	CDMS	$m_{A0} = 2.5 \text{ keV}$
$<4 \times 10^{-9}$		71 DAVOUDIASL		ASTR	Earth cooling
$<2.7 \times 10^{-8}$	66	72 NI	94	73111	Induced magnetism
\ /\ ±0	30	⁷² CHUI	93		Induced magnetism
$< 3.6 \times 10^{-7}$	66	⁷³ PAN	92		Torsion pendulum
$< 2.9 \times 10^{-8}$	95	⁷² BOBRAKOV	91		Induced magnetism
$< 1.9 \times 10^{-6}$	66	⁷⁴ WINELAND	91	NMR	-

<7	\times 10 ⁻⁷	66	⁷³ RITTER	90	Torsion pendulum
< 6.6	$\times 10^{-8}$	95	⁷² VOROBYOV	88	Induced magnetism

- 1 AGOSTINI 24A report a search for axion-like particle dark matter absorption and dark Compton scattering on germanium, generating electron events in the GERDA experiment. The quoted limit is at $m_{\Delta0}=150$ keV. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- ² ARNQUIST 24 use the MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR to search for high-mass axion-like particle dark matter absorption by Germanium. See Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ³AALBERS 23A look for solar axions from the ABC processes. See their Fig. 6 for the limits.
- 4 AALBERS 23A look for absorption of axion dark matter. The quoted limit is for $m_{A^0} \simeq 1.4$ keV. The local density $\rho_A = 0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵ ADHIKARI 23 is an update of ADHIKARI 19B.
- ⁶ ADHIKARI 23A look for absorption and Compton-like processes of axion dark matter. The quoted limit is for $m_{\Delta0} \simeq 37$ keV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁷ AGNES 23A look for absorption of axion dark matter. The quoted limit is for $m_{A^0} \simeq 0.25$ keV. The local density $\rho_A = 0.3$ GeV/cm³ is assumed. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁸ APRILE 23B look for an absorption signal of axions within ± 500 seconds of the GW signals, including the neutron star merger GW170817. They set a 90% CL upper limit on the product of coincident fluence and cross section of axions to be less than 10^{-29} cm²/cm² in the recoil energy range of 5.5–210 keV_{ee}.
- ⁹ CAPOZZI 23A search for axions produced in electromagnetic showers in proton beam dumps and fixed target experiments. In this case, they reinterpret MiniBoone data. Quoted limit applies at 1 MeV. See Fig. 8 for mass-dependent limits.
- 10 WADEKAR 23 use the Leo T dwarf galaxy's interstellar medium to derive limits, requiring the heating rate from axion dark matter absorption into hydrogen atoms and two-photon decay to be less than the astrophysical cooling rate. See Fig. 2 for limits over $m_{\mbox{$A^0$}}=1\mbox{-}100$ keV, which loosen for lighter masses.
- 11 APRILE 22 extend APRILE 19D to lower masses by removing the background of ionization signals correlated with high-energy events. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\mbox{$A^0$}}=0.1$ keV. See their Fig. 15 for mass-dependent limits.
- 12 APRILE 22B is an update of APRILE 20, and set the limit, $g_{A\,e\,e} \lesssim 9\times 10^{-15} \text{--}3\times 10^{-13}$. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0}=2$ keV. They exclude the XENON1T excess found in APRILE 20. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- 13 APRILE 22B is an update of APRILE 20. They exclude the XENON1T excess found in APRILE 20. The quoted limit holds for small $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$. See their Fig. 6 for correlation between g_{Aee} and $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$.
- 14 DESSERT 22 is an update of DESSERT 19. They used the Chandra observation of the magnetic white dwarf RE J0317-853 to look for converted X-rays in the magnetosphere from axions produced in the core through electron bremsstrahlung. They obtained the limit, $g_{A\,e\,e}\cdot G_{A\,\gamma\,\gamma} < 1.3\times 10^{-25}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$ at 95% CL for $m_{A^0} \lesssim 10^{-5}~{\rm eV}.$ See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 15 IKEDA 22 look for magnons excited by dark matter axions, using data taken with a hybrid quantum system consisting of a superconducting qubit and a spherical ferrimagnetic crystal. The quoted limit assumes the local dark matter density $\rho_A=0.45~\text{GeV/cm}^3$ and the velocity v=220~km/sec. See their Fig. 4 for the limits.
- 16 LANGHOFF 22 set limits by considering the freeze-in production of axions coupled to electrons without anomalous coupling to photons. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0}=15$ MeV for the reheating temperature equal to 5 MeV. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits

- 17 WANG 22C use the spin-amplifier based on hyperpolarized 129 Xe to set limits on the product of the axion couplings to electrons and nucleons as $g_{A\,e\,e}\,g_{A\,n\,n}\,<\,4\times10^2\,$ (95 % CL) at $m_{A^0}=0.1$ meV. Here $g_{A\,n\,n}$ is the dimensionless axion-neutron coupling. See their Fig. 4 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 18 XIAO 22 extend XIAO 21 in the list of photon coupling limits by including the production of axions from Compton and bremsstrahlung processes, and set limits on the product of the axion couplings to electrons and photons as $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ g_{Aee} < 0.4–2.8 \times 10 $^{-24}$ GeV $^{-1}$ (95 % CL) for m_{A^0} < 3.5 \times 10 $^{-11}$ eV. See their Fig. 5 for the limits. They are comparable to those of DESSERT 19 and more restrictive than the CAST bounds of BARTH 13.
- 19 CALORE 21 consider the production of axions from Galactic and extragalactic SNe via nucleon-nucleon bremsstrahlung and their subsequent decay into electron-positron pairs, and exclude the range of $g_{A\,e\,e} \simeq 10^{-19}$ – 10^{-11} at $g_{A\,p\,p} = 10^{-9}$ for $m_{A^0} = 3$ –30 MeV. See their Fig. 7 for the limits.
- 20 LUCENTE 21 study the axion production in a supernova via electron-proton bremsstrahlung and electron-positron fusion, and exclude the range of $g_{Aee} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-9}$ –5.5 \times 10^{-7} for masses less than 1 MeV. See their Fig. 12 for the mass-dependent limits extending up to 150 MeV. This limit was corrected in the erratum.
- ²¹ AGOSTINI 20 is analogous to AHMED 09A. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0}=150$ keV. Their limits in their Fig. 3 were later found to be incorrect due to an error of their Eqs. (1) and (2). See Fig. 3 in AGOSTINI 22A for the corrected limits.
- 22 AMARAL 20 use a second-generation SuperCDMS high-voltage eV-resolution detector to set limits on dark-matter axion absorption. The quoted limit is for $m_{A^0} \simeq 17$ eV. The local density $\rho_A = 0.3 \; {\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits
- APRILE 20 is an update of APRILE 17B where they look for an absorption signal of axion dark matter. They obtained the limit, $g_{Aee} \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-14} 1 \times 10^{-12}$ at 90%CL for $m_{A^0} = 1$ –200 keV. They also found an excess over known backgrounds, which favors the mass $m_{A^0} = 2.3 \pm 0.2$ keV with a 3 σ significance. See their Fig. 10 for mass-dependent limits
- ²⁴ APRILE 20 look for solar axions from the ABC interactions, the Primakoff conversion, and the 14.4 keV M1 transition of ⁵⁷ Fe, and set limits on g_{Aee} , $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$, g_{ANN} , and their products. An excess is observed at low energies between 2 and 3 keV. See their Fig.8 for correlation between the couplings. The quoted limit applies to the case of vanishing $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ and g_{ANN} .
- 25 ARALIS 20 is analogous to AHMED 09A. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0}=0.3$ keV. The limits at masses above 3 keV in their Fig. 9 was later found to be incorrect due to an error in their analysis. See Fig. 2 in erratum for the corrected limits.
- 26 CAPOZZI 20 obtains a limit on the axion-electron coupling from the brightness of the tip of the red-giant branch in ω Centauri. A similar limit of $<1.6\times10^{-13}$ is obtained in NGC 4258.
- ²⁷ CRESCINI 20 is an update of CRESCINI 18. They assume a local axion dark matter density, $\rho_A=0.3~{\rm GeV/cm}^3$. See their Fig.4 for the limits.
- $^{28}\,\text{GHOSH}$ 20A study thermal production of axion via coupling to leptons in the early universe and estimate its contribution to ΔN_{eff} . The quoted limit is for $\Delta N_{\text{eff}} < 0.5$. See their Fig. 7 for their mass-dependent limits.
- ²⁹ STRANIERO 20 is analogous to CAPOZZI 20, with 22 galactic globular clusters used to derive the limit.
- 30 WANG 20A is an update of LIU 17A. See their Fig. 9.
- 31 WANG 20A is an update of LIU 17A. They assume a local axion dark matter density, ρ_A = 0.3 GeV/cm 3 . See their Fig. 10 for limits between 0.185 $< m_{A^0} <$ 10 keV.

³² ADHIKARI 19B is analogous to LIU 17A.

- ³³ APRILE 19D is analogous to APRILE 17B, but they use only ionization signals. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\Delta0}=0.7$ keV. See their Fig. 5(e) for mass-dependent limits.
- 34 DESSERT 19 used the Suzaku observations of a magnetic white dwarf (RE J0317-853) to look for X-ray signatures converted from axions in the surrounding magnetic fields. They obtained the limit, $g_{A\,e\,e}\cdot G_{A\,\gamma\,\gamma} < 1.6\times 10^{-24}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$ at 95%CL for $m_{A^0} \lesssim 10^{-5}~{\rm eV}$. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 35 TERRANO 19 look for the axion-induced oscillating magnetic field acting on the electron spin, using data taken with a rotating torsion pendulum containing polarized electrons. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\mbox{$A^0$}}=10^{-23}\mbox{-}10^{-18}$ eV and assumes a local axion dark matter density, $\rho_{\mbox{$A$}}=0.45$ GeV/cm 3 . See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 36 ABE 18F is an update of ABE 14F. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0}=60$ keV. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- $^{
 m 37}$ ARMENGAUD 18 is analogous to LIU 17A.
- ³⁸ ARMENGAUD 18 is analogous to AHMED 09A. See the left panel of Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ³⁹ CRESCINI 18 look for collective excitations of the electron spins caused by dark matter axions. The quoted limit assumes the local dark matter density, $\rho_{\Delta} = 0.45 \text{ GeV/cm}^3$.
- ⁴⁰ FICEK 18 use the measurements of the hyperfine structure of antiprotonic helium to constrain a dipole-dipole potential between electron and antiproton. See their Fig. 3 for limits on various spin- and velocity-dependent potentials.
- 41 ABGRALL 17 is analogous to AHMED 09A using the MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR. See their Fig. 2 for limits between 6 keV $< m_{A0} <$ 97 keV.
- ⁴² AKERIB 17B is analogous to LIU 17A.
- 43 AKERIB 17B is analogous to AHMED 09A. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- 44 APRILE 17B is analogous to AHMED 09A. They found a bug in their code and needed to correct the limits in Fig. 7 of APRILE 14B. See their Fig. 1 for the corrected limits between 1 keV $< m_{A^0} <$ 40 keV.
- 45 FICEK 17 look for spin-dependent interactions between electrons by comparing precision spectroscopic measurements in 4 He with theoretical calculations. See their Fig. 1 for limits up to $m_{\Delta0}=10$ keV.
- $^{
 m 46}\,{\rm FU}$ 17A is analogous to LIU 17A. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- $^{
 m 47}\,{\rm FU}$ 17A is analogous to AHMED 09A. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 48 LIU 17A is analogous to AHMED 09A. See their Fig. 9 for limits between 0.25 keV $< m_{\Delta0}~<$ 20 keV.
- ⁴⁹ LIU 17A look for solar axions produced from Compton, bremsstrahlung, atomic-recombination and deexcitation channels, and set a limit for $m_{\Lambda0} < 1$ keV.
- 50 LUO 17 use a recent measurement of the dipole-dipole interaction between two iron atoms at the nanometer scale and set a limit for $m_{A^0} < 1$ keV. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵¹ BATTICH 16 is analogous to CORSICO 16 and used the pulsating DB white dwarf PG 1351+489.
- ⁵² CORSICO 16 studied the cooling rate of the pulsating DA white dwarf L19-2 based on __ an asteroseismic model.
- ⁵³ YOON 16 look for solar axions with the axio-electric effect in Csl(TI) crystals and set a limit for m_{A0} < 1 keV.
- ⁵⁴ TERRANO 15 used a torsion pendulum and rotating attractor with 20-pole electron-spin distributions. See their Fig. 4 for a mass-dependent limit up to $m_{\Delta0}=500~\mu\text{eV}$.
- 55 ABE 14F set limits on the axioelectric effect in the XMASS detector assuming the pseudoscalar constitutes all the local dark matter. See their Fig. 3 for limits between $m_{A^0} = 40$ –120 keV.

 56 APRILE 14B look for solar axions using the XENON100 detector.

- ⁵⁷ APRILE 14B is analogous to AHMED 09A. Their Fig. 7 was later found to be incorrect due to a bug in their code. See Fig. 1 in APRILE 17B for the corrected limits.
- ⁵⁸ DERBIN 14 is an update of DERBIN 13 with a BGO scintillating bolometer. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵⁹ MILLER-BERTOLAMI 14 studied the impact of axion emission on white dwarf cooling in a self-consistent way.
- 60 ABE 13D is analogous to DERBIN 12, using the XMASS detector.
- 61 ARMENGAUD 13 is similar to AALSETH 11. See their Fig. 10 for limits between 3 keV $< m_{\it \Delta0} <$ 100 keV.
- 62 ARMENGAUD 13 is similar to DERBIN 12, and take account of axio-recombination and axio-deexcitation effects. See their Fig. 12 for mass-dependent limits.
- 63 BARTH 13 search for solar axions produced by axion-electron coupling, and obtained the limit, $g_{A\,e\,e}\cdot G_{A\,\gamma\,\gamma}<~8.1\times 10^{-23}~\text{GeV}^{-1}$ at 95%CL.
- ⁶⁴ DERBIN 13 looked for 5.5 MeV solar axions produced in $pd \to {}^3\text{He }A^0$ in a BGO detector through the axioelectric effect. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 65 HECKEL 13 studied the influence of 2 or 4 stationary sources each containing 6.0×10^{24} polarized electrons, on a rotating torsion pendulum containing 9.8×10^{24} polarized electrons. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- $^{66}\,\text{VIAUX}$ 13A constrain axion emission using the observed brightness of the tip of the red-giant branch in the globular cluster M5.
- 67 CORSICO 12 attributed the excessive cooling rate of the pulsating white dwarf R548 to emission of axions with $g_{Aee} \simeq 4.8 \times 10^{-13}$.
- ⁶⁸ DERBIN 12 look for solar axions with the axio-electric effect in a Si(Li) detector. The solar production is based on Compton and bremsstrahlung processes.
- 69 AALSETH 11 is analogous to AHMED 09A. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁷⁰ AHMED 09A assume keV-mass pseudoscalars are the local dark matter and constrain the axio-electric effect in the CDMS detector. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁷¹ DAVOUDIASL 09 use geophysical constraints on Earth cooling by axion emission.
- 72 These experiments measured induced magnetization of a bulk material by the spin-dependent potential generated from other bulk material with aligned electron spins, where the magnetic field is shielded with superconductor. The sign of the limit set by CHUI 93 is opposite to that of the axion-mediated dipole-dipole potential.
- 73 These experiments used a torsion pendulum to measure the potential between two bulk matter objects where the spins are polarized but without a net magnetic field in either of them. The limits reflect the corrected sign of the dipole-dipole potential.
- ⁷⁴WINELAND 91 looked for an effect of bulk matter with aligned electron spins on atomic hyperfine splitting using nuclear magnetic resonance.

Invisible A⁰ (Axion) Limits from Nucleon Coupling

Limits are for the axion mass in eV.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
< 0.01	95	¹ LELLA	24	ASTR	SN1987A
		² SU	24	NMR	polarized ¹²⁹ Xe
		³ KARANTH	23		Deuteron EDM
		⁴ LEE	23		Axion dark matter
< 0.016	95				Neutron star cooling
<320	90	⁶ GAVRILYUK		CNTR	Solar axion
		⁷ SCHULTHESS	22		Neutron EDM
		⁸ AYBAS	21	CASP	Nucleon EDM
		⁹ BHUSAL	21		Solar axion
https://pdg.lbl.gov		Page 52		Creat	ed: 12/2/2025 14:24

		¹⁰ JIANG ¹¹ ROUSSY ¹² ZHANG	21 21 21 _B	NMR ASTR	Axion dark matter Molecular EDM Neutron star inspiral
< 24	90	¹³ ABDELHAME	.20	CNTR	Solar axion
		¹⁴ ABDELHAME	.20	CNTR	Solar axion
		¹⁵ APRILE	20	XE1T	Solar axion
		¹⁶ KLIMCHITSK	20		Casimir effect
< 7.3	90	¹⁷ WANG	20A	CDEX	Solar axion
< 0.03		¹⁸ LEINSON	19	ASTR	Neutron star cooling
$< 9.6 \times 10^{-3}$	95	¹⁹ LLOYD	19	ASTR	γ -rays from NS
		²⁰ SMORRA	19		p g-factor
		$^{21}\mathrm{WU}$	19	NMR	Axion dark matter
< 65	95	²² AKHMATOV	18	CNTR	Solar axion
< 6.6	90	²³ ARMENGAUD	18	EDE3	Solar axion
< 0.085	90	²⁴ BEZNOGOV	18	ASTR	Neutron star cooling
< 12.7	95	²⁵ GAVRILYUK	18	CNTR	Solar axion
< 0.01		²⁶ HAMAGUCHI	18	ASTR	Neutron star cooling
		²⁷ ABEL	17		Neutron EDM
< 93	90	²⁸ ABGRALL	17	HPGE	Solar axion
< 4	90	²⁹ FU	17A	PNDX	Solar axion
		³⁰ KLIMCHITSK	17A		Casimir effect
<177	90	³¹ LIU	17A	CDEX	Solar axion
< 0.079	95	³² BERENJI	16	ASTR	γ -rays from NS
<100	95	³³ GAVRILYUK	15	CNTR	Solar axion
		³⁴ KLIMCHITSK	15		Casimir-less
		35 BEZERRA	14		Casimir effect
		³⁶ BEZERRA	14A		Casimir effect
		³⁷ BEZERRA	14 B		Casimir effect
		³⁸ BEZERRA	14 C		Casimir effect
		³⁹ BLUM	14	COSM	⁴ He abundance
		40 LEINSON	14	ASTR	Neutron star cooling
<250	95	⁴¹ ALESSANDRIA	13	CNTR	Solar axion
<155	90	⁴² ARMENGAUD	13	EDEL	Solar axion
$< 8.6 \times 10^{3}$	90	⁴³ BELLI	12	CNTR	Solar axion
$< 1.4 \times 10^4$	90	44 BELLINI	12 B	BORX	Solar axion
<145	95	⁴⁵ DERBIN	11	CNTR	Solar axion
		⁴⁶ BELLINI	80	CNTR	Solar axion
		⁴⁷ ADELBERGER	07		Test of Newton's law

¹ LELLA 24 update constraints on the axion-proton coupling from supernova 1987A based on the SN cooling argument (including a treatment of the trapping regime) as well as the non-observation of any coincident axion-induced events in the Kamiokande II neutrino detector. They exclude QCD axion models above 0.01 eV, and axion-like particles in a window that extends up to 300 MeV. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.

 $^{^2}$ SU 24 search for an axion-mediated dipole-dipole force between two separated spin-polarized samples of 129 Xe gas. They set limits on the axion-neutron coupling for axion masses between 3.2 and 24.3 μ eV. See their Fig. 4(b) for mass-dependent limits.

 $^{^3}$ KARANTH 23 utilized an in-plane polarized deuteron beam in a storage ring to constrain the axion-induced oscillating EDM of the deuteron for $m_{\Delta0}=0.496$ –0.502 neV.

Assuming axions account for all dark matter with $\rho_A \simeq 0.55~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$, they derived constraints on axion couplings to the deuteron EDM operator, gluons, and the deuteron spin. For detailed limits, see their Figs. 19–21.

- ⁴ LEE 23 analyzed data from a K $^{-3}$ He comagnetometer, accounting for stochastic effects, to limit the axion-neutron coupling $g_{Ann} < 2.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ at 95% CL for $m_{A^0} = 0.4$ –4 feV. They assumed axions form all dark matter with a density of 0.3 GeV/cm 3 . See their Fig. 5 for the limits.
- 5 BUSCHMANN 22 studied the axion emission from five neutron stars with ages $\sim 10^5 10^6$ years, comparing the simulation with axions to age and luminosity measurements. The mass bound assumes the KSVZ axion model with C $_p = -0.47$ and C $_n = -0.02$. See their Fig. 3 for the limits on the DFSZ axion model.
- ⁶ GAVRILYUK 22 look for solar axions from the ABC interactions with the experimental setup similar to GAVRILYUK 15. The mass bound assumes the KSVZ axion model, S=0.5, and $m_{II}/m_{cl}=0.56$.
- 7 SCHULTHESS 22 look for a time-oscillating neutron EDM caused by the coupling between axion dark matter and gluons, using a Ramsey-type apparatus for a cold neutron beam. See their Fig. 4 for limits in the range of $m_{A^0}=10^{-19}$ –4 $\times\,10^{-12}$ eV.
- 8 AYBAS 21 limits the axion couplings to the nucleon EDM and the nucleons as $g_{AN\gamma} < 9.5 \times 10^{-4}~{\rm GeV}^{-2}$ and $g_{ANN}/2m_N < 0.28~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$ (95 % CL) for $m_{A^0} = 162$ –166 neV, based on a measurement of $^{207}{\rm Pb}$ solid-state NMR in a polarized ferroelecrtric crystal. Here m_N is the nucleon mass and g_{ANN} is the dimensionless axion-nucleon coupling. They assume that axions make up all the dark matter with $\rho_A \simeq 0.46~{\rm GeV/cm}^3$. See their Fig. 3 for the limits.
- 9 BHUSAL 21 looked for 5.5 MeV solar axions produced by $pd \to ^3 {\rm He}\,A^0$ through the axion-induced dissociation of deuterons by using SNO data, and set a limit on the isovector axion-nucleon coupling, $|g_{aN}^3| < 2 \times 10^{-5}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$, which is equivalent to $|g_{Ann} g_{App}| < 4 \times 10^{-5}$ in terms of the dimensionless axion-nucleon couplings.
- 10 JIANG 21 use the spin-amplifier based on hyperpolarized 129 Xe gas to set limits on the axion couplings to nucleons as $g_{A\,N\,N}/2m_N < 3.2\times 10^{-9}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$ (95 % CL) at $m_{A^0}=52.94$ feV, and comparable limits in the mass range of 8.3–744 feV. Here m_N is the nucleon mass and $g_{A\,N\,N}$ is the dimensionless axion-nucleon coupling. They assume that axions make up all the dark matter with $\rho_A \simeq 0.4~{\rm GeV/cm}^3$. See their Fig. 4b for the limits.
- ¹¹ ROUSSY 21 look for a time-oscillating EDM of molecular ions HfF⁺ induced by axion dark matter couplings to gluons. See their Fig. 3 for limits in the range of $m_{A^0} = 10^{-22}$ – 10^{-15} eV.
- 12 ZHANG 21B use the gravitational waves from the binary neutron star inspiral GW170817 to look for a type of axion whose mass is suppressed due to cancellation with additional contributions. They exclude $1.6\times10^{16}~< f_A~<~10^{18}~{\rm GeV}$ at 3 σ for $m_{A^0}~\lesssim~10^{-13}$ eV. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 13 ABDELHAMEED 20 look for the resonant excitation of 169 Tm (8.41 keV) by solar axions produced via the Primakoff effect. The mass bound assumes the KSVZ axion model, S=0.5, and $m_u/m_d=0.56$. They set a limit on the product of axion couplings to photons and nucleons as $G_{A\gamma\gamma} \cdot g_{App} < 1.44 \times 10^{-14} \ \text{GeV}^{-1}$ (90 % CL).
- 14 ABDELHAMEED 20 look for the resonant excitation of 169 Tm (8.41 keV) by solar axions produced via the axion-electron coupling. They set a limit on the product of axion couplings to electrons and nucleons as $g_{A\,e\,e}$ · $g_{A\,p\,p} < 2.81 \times 10^{-16}$ (90 % CL).
- 15 APRILE 20 look for solar axions from the ABC interactions, the Primakoff conversion, and the 14.4 keV M1 transition of 57 Fe. An excess is observed at low energies between 2 and 3 keV. See their Fig.8 for correlation between the couplings.
- 16 KLIMCHITSKAYA 20 use the measurement of the Casimir force between a Au-coated microsphere and a SiC plate to constrain the force due to two-axion exchange for 17.8 $\,< m_{A^0} \,< 100$ eV. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.

- WANG 20A is an update of LIU 17A. The limit assumes the DFSZ axion. See their Fig. 7 for the limit on product of axion couplings to electrons and nucleons.
- 18 LEINSON 19 is analogous to BEZNOGOV 18, but estimating the axion luminosity based on the Tolman's analytic solution to the Einstein equations of spherical fluids in hydrostatic equilibrium. The dimensionless axion-neutron coupling is constrained as $g_{Ann} < 1.0 \times 10^{-10}$.
- 19 LLOYD 19 is analogous to BERENJI 16. They highlight that the limit obtained with this technique strongly depends on the assumed NS core temperature.
- 20 SMORRA 19 look for spin-precession effects from ultra-light axion dark matter in the $\overline{\rho}$ spin-flip resonance data. Assuming $\rho_A=0.4~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$, they constrain the dimensionless axion-antiproton coupling as $g_{A\overline{\rho}\overline{\rho}}<2$ –9 at 95% CL for $m_{A^0}=2\times 10^{-23}$ –4 $\times 10^{-17}$ eV. See the right panel of their Fig. 3.
- 21 WU 19 look for axion-induced time-oscillating features of the NMR spectrum of acetonitrile-2- 13 C. Assuming C $_p=$ C $_n$ and $\rho_A=$ 0.4 GeV/cm 3 , they constrain the dimensionless axion-nucleon coupling as g_{ANN} < 6 \times 10 $^{-5}$ for $m_{A^0}=$ 10 $^{-21}$ –1.3 \times 10 $^{-17}$ eV. Note that the limits for $m_{A^0}<$ 10 $^{-21}$ eV in their Fig. 3(a) should be weaker than those for heavier masses. See ADELBERGER 19 and WU 19C on this issue.
- ²² AKHMATOV 18 is an update of GAVRILYUK 15.
- ²³ ARMENGAUD 18 is analogous to ALESSANDRIA 13. The quoted limit assumes the DFSZ axion model. See their Fig. 4 for the limit on product of axion couplings to electrons and nucleons.
- 24 BEZNOGOV 18 constrain the axion-neutron coupling by assuming that thermal evolution of the hot neutron star HESS J1731-347 is dominated by the lowest possible neutrino emission. The quoted limit assumes the KSVZ axion with the effective Peccei-Quinn charge of the neutron $\mathsf{C}_n = -0.02$. The dimensionless axion-neutron couling is constrained as $\mathsf{g}_{Ann} < 2.8 \times 10^{-10}$.
- 25 GAVRILYUK 18 look for the resonant excitation of $^{83}{\rm Kr}$ (9.4 keV) by solar axions produced via the Primakoff effect. The mass bound assumes $m_u/m_d=0.56$ and S=0.5
- 26 HAMAGUCHI 18 studied the axion emission from the neutron star in Cassiopeia A based on the minimal cooling scenario which explains the observed rapid cooling rate. The quoted limit corresponds to $f_A > 5 \times 10^8$ GeV obtained for the KSVZ axion with C $_p = -0.47$ and C $_n = -0.02$.
- 27 ABEL 17 look for a time-oscillating neutron EDM and an axion-wind spin-precession effect respectively induced by axion dark matter couplings to gluons and nucleons. See their Fig. 4 for limits in the range of $m_{A^0}=10^{-24}\text{--}10^{-17}\,\,\text{eV}.$
- ²⁸ ABGRALL 17 limit assumes the hadronic axion model used in ALESSANDRIA 13. See their Fig. 4 for the limit on product of axion couplings to electrons and nucleons.
- 29 FU 17A look for the 14.4 keV 57 Fe solar axions. The limit assumes the DFSZ axion model. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits on the axion-electron coupling. Notice that in this figure the DFSZ and KSVZ lines should be interchanged.
- $^{30}\,\text{KLIMCHITSKAYA}$ 17A use the differential measurement of the Casimir force between a Ni-coated sphere and Au and Ni sectors of the structured disc to constrain the axion coupling to nucleons for 2.61 meV $< m_{A^0} < 0.9$ eV. See their Figs. 1 and 2 for mass dependent limits.
- 31 LIU 17 is analogous to ALESSANDRIA 13. The limit assumes the hadronic axion model. See their Fig. 6(b) for the limit on product of axion couplings to electrons and nucleons.
- 32 BERENJI 16 used the Fermi LAT observations of neutron stars to look for photons from axion decay. They assume the effective Peccei-Quinn charge of the neutron C $_n=0.1$ and a neutron-star core temperature of 20 MeV.
- 33 GAVRILYUK 15 look for solar axions emitted by the M1 transition of 83 Kr (9.4 keV). The mass bound assumes $m_u/m_d=0.56$ and S=0.5.

- 34 KLIMCHITSKAYA 15 use the measurement of differential forces between a test mass and rotating source masses of Au and Si to constrain the force due to two-axion exchange for 1.7 \times 10 $^{-3}~<$ $m_{\Lambda^0}~<$ 0.9 eV. See their Figs. 1 and 2 for mass dependent limits.
- 35 BEZERRA 14 use the measurement of the thermal Casimir-Polder force between a Bose-Einstein condensate of 87 Rb atoms and a SiO $_2$ plate to constrain the force mediated by exchange of two pseudoscalars for 0.1 meV $< m_{A^0} <$ 0.3 eV. See their Fig. 2 for the mass-dependent limit on pseudoscalar coupling to nucleons.
- 36 BEZERRA 14A is analogous to BEZERRA 14. They use the measurement of the Casimir pressure between two Au-coated plates to constrain pseudoscalar coupling to nucleons for $1\times 10^{-3}~{\rm eV} < m_{\varDelta 0} < 15~{\rm eV}.$ See their Figs. 1 and 2 for the mass-dependent limit.
- 37 BEZERRA 14B is analogous to BEZERRA 14. BEZERRA 14B use the measurement of the normal and lateral Casimir forces between sinusoidally corrugated surfaces of a sphere and a plate to constrain pseudoscalar coupling to nucleons for 1 eV $< m_{\mbox{$A^0$}} < 20$ eV. See their Figs. 1–3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 38 BEZERRA 14C is analogous to BEZERRA 14. They use the measurement of the gradient of the Casimir force between Au- and Ni-coated surfaces of a sphere and a plate to constrain pseudoscalar coupling to nucleons for 3×10^{-5} eV $< m_{A_0} < 1$ eV. See their Figs. 1, 3, and 4 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 39 BLUM 14 studied effects of an oscillating strong $\it CP$ phase induced by axion dark matter on the primordial 4 He abundance. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 40 LEINSON 14 attributes the excessive cooling rate of the neutron star in Cassiopeia A to axion emission from the superfluid core, and found $C_n^2 m_{A^0}^2 \simeq 5.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}^2$, where C_n is the effective Peccei-Quinn charge of the neutron.
- ⁴¹ ALESSANDRIA 13 used the CUORE experiment to look for 14.4 keV solar axions produced from the M1 transition of thermally excited ⁵⁷Fe nuclei in the solar core, using the axio-electric effect. The limit assumes the hadronic axion model. See their Fig. 4 for the limit on product of axion couplings to electrons and nucleons.
- ⁴² ARMENGAUD 13 is analogous to ALESSANDRIA 13. The limit assumes the hadronic axion model. See their Fig. 8 for the limit on product of axion couplings to electrons and nucleons.
- 43 BELLI 12 looked for solar axions emitted by the M1 transition of $^7\text{Li}^*$ (478 keV) after the electron capture of ^7Be , using the resonant excitation ^7Li in the LiF crystal. The mass bound assumes $m_u/m_d=0.55,\ m_u/m_s=0.029,\ \text{and}$ the flavor-singlet axial vector matrix element S=0.4.
- ⁴⁴ BELLINI 12B looked for 5.5 MeV solar axions produced in the $pd \rightarrow {}^{3}$ He A^{0} . The limit assumes the hadronic axion model. See their Figs. 6 and 7 for mass-dependent limits on productsof axion couplings to photons, electrons, and nucleons.
- 45 DERBIN 11 looked for solar axions emitted by the M1 transition of thermally excited 57 Fe nuclei in the Sun, using their possible resonant capture on 57 Fe in the laboratory. The mass bound assumes $m_u/m_d=0.56$ and the flavor-singlet axial vector matrix element $S=3F-D~\simeq~0.5$.
- 46 BELLINI 08 consider solar axions emitted in the M1 transition of $^7\mathrm{Li}^*$ (478 keV) and look for a peak at 478 keV in the energy spectra of the Counting Test Facility (CTF), a Borexino prototype. For $m_{A^0} < 450$ keV they find mass-dependent limits on products of axion couplings to photons, electrons, and nucleons.
- ⁴⁷ ADELBERGER 07 use precision tests of Newton's law to constrain a force contribution from the exchange of two pseudoscalars. See their Fig. 5 for limits on the pseudoscalar coupling to nucleons, relevant for m_{A0} below about 1 meV.

Axion Limits from T-violating Medium-Range Forces

The limit is for the coupling $g=g_p\ g_s$ in a T-violating potential between nucleons, nucleon and electron, or electrons of the form $V=\frac{g\hbar^2}{8\pi m_p}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\hat{r}})\ (\frac{1}{r^2}+\frac{1}{\lambda r})\ e^{-r/\lambda}$, where g_s and g_p are dimensionless scalar and pseudoscalar coupling constants, m_p is the fermion mass with the pseudoscalar coupling (whereas the mass m_s of the fermion with the scalar coupling does not explicitly appear), and $\lambda=\hbar/(m_Ac)$ is the range of the force

VALUE DOCUMENT	ID	TECN	COMMENT
ullet $ullet$ We do not use the following d	ata for a	verages,	fits, limits, etc. • • •
¹ AYRES	23	EDM	ultracold neutrons
² PODDAR	23	ASTR	solar system
³ ZHANG	23	NMR	polarized ¹²⁹ Xe and ¹³¹ Xe
⁴ CRESCINI	22	SQID	paramagnetic GSO crystal
⁵ FENG	22	NMR	polarized ¹²⁹ Xe and ¹³¹ Xe
⁶ AFACH	21	GNME	•
⁷ DZUBA	18	THEO	atomic EDM
⁸ STADNIK	18	THEO	atomic and molecular EDMs
⁹ CRESCINI	17	SQID	paramagnetic GSO crystal
¹⁰ AFACH	15		ultracold neutrons
¹¹ STADNIK	15	THEO	nucleon spin contributions for nuclei
¹² TERRANO	15		torsion pendulum
¹³ BULATOW	ICZ 13	NMR	polarized ¹²⁹ Xe and ¹³¹ Xe
¹⁴ CHU	13		polarized ³ He
¹⁵ TULLNEY	13	SQID	polarized ³ He and ¹²⁹ Xe
¹⁶ RAFFELT	12		stellar energy loss
¹⁷ HOEDL	11		torsion pendulum
¹⁸ PETUKHO	V 10		polarized ³ He
¹⁹ SEREBRO\	/ 10		ultracold neutrons
²⁰ IGNATOVI	CH 09	RVUE	ultracold neutrons
²¹ SEREBRO\	/ 09	RVUE	ultracold neutrons
²² BAESSLER	07		ultracold neutrons
²³ HECKEL	06		torsion pendulum
²⁴ NI	99		paramagnetic Tb F ₃
²⁵ POSPELO\	/ 98	THEO	neutron EDM
²⁶ YOUDIN	96		
²⁷ RITTER	93		torsion pendulum
²⁸ VENEMA	92		nuclear spin-precession frequencies
²⁹ WINELANI	91	NMR	

 $^{^1}$ AYRES 23 at PSI use their neutron EDM setup to look for a mm to micron-range spin-dependent force between ultracold spin-polarized neutrons stored in vacuum and the unpolarised nucleons in the surrounding apparatus. They constrain a nucleon-neutron monopole-dipole interaction parameterised by the coupling $g_s^N g_p^n$. They set a limit of $g_s^N g_p^n < 10^{-20}$ (95% CL) for a 1 meV mass axion, see Fig. 6.

² PODDAR 23 search for long-range monopole-dipole forces between the polarized population of electrons inside the Earth and the unpolarised nucleons in the Sun, which would affect the precession of orbital perihelion. However, the most competitive limit is obtained by combining the monopole-monopole force constraints on g_s^N from planetary

precession with the strongest stellar bound on the pseudoscalar electron coupling (g_p^e) , shown in Fig. 5.

- 3 ZHANG 23 look for changes of the ratio of precession frequencies between 129 Xe and 131 Xe as the bias field is flipped in Earth's gravitational field after Earth roation effect is subtracted. They find $g_p^n g_s^N < 1 \times 10^{-26}$ –3.7 $\times 10^{-36}$ for $\lambda = 0.3$ –1 $\times 10^{10}$ m. See their Fig. 4 for limits as a function of λ .
- ⁴ CRESCINI 22 is an update of CRESCINI 17, and find $g_p^e g_s^N \leq 5.7 \times 10^{-32}$ and $g_p^e g_s^e \leq 1.6 \times 10^{-31}$ for $\lambda \gtrsim 10$ cm at 95% CL. See their Fig. 4 for limits as a function of λ .
- ⁵ FENG 22 look for changes of the ratio of precession frequencies between 129 Xe and 131 Xe when a BGO crystal is positioned near the atomic cell. They find $g_p^n g_s^N < 2 \times 10^{-20}$ –3 \times 10⁻²⁴ for $\lambda = 0.11$ –0.55 mm. See their Fig. 4 for limits as a function of λ
- ⁶ AFACH 21 look for axion domain walls coupled to atomic spins by using the global network of optical magnetometers. Assuming that the axion domain walls make up all dark matter, they exclude the effective decay constant below 4×10^5 GeV for m_{A^0} in the range of 10^{-15} – 10^{-11} eV. See their Fig. 4 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 7 DZUBA 18 used atomic EDM measurements to derive limits on the product of the pseudoscalar coupling to nucleon and the scalar coupling to electron, which improved on the laboratory bounds for $m_{\Delta0} > 0.01$ eV. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 8 STADNIK 18 used atomic and molecular EDM experiments to derive limits on the product of the pseudoscalar couplings to electron and the scalar coupling to nucleon and electron. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits, which improved on the laboratory bounds for $m_{A^0} > 0.01$ eV.
- 9 CRESCINI 17 use the QUAX- g_pg_s experiment to look for variation of a paramagnetic GSO crystal magnetization when rotating lead disks are positioned near the crystal, and find $g=g_p^eg_s^N<4.3\times10^{-30}$ for $\lambda=0.1$ –0.2 m at 95% CL. See their Fig. 6 for limits as a function of λ .
- AFACH 15 look for a change of spin precession frequency of ultracold neutrons when a magnetic field with opposite directions is applied, and find $g < 2.2 \times 10^{-27} \; (\text{m}/\lambda)^2$ at 95% CL for 1 $\mu\text{m} < \lambda < 5$ mm. See their Fig. 3 for their limits.
- 11 STADNIK 15 studied proton and neutron spin contributions for nuclei and derive the limits $g<~10^{-28}$ – 10^{-23} for $\lambda~>~3\times10^{-4}$ m using the data of TULLNEY 13. See their Figs. 1 and 2 for λ -dependent limits.
- 12 TERRANO 15 used a torsion pendulum and rotating attractor, and derived a restrictive limit on the product of the pseudoscalar coupling to electron and the scalar coupling to nucleons, $g < 9 \times 10^{-29}$ –5 \times 10 $^{-26}$ for $m_{\mbox{$A^0$}} < 1.5$ –400 $\mu \rm{eV}$. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 13 BULATOWICZ 13 looked for NMR frequency shifts in polarized 129 Xe and 131 Xe when a zirconia rod is positioned near the NMR cell, and find $g < 1 \times 10^{-19}$ –1 $\times 10^{-24}$ for $\lambda = 0.01$ –1 cm. See their Fig. 4 for their limits.
- ¹⁴ CHU 13 look for a shift of the spin precession frequency of polarized 3 He in the presence of an unpolarized mass, in analogy to YOUDIN 96. See Fig. 3 for limits on g in the approximate $m_{\Lambda0}$ range 0.02–2 meV.
- 15 TULLNEY 13 look for a shift of the precession frequency difference between the colocated 3 He and 129 Xe in the presence an unpolarized mass, and derive limits g $<3\times10^{-29}$ –2× 10^{-22} for $\lambda~>~3\times10^{-4}$ m. See their Fig. 3 for λ -dependent limits.
- ¹⁶ RAFFELT 12 show that the pseudoscalar couplings to electron and nucleon and the scalar coupling to nucleon are individually constrained by stellar energy-loss arguments and searches for anomalous monopole-monopole forces, together providing restrictive constraints on g. See their Figs. 2 and 3 for results.

- 17 HOEDL 11 use a novel torsion pendulum to study the force by the polarized electrons of an external magnet. In their Fig. 3 they show restrictive limits on g in the approximate m_{A0} range 0.03–10 meV.
- 18 PETUKHOV 10 use spin relaxation of polarized 3He and find $g<3\times10^{-23}~(cm/\lambda)^2$ at 95% CL for the force range $\lambda=10^{-4}-1$ cm.
- ¹⁹ SEREBROV 10 use spin precession of ultracold neutrons close to bulk matter and find $g < 2 \times 10^{-21} \; (\text{cm}/\lambda)^2$ at 95% CL for the force range $\lambda = 10^{-4}$ –1 cm.
- ²⁰ IGNATOVICH 09 use data on depolarization of ultracold neutrons in material traps. They show λ -dependent limits in their Fig. 1.
- 21 SEREBROV 09 uses data on depolarization of ultracold neutrons stored in material traps and finds $g<2.96\times10^{-21}~(\text{cm}/\lambda)^2$ for the force range $\lambda=10^{-3}-1$ cm and $g<3.9\times10^{-22}~(\text{cm}/\lambda)^2$ for $\lambda=10^{-4}-10^{-3}$ cm, each time at 95% CL, significantly improving on BAESSLER 07.
- 22 BAESSLER 07 use the observation of quantum states of ultracold neutrons in the Earth's gravitational field to constrain g for an interaction range 1 μ m—a few mm. See their Fig. 3 for results.
- ²³ HECKEL 06 studied the influence of unpolarized bulk matter, including the laboratory's surroundings or the Sun, on a torsion pendulum containing about 9×10^{22} polarized electrons. See their Fig. 4 for limits on g as a function of interaction range.
- 24 NI 99 searched for a T-violating medium-range force acting on paramagnetic Tb F_3 salt. See their Fig. 1 for the result.
- ²⁵ POSPELOV 98 studied the possible contribution of T-violating Medium-Range Force to the neutron electric dipole moment, which is possible when axion interactions violate CP. The size of the force among nucleons must be smaller than gravity by a factor of 2×10^{-10} ($1 \text{ cm}/\lambda_{\Delta}$), where $\lambda_{\Delta} = \hbar/m_{\Delta}c$.
- 26 YOUDIN 96 compared the precession frequencies of atomic 199 Hg and Cs when a large mass is positioned near the cells, relative to an applied magnetic field. See Fig. 3 for their limits.
- 27 RITTER 93 studied the influence of bulk mass with polarized electrons on an unpolarized torsion pendulum, providing limits in the interaction range from 1 to 100 cm.
- $^{28}\,\text{VENEMA}$ 92 looked for an effect of Earth's gravity on nuclear spin-precession frequencies of ^{199}Hg and ^{201}Hg atoms.
- ²⁹ WINELAND 91 looked for an effect of bulk matter with aligned electron spins on atomic hyperfine resonances in stored ⁹Be⁺ ions using nuclear magnetic resonance.

Hidden Photons: Kinetic Mixing Parameter Limits

Limits are on the kinetic mixing parameter χ which is defined by the Lagrangian

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} F'_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\chi}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu} + \frac{m^2}{2} A'_{\mu} A'^{\mu},$$

where A_μ and A'_μ are the photon and hidden-photon fields with field strengths $F_{\mu\nu}$ and $F'_{\mu\nu}$, respectively, and $m_{\gamma'}$ is the hidden-photon mass.

VALUE		CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN COMMENT
• • • We do	not use the	e following	g data for average	s, fits,	limits, etc. • • •
< 3	$\times 10^{-8}$		¹ AAD	24AS	ATLS $m_{\gamma'} = 0.01$ –10 GeV
< 3	$\times 10^{-5}$	90	² ABRATENKO	24A	MBNE $m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 0.01-0.2 \text{ GeV}$
< 4	\times 10 ⁻⁶		³ ABREU		FASR $m_{\gamma'}^{'} \simeq 50 \text{ MeV}$
< 0.5	$\times 10^{-10}$	95	⁴ ADACHI	24 C	DORR $m_{\gamma'}^{'}=41$ –74 μ eV
< 3	\times 10 ⁻¹²	90	⁵ AGOSTINI	24A	GRDA $m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 65-1021 \text{ keV}$

< 4.35	\times 10 ⁻¹³	90	⁶ AGRAWAL	24	DM	$m_{\gamma'}^{}=$ 24.67 μ eV
< 5	\times 10 ⁻⁶	90	⁷ ANDREEV	24A	NA64	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.001$ –1 GeV
< 1.8	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	⁸ ANDREEV	24E	NA64	$m_{\gamma'} = 1 \times 10^{-3}$ –1 GeV
< 8	\times 10 ⁻⁷	95	⁹ ARAMBURO	24	СМВ	$m_{\gamma'} = 3 \times 10^{-15} 3 \times$
	. 10		10			10 ⁻¹² eV
< 1.4	× 10 ⁻¹⁵	90	10 ARNQUIST	24	MAJD	$m_{\gamma'}^{}=$ 1–100 keV
< 1.5	$\times 10^{-16}$	90	11 CERVANTES	24	SRPH	$m_{\gamma^\prime}^{}=5.35~\mu { m eV}$
< 5	× 10 ⁻⁷	90	12 CORTINA-GIL		NA62	$m_{\gamma^\prime}^{}=$ 5–500 MeV
< 3	$\times 10^{-16}$	90	¹³ DOLAN	24	STAR	$m_{\gamma^\prime}^{}=$ 0.5–16 keV
< 3.7	$\times 10^{-13}$	90	¹⁴ HE	24	DM	$m_{\gamma^\prime}^{}=29.5~\mu { m eV}$
< 1	× 10 ⁻¹²	90	¹⁵ KNIRCK	24	BRED	$m_{\gamma'}^{}=$ 44–52 μ eV
< 6	× 10 ⁻¹⁵	95	¹⁶ LEVINE	24	DER	$m_{\gamma^\prime} = 0.21 – 1.24~\mu \mathrm{eV}$
< 1	\times 10 ⁻⁶	95	¹⁷ LIU	24	DM	$m_{\gamma'} = 2 \times 10^{-2} - 1 \times 10^4$
< 4.5	$\times 10^{-8}$	95	¹⁸ MCCARTHY	24	СМВ	$m_{\gamma'}^{\text{eV}} = 10^{-13} - 10^{-11}$
< 2.2		00		0.4	CLINIT	eV .
< 2.2	$\times 10^{-16}$	90	¹⁹ TANG	24	SHNE	$m_{\gamma'} = 5.367 - 5.373 \ \mu eV$
< 3	× 10 ⁻³	95	²⁰ YAN	24A	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 3 \times 10^{-18} 3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ eV}$
< 1	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	²¹ AAD	23 BO	ATLS	$m_{\gamma'} = 5$ –40 GeV
< 1.3	$\times 10^{-8}$	90	²² AAD	231	ATLS	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.017 - 15 \text{ GeV}$
			²³ AAD	23T	ATLS	$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} \lesssim 40 \text{ GeV}$
< 1	$\times 10^{-16}$	90	²⁴ AALBERS	23A	LZ	$m_{\gamma'} = 1-17 \text{ keV}$
< 1.6	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	²⁵ ABLIKIM	23AF	BES3	$m_{\gamma'} = 1.5$ –2.9 GeV
			²⁶ ABUDINEN	23 B	BEL2	$m_{\gamma'} = 4-9.7 \text{ GeV}$
< 1.61	$\times 10^{-14}$	90	²⁷ ADHIKARI	23	C100	$m_{\gamma'} = 215 \text{ eV}$
< 6	$\times 10^{-14}$	90	²⁸ ADHIKARI	23A	C100	$m_{\gamma'} = 10$ –1000 keV
< 2.1	$\times 10^{-3}$	95	²⁹ ADRIAN	23	HPS	$m_{\gamma'} = 19$ –81 MeV
< 1.1	\times 10 ⁻¹⁶	90	³⁰ AGNES	23A	DS50	$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} = 0.03-20 \text{ keV}$
< 2	\times 10 ⁻¹²	95	³¹ AN	23A		$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} = 4.1$ –6.2 μ eV
< 5	$\times 10^{-6}$	90	³² ANDREEV	23	NA64	$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} = 10^{-3} - 1.5 \text{ GeV}$
< 5.0	\times 10 ⁻¹⁴	68	³³ BAJJALI	23		$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma}=$ 49.63–74.44 μ eV
< 2	\times 10 ⁻⁷		³⁴ CORTINA-GIL			
< 2.2	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	³⁵ HAYRAPETY			$m_{\gamma'} = 1.1$ –7.9 GeV
< 3	$\times 10^{-11}$	95	³⁶ KOTAKA	23	DORR	$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma}=74$ –110 μ eV
< 2	\times 10 ⁻¹⁵		37 LI	231		$m_{\gamma'} = 10^{-3} - 10^5 \text{ eV}$
< 7.9	\times 10 ^{-13}	95	³⁸ RAMANATH	23		$m_{\gamma^\prime}^{\gamma}=19.7$ –30.5 μ eV
< 1.6	$\times 10^{-9}$	95	³⁹ ROMANENKO	23	LSW	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.21$ –5.7 μ eV
			⁴⁰ XIA	23		$m_{\gamma'} \lesssim 10^{-23} \text{eV}$
						γ \sim

			⁴¹ AAD	22J	ATLS	$m_{\gamma^\prime}=$ 1–60 GeV
			⁴² AAD	22S		$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} \lesssim 10~{ m GeV}$
< 2	$\times10^{-14}$	90	⁴³ APRILE	22	XE1T	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.9 \text{ keV}$
< 2	$\times10^{-15}$	90	⁴⁴ APRILE	22	XE1T	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.01$ –0.4 keV
< 5	\times 10 ⁻¹⁷	90	⁴⁵ APRILE	22в	XENT	$m_{\gamma'} = 1 - 39,44 - 140 \text{ keV}$
< 1	$\times 10^{-2}$	90	⁴⁶ BATTAGLIERI	22		$m_{\gamma'} = 3-100 \text{ MeV}$
$(4.6 \begin{array}{c} +0.5 \\ -0.4 \end{array})$	$\times 10^{-15}$	68	⁴⁷ BOLTON	22	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'} = (8.4 \pm 0.6) \times$
< 1	$\times10^{-13}$	90	⁴⁸ CERVANTES	22	ORPH	$m_{\gamma'}^{10^{-14}} \mathrm{eV} \ m_{\gamma'}^{} = 65.5 - 69.3 \; \mu \mathrm{eV}$
< 1	\times 10 ⁻¹²	90	⁴⁹ CHILES	22		$m_{\gamma'} = 0.7$ –0.8 eV
< 8.7	\times 10 ⁻¹¹		⁵⁰ HOCHBERG	22	SNSP	$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma'} = 0.73-30 \text{ eV}$
			⁵¹ LEES	22	BABR	$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} = 1 \times 10^{-3} - 3.16$
	0		52			GeV
< 7.97	$\times 10^{-9}$	95	⁵² LU	22	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}$
< 6.86	$\times 10^{-11}$		53 MANENTI	22	MDHI	$m_{\gamma^\prime}^{}=1.61~{ m eV}$
< 3	\times 10 ⁻²	95	54 THOMAS	22		$m_{\gamma'} = 1$ –80 GeV
			55 TUMASYAN		CMS	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 4-62.5 \text{ GeV}$
			⁵⁶ TUMASYAN		CMS	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.6$ –49 GeV
	6		57 WU		PPTA	$m_{\gamma'} \lesssim 10^{-23} \text{eV}$
< 8	\times 10 ⁻⁶	90	⁵⁸ ANDREEV	21	NA64	$m_{\gamma'} = 1 \times 10^{-3}$ –1 GeV
< 2.3	× 10 ⁻⁴	90	⁵⁹ ANDREEV	21A	NA64	$m_{\gamma'}=0.1$ –0.35 GeV
< 1.6	× 10 ⁻⁴	95	60 BI	21	ASTR	$m_{\gamma^\prime}^{}=$ 0.03–0.06 eV
< 3	\times 10 ⁻⁵	90	61 CAZZANIGA	21	NA64	$m_{\gamma'}=$ 10–390 MeV
< 1.68	× 10 ⁻¹⁵	90	62 DIXIT	21	CNTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{}=$ 24.86 μ eV
< 2	× 10 ⁻¹⁶	90	63 GHOSH	21	RVUE	$m_{\gamma'}^{}=$ 2–30 μ eV
< 1.8	$\times 10^{-13}$		⁶⁴ GODFREY	21	DER	$m_{\gamma'} =$
< 3	× 10 ⁻¹²	95	⁶⁵ KOPYLOV	21A	CNTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 9$ –40 eV
< 2	\times 10 ⁻²	95	⁶⁶ KRIBS	21		$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} \lesssim 10 \; { m GeV}$
			⁶⁷ SCHMIDT		THEO	$m_{\gamma'} < 0.6 \text{ GeV}$
< 3	\times 10 ⁻⁸	90	⁶⁸ TSAI			$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma'} = 0.78 \text{ GeV}$
< 1	$\times10^{-4}$		69 AAIJ			$m_{\gamma'} = 214 \text{ MeV}$
			70 AAIJ			$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} = 218-315 \text{ MeV}$
			⁷¹ ABLIKIM			$m_{\gamma'} = 0.2$ –2.1 GeV
< 4.1	\times 10 ⁻¹²	90	⁷² AGOSTINI	20		$m_{\gamma'} = 60 \text{ keV} - 1 \text{ MeV}$
< 3.3	\times 10 ⁻¹⁴	90	⁷³ AMARAL	20		$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma}=1.2$ –50 eV
< 1.2	$\times 10^{-14}$	90	74 _{AN}	20		$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} = 200 \text{ eV}$
< 6.72	\times 10 ^{-13}	95	⁷⁵ ANDRIANAV	20		$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} = 1.95 – 8.55 \text{ eV}$
< 1	$\times10^{-16}$	90	⁷⁶ APRILE	20		$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma}=$ 1–200 keV
						,

 $https://pdg.lbl.gov \\ Page 61 \\ Created: 12/2/2025 \ 14:24$

< 9	$\times 10^{-16}$	90	77	ARALIS	20	SCDM	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.04 – 500 \text{ keV}$
< 3	$\times 10^{-5}$	90	78	ARGUELLES	20	THEO	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=0.01~{\sf GeV}$
< 7	\times 10 ⁻¹⁴	90		ARNAUD	20	EDEL	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=$ 1–40 eV
< 8.2	$\times 10^{-5}$	90	80	BANERJEE	20	NA64	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=1.5$ –24 MeV
< 7	\times 10 ⁻¹⁵	90	81	BARAK	20	SENS	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 1.2 12.8 \text{ eV}$
			82	² KRASNIKOV	20	RVUE	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=16.7~{\sf MeV}$
< 1.4	$\times 10^{-14}$	90	83	SHE	20	CDEX	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 10 300 \text{ eV}$
< 1.3	$\times 10^{-15}$	90	84	SHE	20	CDEX	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=0.1$ –4 keV
< 1	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	85	SIRUNYAN	20AQ	CMS	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=11.5$ –75 GeV,
< 4.3	× 10 ⁻¹⁰	95	86	ТОМІТА	20		110-200 GeV
< 4.5	X 10	90		TOMITA	20		$m_{\gamma'} = 115.79 - 115.85 \ \mu eV$
< 9	$\times 10^{-16}$	90	87	WANG	20A	CDEX	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.185 - 10 \text{ keV}$
			88	AABOUD	19 G	ATLS	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 20$ –60 GeV
< 6	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	89	ABLIKIM	19A	BES3	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 0.01 – 2.4 \text{ GeV}$
< 3.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	90	ABLIKIM	19H	BES3	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=0.1$ –2.1 GeV
< 8	$\times 10^{-15}$	90	91	AGUILAR-AR	.19A	DAMC	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 1.2 30 \text{ eV}$
< 9	$\times 10^{-17}$	90	92	APRILE	19 D	XE1T	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 0.186-5 \text{ keV}$
< 7.5	$\times 10^{-6}$	90	93	BANERJEE	19	NA64	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=$ 1–200 MeV
< 2	$\times 10^{-11}$		94	BHOONAH	19	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 10^{-22} - 10^{-10}$
< 5	× 10 ⁻¹²	95	95	BRUN	19	SHUK	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 20.8 – 28.3 \ \mu eV$
< 4.4	× 10 ⁻⁴	90		CORTINA-GIL		NA62	$m_{\gamma'}^{\prime}=$ 60–110 MeV
< 3	$\times 10^{-5}$	95		DANILOV	19	TEXO	$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma'}=$ 20 eV - 1 MeV
< 6	$\times 10^{-9}$	95		HOCHBERG	19		$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma'}=$ 0.8–4 eV
< 1	\times 10 ⁻¹¹	95		KOPYLOV	19	CNTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma'}=$ 9–40 eV
< 1.5	$\times 10^{-9}$			KOVETZ	19		$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma'} = 10^{-23} - 10^{-13}$
							eV
< 3	× 10 ⁻¹⁴	95	101	NGUYEN	19	WDMX	$m_{\gamma^\prime}^{}=$ 6 neV $-$ 2.07 μ eV
< 4.5	$\times 10^{-14}$	90	102	ABE	18F	XMAS	$m_{\gamma'}^{\mu e V} = 40$ –120 keV
< 2.5	$\times 10^{-3}$	95	103	ADRIAN	18	HPS	$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma}=19$ –81 MeV
< 4.4	$\times 10^{-4}$	90		ANASTASI	18 B	KLOE	$m_{\gamma'} = 519 – 987 \text{ MeV}$
< 4	$\times10^{-15}$	90	105	ARMENGAUD	18	EDE3	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.8-500 \text{ keV}$
				BANERJEE	18	NA64	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=1$ –23 MeV
< 1.8	$\times 10^{-5}$	90	107	BANERJEE	18A	NA64	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=$ 1–100 MeV
< 1	$\times 10^{-8}$	90		KNIRCK	18		$m_{\gamma'} = 0.67 - 0.92 \text{ meV}$
< 3.1	\times 10 ⁻¹⁴	90	109	ABGRALL	17	HPGE	$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma}=11.8~{\rm keV}$
< 6	$\times 10^{-4}$	90) ABLIKIM	17 AA	BES3	$m_{\gamma'} = 1.5 - 3.4 \text{ GeV}$
< 7	$\times 10^{-15}$	90	111	ANGLOHER	17	CRES	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.3$ –0.7 keV
							1

< 1.2	$\times 10^{-4}$	90	¹¹² BANERJEE	17	NA64	$m_{\gamma'} = 0.002 - 0.4 \text{ GeV}$
< 2	$\times 10^{-11}$		¹¹³ CHANG	17	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=15~{\sf MeV}$
< 4.5	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	¹¹⁴ DUBININA	17	EMUL	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=1.1$ –24 MeV
< 4	$\times 10^{-4}$	90	¹¹⁵ LEES	17E	BABR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=4.7~{\sf GeV}$
			116 _{AAD}	16AG	ATLS	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=0.1$ –2 GeV
< 4.4	$\times 10^{-4}$	90	¹¹⁷ ANASTASI	16	KLOE	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 527-987 \text{ MeV}$
< 1.7	\times 10 ⁻⁶	95	¹¹⁸ KHACHATRY.	16	CMS	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=2~{\sf GeV}$
< 4	$\times 10^{-2}$	95	¹¹⁹ AAD	15 CD	ATLS	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=15$ –55 GeV
< 1.4	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	¹²⁰ ADARE	15		$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 30-90 \text{ MeV}$
			¹²¹ AN	15A		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=12~{ m eV}$ - 40 keV
			¹²² ANASTASI	15	KLOE	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=2m_{\mu}^{}$ - $1~{\sf GeV}$
< 1.7	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	¹²³ ANASTASI	15A	KLOE	$m_{\gamma'}^{\prime} = 5-320 \text{ MeV}$
< 4.2	$\times 10^{-4}$	90	¹²⁴ BATLEY	15A	NA48	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=36~{\rm MeV}$
			¹²⁵ JAEGLE	15	BELL	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 0.1-3.5 \text{ GeV}$
< 3	$\times 10^{-13}$		¹²⁶ KAZANAS	15	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=2m_e-100~\mathrm{MeV}$
< 6	\times 10 ⁻¹²		¹²⁷ SUZUKI	15		$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 1.9$ –4.3 eV
< 2.3	$\times 10^{-13}$	99.7	¹²⁸ VINYOLES	15	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=8~\mathrm{eV}$
< 2	$\times 10^{-13}$		¹²⁹ ABE	14F		$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 40-120 \text{ keV}$
< 1.8	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	¹³⁰ AGAKISHIEV	14		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=63~{\rm MeV}$
< 9.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	90	¹³¹ BABUSCI	14		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=969~{ m MeV}$
			¹³² BATELL	14	BDMP	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 10^{-3} 1 \text{ GeV}$
< 1.3	$\times 10^{-7}$	95	133 BLUEMLEIN	14		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=0.6 \text{ GeV}$
< 3	$\times 10^{-18}$		¹³⁴ FRADETTE	14		$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 50-300 \text{ MeV}$
< 3.5	$\times 10^{-4}$	90	¹³⁵ LEES	14 J		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=0.2~{\sf GeV}$
< 9	$\times 10^{-4}$	95	¹³⁶ MERKEL	14	A1	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 40-300 \text{ MeV}$
< 3	$\times 10^{-15}$		¹³⁷ AN	13 B	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=2 \text{ keV}$
< 7	\times 10 ⁻¹⁴		¹³⁸ AN	13 C		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=100~ ext{eV}$
< 8	$\times 10^{-4}$		¹³⁹ DIAMOND	13		$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 30-250 \text{ MeV}$
< 2	$\times 10^{-3}$		¹⁴⁰ GNINENKO	13		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=25$ –120 MeV
< 2.2	$\times 10^{-13}$		¹⁴¹ HORVAT	13		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=230 \text{ eV}$
< 8.06	$\times10^{-5}$	95		13		$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 0.04 \text{ eV} - 26 \text{ keV}$
< 2	$\times 10^{-10}$	95	¹⁴³ MIZUMOTO	13		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=1$ eV
< 1.7	\times 10 ⁻⁷		¹⁴⁴ PARKER	13		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=53~\mu { m eV}$
< 5.32	\times 10 ⁻¹⁵		¹⁴⁵ PARKER	13		$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=53~\mu \mathrm{eV}$
< 1	\times 10 ⁻¹⁵		¹⁴⁶ REDONDO	13	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 2 \text{ keV}$
< 8			¹⁴⁷ GNINENKO			$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} = 1$ –135 MeV
< 1	\times 10 ⁻⁷	90	¹⁴⁸ GNINENKO			$m_{\gamma'} = 1$ –500 MeV
< 1	$\times 10^{-3}$		¹⁴⁹ ABRAHAMY			$m_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} = 175 – 250 \text{ MeV}$
						Υ ,

< 9	$\times 10^{-8}$	95	¹⁵⁰ BLUEMLEIN	11	BDMP	$m_{\gamma'}=70\;{ m MeV}$
< 1	$\times 10^{-7}$		¹⁵¹ BJORKEN	09	BDMP	$m_{\gamma'}^{\prime} = 2$ –400 MeV
< 5	$\times 10^{-9}$		¹⁵² BJORKEN			/

- 1 AAD 24AS search for hidden photons resulting from Higgs decays in the Falkowski-Ruderman-Volansky-Zupan model. See Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits, value shown taken from the most constraining point at 10 GeV mass. The limits do not extend to arbitrarily high values of the kinetic mixing, stopping between 10^{-4} and 10^{-7} at the lower and upper end of the mass range respectively. The limit is also dependent on the branching fraction for Higgs decays resulting in dark photons.
- ²ABRATENKO 24A search for dark trident scattering at a neutrino beam using the MicroBooNE detector. Events involve a pair of particles $\chi \overline{\chi}$ produced from π^0 or η decays mediated by a dark photon, these particles then scatter off Argon in the detector and can produce an additional dark photon which then decays. See Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits under three possible scenarios for the χ particle.
- ³ ABREU 24 look for hidden photons produced from the pp collision in the decay channel $\gamma' \to e^+e^-$, and exclude at 90% CL the region of $\chi = 4 \times 10^{-6}$ – 2×10^{-4} and $m_{\gamma'} = 10$ –80 MeV, with the newly excluded region near the higher values of χ . See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁴ ADACHI 24C follows KOTAKA 23 of the DOSUE-RR collaboration, searching for hidden photon dark matter conversion using a millimetre-wave receiver and a radioshielding box. See Fig. 11 for mass dependent limits.
- 5 AGOSTINI 24A report a search for dark photon dark matter absorption and dark Compton scattering on germanium, generating electron events in the GERDA experiment. The quoted limit is at $m_{\Delta 0}=150$ keV. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁶ AGRAWAL 24 search for hidden photon dark matter via stimulated emission of a photon from a microwave cavity prepared in a non-classical state by a superconducting qubit.
- ⁷ ANDREEV 24A perform a missing-energy search in the NA64 detector to look for an MeV-scale hidden photon mediator to a stable fermionic dark sector particle. See Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁸ ANDREEV 24E report results from the NA64 μ beam dump experiment, employing a high-intensity muon beam. They set limits on the kinetic mixing parameter of an invisibly decaying hidden photon. See their Fig. 19 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁹ ARAMBURO-GARCIA 24 search for evidence of resonant conversion of regular photons into dark photons within the inter-galactic medium using the expected imprint on the CMB anisotropies. Quoted limit is for their "conservative" approach see their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁰ ARNQUIST 24 use the MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR to search for keV-mass hidden photon dark matter absorption by Germanium. See Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹¹ CERVANTES 24 use a superconducting radio frequency cavity at the SQMS Centre (Fermilab) to search for hidden photon dark matter at 1.3 GHz. See their Fig. 3 for limits in context.
- 12 CORTINA-GIL 24A report results from the NA62 beam dump experiment, searching for production and decays of dark photons to lepton pairs. Quoted limit applies around 300 MeV, but does not extend to arbitrarily high kinetic mixing. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits
- ¹³ DOLAN 24 constrain anomalous cooling of globular cluster stars due to the emission of hidden photons. Best limit is taken from the envelope of limits from the R parameter, R2 parameter and Tip of the Red Giant branch. This study includes hidden photon emission in stellar evolution simulation and so supersedes red giant bound in AN 20.
- ¹⁴ HE 24 present results from the APEX collaboration. They look for hidden photon dark matter using a radio frequency cavity at 7.139 GHz. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.

- ¹⁵ KNIRCK 24 search for hidden photon dark matter using GigaBREAD, a broadband haloscope operating at room temperature that uses a parabolic reflector and a horn antenna. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 16 LEVINE 24 search for hidden photon dark matter using Dark E-Field Radio, a broadband haloscope consisting of an E-field antenna inside a shielded room. This is a continuation of their experiment previously reported in GODFREY 21. See their Fig. 12 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁷ LIU 24 constrain hidden photon dark matter over a broad mass range using the very high-energy gamma-ray spectrum of blazars Mrk 501 and Mrk 421. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits which also depend on the density profiles of the host halos of the blazars. Quoted limit is for the case where the host halos have an NFW profile in Fig. 6.
- MCCARTHY 24 search for evidence of photons converting into hidden photons as they traverse large-scale structure, which would lead to a patchy-screening effect observable in CMB anisotropy maps. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits. This analysis improves upon a similar study by ARAMBURO-GARCIA 24 see the note added in MCCARTHY 24.
- 19 TANG 24 present results from a hidden photon dark matter search at 1.3 GHz with SHANHE, a tunable superconducting radio frequency cavity. See their Fig. 3 for massdependent limits.
- $^{20}\,\text{YAN}$ 24A use data from the JUNO probe to constrain hidden photons via the way they would modify Jupiter's magnetic field. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- AAD 23BO look for rare decays of the Z boson, $Z \to \gamma' + H'$, with dark Higgs decaying into a pair of hidden photons, assuming that at least two of the hidden photons decay into e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$. The quoted limit assumes the hidden fine structure constant $\alpha_D=0.1$ and the dark Higgs mass ranging 20 to 70 GeV. See their Fig.5 for the mass-dependent limits.
- AAD 23I look for exotic decays of the SM-like Higgs boson, $H \to \gamma' \gamma'$ with hidden photons decaying into displaced lepton or light quark pairs, and set limits on the kinetic mixing within $1 \times 10^{-4} 1 \times 10^{-8}$ for the given mass range. The quoted limit is for $m_{A^0} \simeq 13$ GeV with a branching fraction of 0.1 for the Higgs decaying into hidden photon pairs. See their Fig. 13 for the mass-dependent limits.
- ²³AAD ²³T is analogous to AAD ²²S, but using the ZH production mode, and set the upper limit on the branching ratio B($H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma'$) within 0.0219–0.0252 (95% CL).
- 24 AALBERS 23A look for an absorption of hidden photon dark matter. The quoted limit is for $m_{\gamma'}=1.4$ keV. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- ²⁵ ABLIKIM 23AF look for invisible decays of hidden photons produced in the reaction $e^+e^- \to \gamma \gamma'$. They set limits within the 1.6×10^{-3} – 5.7×10^{-3} . See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 26 ABUDINEN 23B look for hidden photons in the dark Higgsstrahlung process, $e^+e^-\to \gamma' H'$ ($\gamma'\to \mu^+\mu^-$) with H' being invisible. They set upper limits on the product of the kinetic mixing and the hidden gauge coupling, $\chi^2\cdot\alpha_D$, in the range of 1.7 \times 10 $^{-8}$ –2 \times 10 $^{-6}$ at 90% CL for a 1 GeV dark Higgs mass. See their Fig. 3 for the mass-dependent limits.
- ²⁷ ADHIKARI 23 look for the annual modulation signal induced by solar flux of hidden photons. See their Fig. 10 for mass-dependent limits.
- 28 ADHIKARI 23A look for absorption and Compton-like processes of hidden photon dark matter. The quoted limit is for $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 12$ keV. Limits between $6 \times 10^{-14} \text{--}3 \times 10^{-11}$ are obtained. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 29 ADRIAN 23 is an update of ADRIAN 18, and use the data from the 2016 engineering run at 2.3 GeV. The quoted limit is at $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 74$ MeV. See their Fig. 28 for the mass-dependent limits.

- 30 AGNES 23A look for an absorption of hidden photon dark matter. The quoted limit is for $m_{\gamma'}=0.03$ keV. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 31 AN 23A look for absorption of hidden photon dark matter at radio telescopes, setting limits based on data from the FAST telescope. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 32 ANDREEV 23 is an update of ANDREEV 21 and ANDREEV 21A. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=1$ MeV. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 33 BAJJALI 23 look for hidden photon dark matter by using a 12–18 GHz dish antenna at U. Hamburg that is sensitive to vertically aligned hidden photon polarizations. They assume a local density of $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.3~\text{GeV/cm}^3$. See their Figure 12 for mass-dependent limits in the range of $m_{\gamma'}=50$ –75 μeV under the assumption of randomly aligned hidden photon polarizations, defined as "1 sigma sensitivity". The run is labelled BRASS-p.
- 34 CORTINA-GIL 23C NA62 beam dump experiment searches for hidden photons decaying to $\mu^+\,\mu^-$, extending their previous search CORTINA-GIL 19. The quoted limit applies at 300 MeV but does not extend to arbitrarily large kinetic mixing parameters. See Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ³⁵ HAYRAPETYAN 23G search for kinetically mixed hidden photons in proton-proton collisions at the LHC that would generate a narrow peak in the mass spectrum of dimuon events. The mass window between 2.6 and 4.2 GeV is left unconstrained to avoid J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ resonances. Mass dependent limits given in their Fig. 6.
- 36 KOTAKA 23 is an update of TOMITA 20, and set limits $\chi < 0.3\text{--}2\times 10^{-10}$ for the quoted mass range. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'} = 0.39~\text{GeV/cm}^3$ is assumed. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ³⁷LI 23I set cooling bounds on the emission of hidden photons from the Sun, red giant, and horizontal branch stars, including emission of both the transverse and longitudinal modes. Cooling bounds are computed assuming a static model as opposed to considering the impact on stellar evolution. The result is comparable to earlier estimates of the same bound e.g. REDONDO 13. Limit applies at the most constraining mass around 200 eV for the solar bound.
- 38 RAMANATHAN 23 look for hidden photon dark matter using a gold-plated copper dish antenna cooled to 20 mK. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=$ 0.45 GeV/cm 3 is assumed. Limits between 7.9×10^{-13} and 3.81×10^{-12} are obtained. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ROMANENKO 23 employed two superconducting radio frequency cavities with a high quality factor, optimized for detecting the longitudinal polarization of the hidden photon. The quoted limit is set at $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 5 \mu \text{eV}$. See their Fig. 4 for the mass-dependent limits.
- 40 XIA 23 is analogous to WU $^{'}$ 22A and use the Fermi-LAT pulsar timing array. They set a bound on the local density as $\rho_{\gamma'} \lesssim$ 7 GeV/cm³ for $m_{\gamma'} \lesssim$ 10 $^{-23}$ eV at 95% CL,
- $Z\gamma' \to 4\ell$, and set limits on the kinetic mixing and the Higgs portal coupling. See their Figs. 19 and 20 for the mass-dependent limits.
- ⁴² AAD 22S look for decays of a Higgs boson into γ and γ' using the VBF production mode, and set the upper limit on the branching ratio at 0.018 (95% CL) for the 125 GeV Higgs boson. For the quoted mass range, the signal acceptance changes by less than 1%.
- 43 APRILE 22 is analogous to AN 20, and their limit was corrected in the erratum to this paper: APRILE 24B. They set limits $\chi < 2 \times 10^{-12}~(\text{eV}/m_{\gamma'})$ for $m_{\gamma'} < 3~\text{eV}$ (90% C.L.). For $m_{\gamma'} > 3~\text{eV}$, see Fig. 1 of APRILE 24B.

- ⁴⁴ APRILE 22 extend APRILE 19 to lower masses by removing the background of ionization signals correlated with high-energy events. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=0.09$ keV. See their Fig. 15 for mass-dependent limits.
- 45 APRILE 22B is an update of APRILE 20, and set limits $\chi \lesssim 5 \times 10^{-17}$ –2 $\times 10^{-13}$. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=1$ keV. They exclude the XENON1T excess found in APRILE 20. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- 46 BATTAGLIERI 22 is analogous to BATELL 14, and derived limits from the electron beam dump experiment at Jefferson Lab (BDX-MINI). Limits at the level of 7×10^{-5} – 1×10^{-2} are obtained for the dark matter mass $m_{\gamma'}/3$ and the hidden gauge coupling $\alpha_D=0.1$. See their Fig. 11.
- 47 BOLTON 22 use the Ly- α forest at z $\simeq 0.1$ as a calorimeter for heating in the intergalactic medium by the resonant conversion of hidden photon dark matter to photons, which is assumed to be responsible for the tension between the predicted and observed Ly- α absorption linewidths.
- 48 CERVANTES 22 use a dielectrically loaded Fabry-Perot open cavity to look for hidden photon dark matter. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.45~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 49 CHILES 22 look for hidden photon dark matter by using a layered dielectric target and a superconducting nanowire single-photon detector. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.4~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 50 HOCHBERG 22 update HOCHBERG 19. The quoted limit applies to $m_{A^0} \simeq 11$ eV. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵¹LEES 22 look for a hidden fermion-fermion bound state decaying into three hidden photons, which subsequently decay into e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$, or $\pi^+\pi^-$. For the bound-state mass in the range of 0.05–9.5 GeV, limits at the level of 5×10^{-5} – 1×10^{-3} are obtained. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁵² LU 22 derive the limit by studying the effect of photons oscillating into hidden photons on the surface luminosity of the neutron star RX J1856.6-3754.
- 53 MANENTI 22 look for hidden photon dark matter by using a multilayer dielectric haloscope. Limits between 6.86×10^{-11} and 5×10^{-8} are obtained for $m_{\gamma^\prime}\simeq 1.1$ –3.1 eV. See their Fig. 11 for mass-dependent limits.
- 54 THOMAS 22 improved KRIBS 21 by taking account of the changes in the parton distribution functions due to the inclusion of hidden photons. The quoted limit is at $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 4$ GeV. Limits in the range of 3×10^{-2} –9 $\times 10^{-2}$ are obtained for $m_{\gamma'} =$ 1–80 GeV.
- See their Fig. 1 for the limits. ^55 TUMASYAN 22AH look for exotic decays of the SM-like Higgs boson, $H oup Z \gamma' oup 4\ell$,
- TUMASYAN 22AH look for exotic decays of the SM-like Higgs boson, $H \to Z\gamma' \to 4\ell$ and set limits on the Higgs portal coupling. See their Fig. 6 for the limits.
- ⁵⁶ TUMASYAN 22N look for exotic decays of the SM-like Higgs boson, $H \to \gamma' \gamma'$ ($\gamma' \to \mu^+ \mu^-$), and set limits on the branching fraction product. See their Fig. 7 for massand lifetime-dependent limits.
- 57 WU 22A look for direction-dependent oscillations in the gravitational potential generated by ultralight hidden photon dark matter, and set a bound on its local density as $\rho_{\gamma'} \lesssim 5~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ for $m_{\gamma'} \lesssim 10^{-23}~{\rm eV}$ at 95% CL.
- 58 ANDREEV 21 is analogous to BANERJEE 18A. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=1$ MeV. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 59 ANDREEV 21A extends the limits of BANERJEE 19 by taking account of production through the resonant annihilation of secondary positrons with atomic electrons. The quoted limit is at $m_{\gamma'}=0.23$ GeV, assuming the fermion dark matter of mass $m_{\gamma'}/3$ and the hidden gauge coupling $\alpha_D=0.1.$ See their Fig.3 for mass-dependent limits.

- 60 BI 21 look for the gamma-ray spectral attenuation due to scattering with hidden photons constituting all dark matter, using the measurements of sub-PeV gamma-rays from the Crab Nebula by the Tibet AS γ and HAWC experiments, together with MAGIC and HEGRA gamma-ray data. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 61 CAZZANIGA 21 look for semi-visible decays of hidden photons, $\gamma' \to \chi_1 \chi_2$ ($\chi_2 \to \chi_1 \, e^+ \, e^-$), where χ_1 and χ_2 are hidden fermions. They exclude $3 \times 10^{-5} \lesssim \chi \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-2}$ assuming the hidden gauge coupling $\alpha_D = 0.1$, and the fermion masses $m_{\chi_1} = m_{\gamma'}/3$, $(m_{\chi_2} m_{\chi_1})/m_{\chi_1} = 0.4$. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 62 DIXIT 21 look for hidden photon dark matter by using a superconducting transmon qubit dispersively coupled to a high Q storage cavity. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=$ 0.4 GeV/cm 3 is assumed. See their Fig.4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 63 GHOSH 21 use existing haloscope axion search limits to set limits on hidden photon dark matter, considering the polarization of hidden photons. The quoted limit is at $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 3$ $\mu \rm eV$. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 64 GODFREY 21 look for hidden photon dark matter by using a wideband antenna, and set 5σ limits on χ . The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.38~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their updated Fig. 12 in arXiv:2101.02805v4 for mass-dependent limits in the range of $m_{\gamma'}=0.207-1.24~\mu{\rm eV}$.
- 65 KOPYLOV 21A is an update of KOPYLOV 19, but use Ne gas instead of Ar. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=12$ eV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 66 KRIBS 21 used the HERA data on neutral current deep inelastic *ep* scattering to derive the limits, which become weaker for heavier masses. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 67 SCHMIDT 21 use the microscopic Parton-Hadron-String Dynamics approach to extract limits by comparing the theoretically calculated dilepton spectra with the HADES data on the search for $\gamma' \rightarrow e^+e^-$. See their Fig. 5 for the mass-dependent limits for various allowed surplus of the hidden photon contribution over the standard model yield.
- 68 TSAI 21 update the limits from the CHARM and NuCal experiments, taking account of additional production channels from proton bremsstrahlung and η meson decays, respectively. Limits between 3×10^{-8} and 1×10^{-4} are obtained for $0.01 < m_{\gamma'} < 0.8$ GeV (see their Fig. 1).
- 69 AAIJ 20C look for hidden photons produced from the pp collision in the decay channel $\gamma' \to ~\mu^+ \, \mu^-$. For prompt decaying hidden photons, limits at the level of $10^{-4} 10^{-3}$ are obtained for $m_{\gamma'} = 0.214 30$ GeV. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 70 AAIJ 20C look for hidden photons produced from the pp collision in the decay channel $\gamma' \to \, \mu^+ \, \mu^-$. For hidden photons with lifetimes of order ps, limits at the level of 10^{-5} are obtained for $m_{\gamma'} = 218-315$ MeV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁷¹ ABLIKIM 20AB search for $J/\psi \to \eta' \gamma' (\gamma' \to \gamma \pi^0)$, and set the upper limit on the product branching fraction of order 10^{-7} . See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- 72 AGOSTINI 20 is analogous to ABE 14F. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=150$ keV. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. Their limits in their Fig. 3 were later found to be incorrect due to an error of their Eqs. (1) and (2). See Fig. 3 in AGOSTINI 22A for the corrected limits.
- 73 AMARAL 20 use a second-generation SuperCDMS high-voltage eV-resolution detector to set limits on dark-matter hidden photon absorption. The quoted limit is for $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 17$ eV. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'} = 0.3 \; {\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.

- 74 AN 20 updates the direct detection limit of AN 13C on solar flux of hidden photons; $\chi < 1.6 \times 10^{-12}~({\rm eV}/m_{\gamma'})$ for $m_{\gamma'} < 6~{\rm eV}$ (90% C.L.). For $m_{\gamma'} > 6~{\rm eV}$, see their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 75 ANDRIANAVALOMAHEFA 20 is analogous to SUZUKI 15, but uses a mirror that is about one order of magnitude larger than in similar studies in the past. Limits at the level of 10^{-12} are obtained for $m_{\gamma^\prime}=$ 2.5–7 eV. See their Fig.23 and Table III for mass-dependent limits.
- 76 APRILE 20 is analogous to ABE 14F, and set limits $\chi \lesssim 10^{-16} 10^{-12}$. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'} = 1$ keV. They also found an excess over known backgrounds, which favors the mass $m_{\gamma'} = 2.3 \pm 0.2$ keV with a 3 σ significance. See their Fig. 10 for mass-dependent limits.
- 77 ARALIS 20 is analogous to ABE 14F. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=0.1$ keV. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. The limits at masses above 3 keV in their Fig. 10 was later found to be incorrect due to an error in their analysis. See Fig. 3 in an error of the corrected limits.
- 78 ARGUELLES 20 examine hidden-photon production in atmospheric cosmic-ray showers and its decay in IceCube and Super-Kamiokande. The quoted limit assumes a lifetime of $c\tau=0.1$ km. See their Fig. 16 for mass- and lifetime-dependent limits.
- 79 ARNAUD 20 look for the absorption signal of hidden photon dark matter in a Ge detector. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 9$ eV. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'} = 0.3 \; {\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 80 BANERJEE 20 is an update of BANERJEE 18. They exclude $8.2\times10^{-5}\lesssim\chi\lesssim1\times10^{-2}$ for $m_{\gamma'}=1.5$ –24 MeV. In particular, they exclude $\chi=1.2\times10^{-4}$ –6.8 $\times10^{-4}$ for the 16.7 MeV gauge boson. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁸¹ BARAK 20 is analogous to AGUILAR-AREVALO 19A, and look for hidden photon dark matter by using the Skipper CCD. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=12.8$ eV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 82 KRASNIKOV 20 showed that the limit of BANERJEE 20 combined with the measured anomalous magnetic moment of the electron exclude the 16.7 MeV gauge boson suggested by the ATOMKI (KRASZNAHORKAY 16) experiment if it has pure vector or axial-vector interactions.
- 83 SHE 20 look for solar hidden photons. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=180$ eV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- $^{84}\,\mathrm{SHE}$ 20 look for hidden photon dark matter and set limits $\chi < 1.3 \times 10^{-15} 2.8 \times 10^{-14}$ for the quoted mass range. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'} = 0.3~\mathrm{GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- 85 SIRUNYAN 20AQ look for a narrow resonance decaying into a pair of muons. For $m_{\gamma'}$ < 45 GeV, they use dedicated high-rate dimuon triggers to reduce the muon transverse momentum thresholds. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=50$ GeV, and limits of order 10^{-3} are obtained for the quoted mass range. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 86 TOMITA 20 look for hidden photon dark matter using a planar metal plate and cryogenic receiver and set limits $\chi < 1.8\text{--}4.3 \times 10^{-10}$ for the quoted mass range. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'} = 0.39~\text{GeV/cm}^3$ is assumed. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- 87 WANG 20A is analogous to ABE 14F. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=185$ eV. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 11 for mass-dependent limits.

- ⁸⁸ AABOUD 19G look for $h \to \gamma' \gamma'$ ($\gamma' \to \mu^+ \mu^-$) and exclude a kinetic mixing around 10^{-9} – 10^{-8} for B($h \to \gamma' \gamma'$) = 0.01 and 0.1. See their Fig. 9 for mass-dependent limits
- 89 limits. ABLIKIM 19A look for $J/\psi \to \gamma' \eta$ ($\gamma' \to e^+ e^-$). Limits between 6×10^{-3} and 5×10^{-2} are obtained (see their Fig. 8).
- ⁹⁰ ABLIKIM 19H look for $J/\psi \to \gamma' \eta' \ (\gamma' \to e^+ e^-)$. Limits between 3.4×10^{-3} and 2.6×10^{-2} are obtained. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 91 AGUILAR-AREVALO 19A look for the absorption signal of hidden photon dark matter by using a CCD. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=17$ eV. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.3$ GeV/cm 3 is assumed. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 92 APRILE 19D is analogous to ABE 14F. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=0.7$ keV. See their Fig. 5(f) for mass-dependent limits.
- 93 BANERJEE 19 is an update of BANERJEE 18A. The quoted limit is at $m_{\gamma'}=1$ MeV. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 94 BHOONAH 19 examine heating of Galactic Center gas clouds by hidden photon dark matter. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 10^{-12}$ eV. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 95 BRUN 19 is analogous to SUZUKI 15. The limit is derived under an assumption that hidden photons constitute the local dark matter density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}.$
- 96 CORTINA-GIL 19 look for an invisible hidden photon in the reaction $K^+\to\pi^+\pi^0$ $(\pi^0\to\gamma\gamma')$. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=$ 62.5–65 MeV. See their Figs. 6 and 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- 97 DANILOV 19 examined the hidden photon production in nuclear reactors, correctly taking account of the effective photon mass in the reactor and detector. The limit gets weaker for $m_{\gamma'}$ less than the effective photon mass in proportion to $1/m_{\gamma'}^2$. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 98 HOCHBERG 19 look for the absorption signal of hidden photon dark matter by using superconducting-nanowire single-photon detectors. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 1$ eV. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'} = 0.3~{\rm GeV/cm^3}$ is assumed. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 99 KOPYLOV 19 look for hidden-photon dark matter using a counter with an aluminum cathode and derive limits assuming it constitute all the local dark matter. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=12$ eV. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- 100 KOVETZ 19 examine heating of the early Universe plasma by hidden photon dark matter, and derive the limits by requiring that the cosmic mean 21 cm brightness temperature relative to the CMB temperature satisfy T $_{21} > -100$ mK. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-14}$ eV. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 101 NGUYEN 19 look for hidden photon dark matter with a resonant cavity, and set limits $\sim 10^{-12}$ for $m_{\gamma'}=0.2$ –2.07 $\mu \rm eV$. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=1.3~\mu \rm eV$. The local density $\rho_{\gamma'}=0.3~\rm GeV/cm^3$ is assumed. See their Fig. 19 for mass-dependent limits.
- 102 ABE 18F is an update of ABE 14F. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'} \simeq \,$ 40 keV. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ADRIAN 18 look for a hidden photon resonance in the reaction $e^-Z \to e^-Z\gamma'$ ($\gamma' \to e^+e^-$). The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=$ 40 MeV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits
- ANASTASI 18B look for a hidden photon resonance in the reaction $e^+e^- \to \gamma' \gamma$ ($\gamma' \to \mu^+\mu^-$). The quoted limit is obtained by combining the result of ANASTASI 16 and it applies to $m_{\gamma'} \simeq 519$ –987 MeV. See their Fig. 9 for mass-dependent limits.

- 105 ARMENGAUD 18 is analogous to ABE 14F. The quoted limits applies to $m_{\gamma'}=1.6$ keV. See the right panel of Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 106 BANERJEE 18 look for hidden photons produced in the reaction $e^- \, Z \to \, e^- \, Z \, \gamma' \, (\gamma' \to e^+ \, e^-)$, and exclude $9.2 \times 10^{-5} \, \lesssim \, \chi \, \lesssim \, 1 \times 10^{-2}$ for $m_{\gamma'} = 1$ –23 MeV. They also set a limit on the electron coupling to a 16.7 MeV gauge boson suggested by the ATOMKI (KRASZNAHORKAY 16) experiment. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 107 BANERJEE 18A look for invisible decays of hidden photons produced in the reaction $e^-\,Z\to\,e^-\,Z\,\gamma'$. The quoted limit is at $m_{\gamma'}=1$ MeV. See their Fig. 15 for mass-dependent limits.
- $^{108}\,\mathrm{KNIRCK}$ 18 is analogous to SUZUKI 15. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 109 ABGRALL 17 is analogous to ABE 14F using the MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR. See their Fig. 3 for limits between 6 keV $< m_{\gamma'}~<$ 97 keV.
- ¹¹⁰ ABLIKIM 17AA look for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma'$ ($\gamma' \rightarrow e^+e^-$ or $\mu^+\mu^-$). Limits between 10^{-3} and 10^{-4} are obtained (see their Fig. 3).
- 111 ANGLOHER 17 is analogous to ABE 14F. The quoted limit is at $m_{\gamma'}=$ 0.7 keV. See their Fig. 8 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹¹²BANERJEE 17 look for invisible decays of hidden photons produced in the reaction $e^-Z \rightarrow e^-Z\gamma'$. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma'}=2$ MeV. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹¹³ CHANG 17 examine the hidden photon emission from SN1987A, including the effects of finite temperature and density on χ and obtain limits χ ($m_{\gamma'}/\text{MeV}$) $\lesssim 3 \times 10^{-9}$ for $m_{\gamma'} < 15$ MeV and $\chi \lesssim 10^{-9}$ for $m_{\gamma'} = 15$ –120 MeV.
- ¹¹⁴ DÜBININA 17 look for $\mu^+ \to e^+ \overline{\nu}_\mu \nu_e^\prime \gamma^\prime$ ($\gamma^\prime \to e^+ e^-$) in a nuclear photoemulsion. The quoted limit applies to $m_{\gamma^\prime} = 1.1$ MeV. Limits between 4.5×10^{-3} and 10^{-2} are obtained (see their Fig. 3).
- ¹¹⁵ LEES 17E look for invisible decays of hidden photons produced in the reaction $e^+e^- \to \gamma \gamma'$. See their Fig. 5 for limits in the mass range $m_{\gamma'} \leq 8$ GeV.
- 116 AAD 16AG look for hidden photons promptly decaying into collimated electrons and/or muons, assuming that they are produced in the cascade decays of squarks or the Higgs boson. See their Fig. 10 and Fig.13 for their limits on the cross section times branching fractions.
- 117 ANASTASI 16 look for the decay $\gamma' \to \pi^+\pi^-$ in the reaction $e^+e^- \to \gamma\gamma'$. Limits between 4.3×10^{-3} and 4.4×10^{-4} are obtained for $527 < m_{\gamma'} < 987$ MeV (see their Fig. 9).
- ¹¹⁸ KHACHATRYAN 16 look for $\gamma' \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ in a dark SUSY scenario where the SM-like Higgs boson decays into a pair of the visible lightest neutralinos with mass 10 GeV, both of which decay into γ' and a hidden neutralino with mass 1 GeV. See the right panel in their Fig. 2.
- 119 AAD 15CD look for $H\to Z\gamma'\to 4\ell$ with the ATLAS detector at LHC and find $\chi<4$ –17 $\times\,10^{-2}$ for $m_{\gamma'}=$ 15–55 GeV. See their Fig. 6.
- 120 ADARE 15 look for a hidden photon in π^0 , $\eta^0\to\gamma e^+e^-$ at the PHENIX experiment. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 121 AN 15A derived limits from the absence of ionization signals in the XENON10 and XENON100 experiments, assuming hidden photons constitute all the local dark matter. Their best limit is $\chi < 1.3 \times 10^{-15}$ at $m_{\gamma'} = 18$ eV. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.
- 122 ANASTASI 15 look for a production of a hidden photon and a hidden Higgs boson with the KLOE detector at DAΦNE, where the hidden photon decays into a pair of muons

- and the hidden Higgs boson lighter than $m_{\gamma'}$ escape detection. See their Figs. 6 and 7 for mass-dependent limits on a product of the hidden fine structure constant and the kinetic mixing.
- ¹²³ ANASTASI 15A look for the decay $\gamma' \to e^+e^-$ in the reaction $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\gamma$. Limits between 1.7×10^{-3} and 1×10^{-2} are obtained for $m_{\gamma'} = 5$ –320 MeV (see their Fig. 7).
- ¹²⁴ BATLEY 15A look for $\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma'$ ($\gamma' \to e^+ e^-$) at the NA48/2 experiment. Limits between 4.2 \times 10⁻⁴ and 8.8 \times 10⁻³ are obtained for $m_{\gamma'} =$ 9–120 MeV (see their Fig. 4).
- ¹²⁵ JAEGLE 15 look for the decay $\gamma' \to e^+ e^-$, $\mu^+ \mu^-$, or $\pi^+ \pi^-$ in the dark Higgstrahlung channel, $e^+ e^- \to \gamma' H'$ ($H' \to \gamma' \gamma'$) at the BELLE experiment. They set limits on a product of the branching fraction and the Born cross section as well as a product of the hidden fine structure constant and the kinetic mixing. See their Figs. 3 and 4.
- ¹²⁶ KAZANAS 15 set limits by studying the decay of hidden photons $\gamma' \to e^+e^-$ inside and near the progenitor star of SN1987A. See their Fig. 6 for mass-dependent limits.
- 127 SUZUKI 15 looked for hidden-photon dark matter with a dish antenna and derived limits assuming they constitute all the local dark matter. Their limits are $\chi < 6 \times 10^{-12}$ for $m_{\gamma'} = 1.9$ –4.3 eV. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- 128 VINYOLES 15 performed a global fit analysis based on helioseismology and solar neutrino observations, and set the limits $\chi m_{\gamma'} < 1.8 \times 10^{-12}$ eV for $m_{\gamma'} = 3 \times 10^{-5}$ –8 eV. See their Fig. 11.
- ^{129} ABE 14F look for the photoelectric-like interaction in the XMASS detector assuming the hidden photon constitutes all the local dark matter. Limits between 2 \times 10 $^{-13}$ and 1×10^{-12} are obtained, where the relation $\chi^2=\alpha'/\alpha$ is used to translate the original bound on the ratio of the hidden and EM fine-structure constants. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- $^{130}\, \rm AGAKISHIEV~14~look~for~hidden~photons~\gamma' \rightarrow ~e^+\,e^-$ at the HADES experiment, and set limits on χ for $m_{\gamma'}=$ 0.02–0.6 GeV. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- 131 BABUSCI 14 look for the decay $\gamma' \to \mu^+\mu^-$ in the reaction $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$. Limits between 4×10^{-3} and 9.0×10^{-4} are obtained for 520 MeV $< m_{\gamma'} < 980$ MeV (see their Fig. 7).
- ¹³²BATELL 14 derived limits from the electron beam dump experiment at SLAC (E-137) by searching for events with recoil electrons by sub-GeV dark matter produced from the decay of the hidden photon. Limits at the level of 10^{-4} – 10^{-1} are obtained for $m_{\gamma'}=10^{-3}$ –1 GeV, depending on the dark matter mass and the hidden gauge coupling (see their Fig. 2).
- 133 BLUEMLEIN 14 analyzed the beam dump data taken at the U-70 accelerator to look for γ' -bremsstrahlung and the subsequent decay into muon pairs and hadrons. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent excluded region.
- 134 FRADETTE 14 studied effects of decay of relic hidden photons on BBN and CMB to set constraints on very small values of the kinetic mixing. See their Figs. 4 and 7 for mass-dependent excluded regions.
- ¹³⁵ LEES 14J look for hidden photons in the reaction $e^+e^- \to \gamma\gamma'$ ($\gamma' \to e^+e^-$, $\mu^+\mu^-$). Limits at the level of 10^{-4} – 10^{-3} are obtained for 0.02 GeV $< m_{\gamma'} < 10.2$ GeV. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 136 MERKEL 14 look for $\gamma' \to e^+e^-$ at the A1 experiment at the Mainz Microtron (MAMI). See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- 137 AN 13B examined the stellar production of hidden photons, correcting an important error of the production rate of the longitudinal mode which now dominates. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits based on solar energy loss.

- 138 AN 13C use the solar flux of hidden photons to set a limit on the atomic ionization rate in the XENON10 experiment. They find $\chi~m_{\gamma'}~<~3\times 10^{-12}$ eV for $m_{\gamma'}<1$ eV. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.
- 139 DIAMOND 13 analyzed the beam dump data taken at the SLAC millicharge experiment to constrain a hidden photon invisibly decaying into lighter long-lived particles, which undergo elastic scattering off nuclei in the detector. Limits between $8\times 10^{-4} 2\times 10^{-2}$ are obtained. The quoted limit is applied when the dark gauge coupling is set equal to the electromagnetic coupling. See their Fig.4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁴⁰ GNINENKO 13 used the data taken at the SINDRUM experiment to constrain the decay, $\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma' \ (\gamma' \to e^+ e^-)$ to derive limits. See their Fig. 2 for their mass-dependent excluded region.
- 141 HORVAT 13 look for hidden-photo-electric effect in HPGe detectors induced by solar hidden photons. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁴² INADA 13 search for hidden photons using an intense X-ray beamline at SPring-8. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 143 MIZUMOTO 13 look for solar hidden photons. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits
- ¹⁴⁴ PARKER 13 look for hidden photons using a cryogenic resonant microwave cavity. See their Fig.5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁴⁵ PARKER 13 derived a limit for the hidden photon CDM with a randomly oriented hidden photon field.
- 146 REDONDO 13 examined the solar emission of hidden photons including the enhancement factor for the longitudinal mode pointed out by AN 13B, and also updated stellar-energy loss arguments. See their Fig.3 for mass-dependent limits, including a review of the currently best limits from other arguments.
- ¹⁴⁷ GNINENKO 12A obtained bounds on B($\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma'$) · B($\gamma' \to e^+ e^-$) from the NOMAD and PS191 neutrino experiments, and derived limits between 8 × 10⁻⁸–2 × 10⁻⁴. See their Fig.4 for mass-dependent excluded regions.
- ¹⁴⁸ GNINENKO 12B used the data taken at the CHARM experiment to constrain the decay, $\eta(\eta') \to \gamma \gamma' \ (\gamma' \to e^+ e^-)$, and derived limits between 1×10^{-7} – 1×10^{-4} . See their Fig.4 for mass-dependent excluded region.
- ¹⁴⁹ ABRAHAMYAN 11 look for $\gamma' \to e^+e^-$ in the electron-nucelon fixed-target experiment at the Jefferson Laboratory (APEX). See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁵⁰ BLUEMLEIN 11 analyzed the beam dump data taken at the U-70 accelerator to look for $\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma' \ (\gamma' \to e^+ e^-)$. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁵¹ BJORKEN 09 analyzed the beam dump data taken at E137, E141, and E774 to constrain a hidden photon produced by bremsstrahlung, subsequently decaying into e^+e^- , and derived limits between 10^{-7} and 10^{-2} . See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent excluded region.
- 152 BJORKEN 09 required the energy loss in the γ' emission from the core of SN1987A not to exceed 10^{53} erg/s, and derived limits between 5 \times 10 $^{-9}$ and 2 \times 10 $^{-6}$. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent excluded region.

REFERENCES FOR Searches for Axions (A^0) and Other Very Light Bosons

AAD	24AS	EPJ C84 719	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	24AT	EPJ C84 742	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	24BN	PRL 133 161803	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	24BZ	JHEP 2411 036	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	24CD	JHEP 2411 126	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	24K	PL B850 138536	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24AD	PR D110 L031101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24AK	JHEP 2407 135	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24G	PR D109 L031102	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24M	PR D109 072001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABRATENKO	24A	PRL 132 241801	P. Abratenko et al.	(MicroBooNE Collab.)

ABREU ADACHI AGOSTINI AGRAWAL AHN ALTENMULL ANDREEV ANDREEV APRILE ARAMBURO	24A 24E 24B	PL B848 138378 PR D109 012008 EPJ C84 940 PRL 132 140801 PR X14 031023 PRL 133 221005 PR D109 L031103 PR D110 112015 PR D110 109903 (errat.) JCAP 2411 049	H. Abreu et al. S. Adachi et al. M. Agostini et al. A. Agrawal et al. S. Ahn et al. K. Altenmueller et al. Yu.M. Andreev et al. Yu.M. Andreev et al. E. Aprile et al. A. Aramburo-Garcia et al.	(FASER Collab.) (DOSUE-RR Collab.) (GERDA Collab.) (CHIC, RUTG, LBNL+) (CAPP Collab.) (CAST Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (XENON1T Collab.) (LEID, NORD+)
ARNQUIST AUGIER BAE	24 24 24	PRL 132 041001 EPJ C84 925 PRL 133 211803	I.J. Arnquist et al. C. Augier et al. S. Bae et al.	(MAJORANA Collab.) (CUPID-Mo Collab.) (CAPP Collab.)
BIANCHINI	24	PR D110 123527	F. Bianchini, G. Grilli di C	ortona, M. Valli
CERVANTES CORTINA-GIL	24 24	PR D110 043022 PL B850 138513	R. Cervantes <i>et al.</i> E. Cortina Gil <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, NIST, PADO+) (NA62 Collab.)
CORTINA-GIL DAVYDOV	24A 24	PRL 133 111802 PR D110 103022	E. Cortina-Gil <i>et al.</i> D. Davydov, A. Libanov	(NA62 Collab.) (ASCI, INRM)
DEV	24	PRL 132 101003	P.S.B. Dev et al.	(WUSL, LAVL, WASH+)
DIAMOND	24	PRL 132 101004	M. Dolon E. Hiskons I	P.P. Volkas (MELP)
DOLAN FORDHAM	24 24	JCAP 2405 099 PR D109 123007	M.J. Dolan, F.J. Hiskens, I D. Fordham, I. Lopes	R.R. Volkas (MELB)
GAO	24	PR D109 063003	LQ. Gao et al.	
GAO GUO	24A 24	JCAP 2401 026 PL B852 138631	LQ. Gao <i>et al.</i> WQ. Guo, ZQ. Xia, X.	Huang
		JHEP 2412 172	A. Hayrapetyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
HAYRAPETY		PL B852 138582	A. Hayrapetyan et al.	(CMS Collab.)
HE HEINZE	24 24	PR D110 L021101 PRL 132 191002	D. He <i>et al.</i> J. Heinze <i>et al.</i>	(APEX Collab.)
KIM	24	PRL 132 191002 PRL 133 051802	Y. Kim <i>et al.</i>	(LIDA Collab.) (CAPP Collab.)
KNIRCK	24	PRL 132 131004	S. Knirck et al.	(BREAD Collab.)
LELLA	24	PR D109 023001	A. Lella <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, STOH, INFNL, +)
LEVINE LI	24 24A	PR D110 032010 PL B850 138531	J. Levine <i>et al.</i> HJ. Li <i>et al.</i>	(Dark E-Field Radio Collab.)
LI	24B	CP C48 065107	J. Li et al.	
LI	24D	PL B858 139075	H.J. Li, W. Chao, YF. ZI	` , _ ,
LIU MANZARI	24 24	EPJ C84 723 PRL 133 211002	TC. Liu, MX. Lu, XS. C.A. Manzari <i>et al.</i>	Hu (GXU) (UCB, LBL)
MCCARTHY	24	PRL 133 141003	F. McCarthy <i>et al.</i>	(CAMB, NYU, PERIM+)
PANDEY	24	PRL 133 111003	S. Pandey et al.	(MIT)
PANT PYBUS	24 24	PR D109 023011 PL B855 138790	B.P. Pant	(IITJ) (GLUEX Collab.)
QUISKAMP	24	PRL 132 031601	J.R. Pybus <i>et al.</i> A. Quiskamp <i>et al.</i>	(ORGAN Collab.)
RAVENSBURG	24	PR D109 023018	E. Ravensberg et al.	(STOH, LAPTH, LAPP)
RETTAROLI	24	PR D110 022008	A. Rettaroli <i>et al.</i>	(QUAX Collab.)
SADOVSKY SU	24 24	EPJ C84 266 PRL 133 191801	A.S. Sadovsky <i>et al.</i> H. Su <i>et al.</i>	(OKA Collab.)
TANG	24	PRL 133 021005	Z. Tang et al.	(SHANHE Collab.)
TODARELLO	24	JCAP 2405 043	E. Todarello <i>et al.</i>	(6146 6 11 1)
TUMASYAN WANG	24C 24A	PR D110 012010 PR D110 103007	A. Tumasyan et al. H. Wang et al. ((CMS Collab.) (HSCA, HARV, LBL, BOST+)
YAN	24A	JHEP 2406 028	S. Yan, L. Li, J.J. Fan	(BROW)
AAD		PRL 131 251801	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD AAD	23I 23T	JHEP 2306 153 JHEP 2307 133	G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
AALBERS	23A	PR D108 072006	J. Aalbers <i>et al.</i>	(LZ Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23AF	PL B839 137785	M. Ablikim et al.	(BEŠIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM ABUDINEN	23E 23B	PL B838 137698	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.) (BELLE II Collab.)
ACCIARRI	236	PRL 130 071804 PRL 130 221802	F. Abudinen <i>et al.</i> R. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(ArgoNeuT Collab.)
ADACHI	23A	PRL 130 181803	I. Adachi et al.	(BELLE II Collab.)
ADACHI ADACHI	23D 23K	PR D108 043017	S. Adachi <i>et al.</i> I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(POLARBEAR Collab.) (BELLE II Collab.)
ADHIKARI	23	PR D108 L111104 PR D107 122004	G. Adhikari <i>et al.</i>	(COSINE-100 Collab.)
ADHIKARI	23A	PR D108 L041301	G. Adhikari et al.	(COSINE-100 Collab.)
ADRIAN AGNES	23 23A	PR D108 012015 PRL 130 101002	P.H. Adrian et al. P. Agnes et al.	(HPS Collab.) (DarkSide-50 Collab.)
AN	23A	PRL 130 101002 PRL 130 181001	H. An et al.	(Dairoide-Ju Collab.)
ANDREEV	23	PRL 131 161801	Yu.M. Andreev et al.	(NA64 Collab.)
APRILE AYRES	23B 23	PR D108 072015 NJP 25 103012	E. Aprile <i>et al.</i> N.J. Ayres <i>et al.</i>	(XENON Collab.)
	-5	25 105012	rigics of al.	

BAJJALI 2 BATTYE 2	23 23 23	PR D107 032006 JCAP 2308 077 PR D108 063001	O. Azzolini <i>et al.</i> F. Bajjali <i>et al.</i> R. Battye <i>et al.</i>	(CUPID-0 Collab.) (BRASS Collab.)
BERNAL 2 BERTUZZO 2	23 23 23 23	PR D108 L081302 PR D107 103046 PRL 130 171801 JCAP 2306 060	C. Beaufort <i>et al.</i> J.L. Bernal <i>et al.</i> E. Bertuzzo <i>et al.</i> F. Capozzi <i>et al.</i>	(JHU, TELA, REHO+) (USP)
CHENG 2 CORTINA-GIL 2 CORTINA-GIL 2 DAVIES 2	23	PR D108 075019 PR D107 063021 PL B846 138193 JHEP 2309 035 PR D107 083027	F. Capozzi et al. Ld. Cheng, H. Zhang, E. Cortina-Gil et al. E. Cortina Gil et al. J. Davies, M. Meyer, G.	(NA62 Collab.) (NA62 Collab.) Cotter (OXF, HAMB)
	23	PR D108 L101303 JETPL 118 160	R. Della Monica, I. de M A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	lartino (PNPI)
DI-VORA 2	23 23	PR D108 049902 PR D108 062005	M. Diamond <i>et al.</i> R. Di Vora <i>et al.</i>	(QUAX Collab.)
FIORILLO HAYRAPETY 2 HOOF 2 JACOBSEN 2 JEWELL 2 KARANTH 2 KOTAKA 2 LEE 2 LI 2 NOORDHUIS 2 NOTARI 2 OSHIMA 2 PANT 2 PODDAR 2 RAMANATH 2 ROGERS 2 ROMANENKO 2 SANDNER 2 SHERRILL 2 SMARRA 2	23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 2	PRL 130 253001 PRL 131 021001 JHEP 2312 070 JCAP 2303 054 JCAP 2310 009 PR D107 072007 PR X13 031004 PRL 130 091602 PRL 130 071805 PR X13 011050 PR D107 063031 JCAP 2309 009 PRL 131 111004 PRL 131 011004 PR D108 072005 PR D108 023016 PR D108 103024 PRL 130 231001 JCAP 2306 023 PRL 130 261801 EPJ C83 709 NJP 25 093012 PRL 131 171001	M. Filzinger et al. D.F.G. Fiorillo, G.G. Raff A. Hayrapetyan et al. S. Hoof, L. Schulz S. Jacobsen, T. Linden, I M.J. Jewell et al. S. Karanth et al. J. Kim et al. S. Kotaka et al. J. Li, W. Chao SP. Li, XJ. Xu D. Noordhuis et al. A. Notari, F. Rompineve, Y. Oshima et al. B.P. Pant et al. T.K. Poddar, D. Pachhar K. Ramanathan et al. K.K. Rogers et al. A. Romanenko et al. S. Sandner, M. Escudero, N. Sherrill et al. C. Smarra et al.	(CMS Collab.) (KIT, GOET) K. Freese (STOH, TEXA+) (HAYSTAC Collab.) (JEDI Collab.) (KAIST, RIKEN, TOKY) (DOSUE-RR Collab.) (ASTAR, PRIN) (BNORM) (BHEP) (AMST, PRIN, STAN+) G. Villadoro (ICCUB+) (DANCE Collab.) (IITJ) (CIT, JPL) S.J. Witte (VALE, CERN+) (SUSS, NPLT) (EPTA Collab.)
THOMSON 2	23 23 23	PR D108 096026 PR D107 112003 PR D107 083011	I. Sulai <i>et al.</i> C.A. Thomson <i>et al.</i> D. Wadekar, Z. Wang	(SNIPE Hunt Collab.) (UPLOAD Collab.) (IAS, NYU)
XIA 2	23 23	PR D107 L121302 PRL 131 081801	ZQ. Xia et al. B. Yang et al.	(CAPP Collab.)
YAO YI YI ZHANG ZH	233 233 234 235 237 2224 2225 222 222 222 222 222 222 222 2	PR D107 043031 PRL 130 071002 PR D108 L021304 PRL 130 201401 PRL 130 251002 JHEP 2203 041 EPJ C82 105 PR D105 022006 PR D105 052007 JCAP 2212 012 PRL 129 089901 PR D106 052007 PR D106 052007 PR D106 052001 PR D110 109903 (errat.) PRL 129 161805 PRL 129 081803 PR D105 095007 PR D106 072011 PR D106 072011 PR D105 L021305 PRL 129 231301 PRL 129 231301 PRL 129 211102 PR D105 063028 PRL 128 091102 PR D105 063028 PRL 128 221103 JCAP 2206 014	RM. Yao et al. A.K. Yi et al. A.K. Yi et al. SB. Zhang et al. X. Zhang et al. G. Aad et al. G. Aad et al. P.A.R. Ade et al. S. Adhikari et al. M. Agostini et al. M. Agostini et al. E. Aprile et al. E. Aprile et al. I.J. Arnquist et al. A. Arza et al. M. Battaglieri et al. R.A. Battye et al. J.L. Bernal, G. Sato-Polit J.S. Bolton et al. M. Buschmann et al. F. Calore et al. A. Caputo et al. A. Castillo et al.	(CAPP Collab.) (CAPP Collab.) (CST) (MAINZ, REHO, UCB, +) (ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.) (BICEP/Keck Collab.) (GLUEX Collab.) (GERDA Collab.) (GERDA Collab.) (QUAX Collab.) (XENON1T Collab.) (XENON1T Collab.) (XENON1T Collab.) (XENON1T Collab.) (ITEP, JHU, STAN, CSEB) (BDX-MINI Collab.) (BDX-MINI Collab.) (CO, M. Kamionkowski (JHU) (PRIN, MICH, UCB+) (APTH, STOH, BARI, WROC+)
	22 22	PRL 129 201301 PRL 129 111802	R. Cervantes et al. H. Chang et al.	(ADMX Collab.) (TASEH Collab.)

CHILES	22	PRL 128 231802	J. Chiles et al.	
COLOMA	22A	JHEP 2207 138	P. Coloma et al.	(IFT, CNYIT, ICC, ICREA+)
CRESCINI	22	PR D105 022007	N. Crescini et al.	
DEROCCO DESSERT	22 22	PRL 129 101101 PRL 128 071102	W. DeRocco <i>et al.</i> C. Dessert, A.J. Long, B.F	R. Safdi (MICH, UCB+)
DESSERT	22A	PR D105 103034	C. Dessert, A.J. Long, B.I. C. Dessert, D. Dunsky, B.I	
ECKNER	22	PR D106 083020	C. Eckner, F. Calore	(LAPTH)
FENG	22	PRL 128 231803	YK. Feng et al.	,
FERGUSON	22	PR D106 042011	K.R. Ferguson et al.	(SPT-3G Collab.)
FOSTER GAVRILYUK	22 22	PRL 129 251102 JETPL 116 11	J.W. Foster <i>et al.</i> Yu.M. Gavrilyuk <i>et al.</i>	
HOCHBERG	22	PR D106 112005	Y. Hochberg et al.	(SNSPD Collab.)
IKEDA	22	PR D105 102004	T. Ikeda <i>et al.</i>	(5.15. 2 55.151)
JIA	22	PRL 128 081804	S. Jia <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
KIRITA	22	JHEP 2210 176	Y. Kirita <i>et al.</i>	(SAPPHIRES Collab.)
LAGUE LANGHOFF	22 22	JCAP 2201 049 PRL 129 241101	A. Lague <i>et al.</i> K. Langhoff, N.J. Outmezg	ruino N.I. Podd. (IICR L)
LEE	22	PRL 128 241101	Y. Lee <i>et al.</i>	guine, N.L. Rodd (UCB+) (CAPP Collab.)
LEES	22	PRL 128 021802	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	22B	PRL 128 131802	J.P. Lees et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
LI	22	PL B829 137047	HJ. Li	(BNORM)
LI	22C	CP C46 085105	HJ. Li, XJ. Bi, PF. Yi	in
LU LUCENTE	22 22	PR D105 123017 PRL 129 011101	BQ. Lu, CW. Chiang G. Lucente <i>et al.</i>	
MANENTI	22	PR D105 052010	L. Manenti <i>et al.</i>	(MuDHI Collab.)
QUISKAMP	22	SADV 8 27	A. Quiskamp et al.	(ORGAN Collab.)
SCHULTHESS	22	PRL 129 191801	I. Schulthess et al.	(BERN, ILLG)
THOMAS	22	PR D105 L031901	A.W. Thomas, X.G. Wang	
TUMASYAN TUMASYAN	22AH 22N	EPJ C82 290	A. Tumasyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
TUMASYAN	22R	JHEP 2204 062 JHEP 2204 087	A. Tumasyan <i>et al.</i> A. Tumasyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.)
WANG	22C	PRL 129 051801	Y. Wang et al.	(Civio Conab.)
WU	22A	PR D106 L081101	Y.M. Wu et al.	(PPTA Collab.)
XIAO	22	PR D106 123019	M. Xiao et al.	
YOON	22	PR D106 092007	H. Yoon <i>et al.</i>	
YUAN ZHOU	22A 22	PR D106 023020 PR D106 083006	C. Yuan, Y. Jiang, QG. YF. Zhou <i>et al.</i>	(MeerKAT-Axion Collab.)
AAD	21F	PR D103 112006	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	21K	JHEP 2102 226	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD	21N	JHEP 2103 243	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
Also	0.4	JHEP 2111 050 (errat.)		(ATLAS Collab.)
ABRATENKO ADE	21 21	PRL 127 151803 PR D103 042002	P. Abratenko <i>et al.</i> P.A.R. Ade <i>et al.</i>	(MicroBooNE Collab.) (BICEP/Keck Collab.)
AFACH	21	NATP 17 1396	S. Afach <i>et al.</i>	(GNOME Collab.)
AGUILAR-AR		PR D103 052006	A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al.	(PIENU Collab.)
ALESINI	21	PR D103 102004	D. Alesini et al.	(QUAX Collab.)
AL-KHARUSI	21	PR D104 112002	S. Al Kharusi et al.	(EXO-200 Collab.)
ANDREEV	21	PRL 126 211802	Yu.M. Andreev et al.	(NA64 Collab.)
ANDREEV ANDREEV	21A 21B	PR D104 L091701 PR D104 L111102	Yu.M. Andreev <i>et al.</i> Yu.M. Andreev <i>et al.</i>	(NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.)
AYBAS	21	PRL 126 141802	D. Aybas et al.	(CASPEr Collab.)
BACKES	21	NAT 590 238	K.M. Backes et al.	(HAYSTAC Collab.)
BANIK	21	JCAP 2110 043	N. Banik <i>et al.</i>	
BARTRAM	21A	PRL 127 261803	C. Bartram <i>et al.</i>	(ADMX Collab.)
BASU BAUMHOLZ	21 21	PRL 126 191102 JCAP 2105 004	A. Basu <i>et al.</i> S. Baumbolzor, V. Brdar, I	(BIEL, NAGO) E. Morgante (MAINZ, FNAL+)
BHUSAL	21	PRL 126 091601	A. Bhusal, N. Houston, T.	
BI	21	PR D103 043018	XJ. Bi et al.	(BHEP, TSIN)
CALORE	21	PR D104 043016	F. Calore et al.	(HEID)
CARRA	21	PR D104 092005	S. Carra et al.	(NIACA C. II. I.)
CAZZANIGA CORTINA-GIL	21 21	EPJ C81 959 PL B816 136259	C. Cazzaniga <i>et al.</i> E. Cortina Gil <i>et al.</i>	(NA64 Collab.) (NA62 Collab.)
CORTINA-GIL	21A	JHEP 2103 058	E. Cortina Gil <i>et al.</i>	(NA62 Collab.)
CORTINA-GIL	21C	JHEP 2102 201	E. Cortina Gil <i>et al.</i>	(NA62 Collab.)
CROON	21	JHEP 2101 107	D. Croon et al.	(TRIU, WASH, MIT, FNAL)
DEVLIN	21	PRL 126 041301	J.A. Devlin <i>et al.</i>	(BASE Collab.)
DIXIT DOLAN	21 21	PRL 126 141302 JHEP 2103 190 (errat.)	A.V. Dixit <i>et al.</i> M.J. Dolan <i>et al.</i>	(CHIC, RUTG, UCB+) (MELB, BRCO, DESY)
DOLAN	21A	JCAP 2109 010	M.J. Dolan, F.J. Hiskens,	
FUJIKURA	21	PR D104 123012	K. Fujikura <i>et al.</i>	(!==)
GHOSH	21	PR D104 092016	S. Ghosh et al.	

CONFER	21	PR D104 012013	B. Godfrey et al.	(UCD, CSUS, STAN)
GODFREY GRAMOLIN	21	NATP 17 79	A.V. Gramolin et al.	(SHAFT Collab.)
GUO	21	CP C45 025105	JG. Guo et al.	(BHEP)
HOMMA	21	JHEP 2112 108	K. Homa <i>et al.</i>	(SAPPHIRES Collab.)
JIANG	21	NATP 17 1402	M. Jiang et al.	(= = = = ,
KOPYLOV	21A	PPN 52 31	A.V. Kopylov, I.V. Orekhov,	V.V. Petukhov (INRM)
KRIBS	21	PRL 126 011801	G.D. Kribs, D. McKeen, N.	
KWON	21	PRL 126 191802	O. Kwon et al.	(CAPP-ACTION Collab.)
LI	21B	PR D103 083003	HJ. Li et al.	(BHEP)
LLOYD	21	PR D103 023010	S.J. Lloyd <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, OKLA)
LUCENTE	21	PR D104 103007	G. Lucente, P. Carenza	(BARI)
Also MARTINCAM.	21	PR D110 049901 (errat) PR D103 L121301	J.M. Camalich <i>et al.</i>	(BARI)
MELCON	21	JHEP 2110 075	A.A. Melcon <i>et al.</i>	(CAST-RADES Collab.)
NG	21	PRL 126 151102	K.K.Y. Ng et al.	(MIT, ANIK, UTRE, LEUV)
PARK	21	JHEP 2104 191	SH. Park et al.	(BELLE Collab.)
REGIS	21	PL B814 136075	M. Regis <i>et al.</i>	(MUSE Collab.)
ROGERS	21	PRL 126 071302	K.K. Rogers, H.V. Peiris	(STOH, LOUC)
ROUSSY	21	PRL 126 171301	T.S. Roussy et al.	(COLO, MAINZ)
SALEMI	21	PRL 127 081801	C.P. Salemi et al.	(ABRACADABRA Collab.)
SCHMIDT	21	PR D104 015008	I. Schmidt et al.	$(FRAN,\;GSI,\;+)$
THOMSON	21	PRL 126 081803	C.A. Thomson et al.	(WAUS)
Also		PRL 127 019901 (errat.)		(WAUS)
TSAI	21	PRL 126 181801	YD. Tsai, P. deNiverville, N	
TSUKADA	21	PR D103 083005	L. Tsukada <i>et al.</i>	(ROMA, TOKY, WATER)
XIAO YUAN	21	PRL 126 031101	M. Xiao et al.	(CST)
ZHANG	21 21B	JCAP 2103 018 PRL 127 161101	GW. Yuan et al. J. Zhang et al.	(CST)
AAIJ		JHEP 2010 156	R. Aaij et al.	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	20C	PRL 124 041801	R. Aaij et al.	(LHCb Collab.)
ABDELHAME.		EPJ C80 376	A.H. Abdelhameed <i>et al.</i>	(Erres conds.)
ABE	20J	PL B815 136174	T. Abe, K. Hamaguchi, N. I	Nagata (TOKY)
ABLIKIM		PR D102 052005	M. Ablikim et al.	(BESIII Collab.)
ABUDINEN	20	PRL 125 161806	F. Abudinen et al.	(BÈLLE II Collab.)
AGOSTINI	20	PRL 125 011801	M. Agostini et al.	(GERDA Collab.)
AGUILAR-AR		PR D101 052014	A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al.	(PIENU Collab.)
AMARAL	20	PR D102 091101	D.W. Amaral et al.	(SuperCDMS Collab.)
AN AND DIAMAY	20	PR D102 115022	H. An et al.	(VIEN, MINN, VICT, TSIN)
ANDRIANAV APRILE	20	PR D102 042001	A. Andrianavalomahefa <i>et al</i>	
ARALIS	20	PR D102 072004 PR D101 052008	E. Aprile <i>et al.</i> T. Aralis <i>et al.</i>	(XENON Collab.) (SuperCDMS Collab.)
Also	20	PR D103 039901 (errat.)		(SuperCDMS Collab.)
ARGUELLES	20	JHEP 2002 190	C. Arguelles <i>et al.</i>	
ARNAUD			0. 764005 01 4	
	20	PRL 125 141301	Q. Arnaud et al.	(MIT, VALE) (EDELWEISS Collab.)
BALDINI	20 20	PRL 125 141301 EPJ C80 858	Q. Arnaud et al. A.M. Baldini et al.	(EDELWÈISS Collab.)
BANERJEE		EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101	Q. Arnaud <i>et al.</i> A.M. Baldini <i>et al.</i> D. Banerjee <i>et al.</i>	
	20	EPJ C80 858	A.M. Baldini et al.	(EDELWÈISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.)
BANERJEE	20 20 20A 20	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101	A.M. Baldini <i>et al.</i> D. Banerjee <i>et al.</i>	(EDELWÈISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE	20 20 20A 20 20	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al.	(EDELWÈISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER	20 20 20A 20 20 20	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al.	(EDELWÈISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE	20 20 20A 20 20 20 20	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al.	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI	20 20 20A 20 20 20 20 20 20	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt	(EDELWÈISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI CARENZA	20 20 20A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007 PL B809 135709	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Barerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt P. Carenza et al.	(EDELWÈISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +) (MPIM)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI CARENZA CRESCINI	20 20 20A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007 PL B809 135709 PRL 124 171801	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt P. Carenza et al. N. Crescini et al.	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +) (MPIM) (QUAX Collab.)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI CARENZA CRESCINI CRISOSTO	20 20 20A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007 PL B809 135709 PRL 124 171801 PRL 124 241101	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt P. Carenza et al. N. Crescini et al. N. Crisosto et al.	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +) (MPIM) (QUAX Collab.) (ADMX SLIC Collab.)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI CARENZA CRESCINI CRISOSTO DARLING	20 20A 20A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007 PL B809 135709 PRL 124 171801 PRL 124 241101 PRL 125 121103	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt P. Carenza et al. N. Crescini et al. N. Crisosto et al. J. Darling	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +) (MPIM) (QUAX Collab.) (ADMX SLIC Collab.) (COLO)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI CARENZA CRESCINI CRISOSTO DARLING DARLING	20 20 20A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007 PL B809 135709 PRL 124 171801 PRL 124 241101 PRL 125 121103 APJ 900 L28	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt P. Carenza et al. N. Crescini et al. N. Crisosto et al. J. Darling J. Darling	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +) (MPIM) (QUAX Collab.) (ADMX SLIC Collab.)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI CARENZA CRESCINI CRISOSTO DARLING	20 20A 20A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007 PL B809 135709 PRL 124 171801 PRL 124 241101 PRL 125 121103	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt P. Carenza et al. N. Crescini et al. N. Crisosto et al. J. Darling	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +) (MPIM) (QUAX Collab.) (ADMX SLIC Collab.) (COLO)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI CARENZA CRESCINI CRISOSTO DARLING DARLING DENT	20 20 20A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007 PL B809 135709 PRL 124 171801 PRL 124 241101 PRL 125 121103 APJ 900 L28 PRL 125 131805	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt P. Carenza et al. N. Crescini et al. N. Crisosto et al. J. Darling J. Darling J. B. Dent et al.	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +) (MPIM) (QUAX Collab.) (ADMX SLIC Collab.) (COLO) (COLO) Schmidt-Hoberg (DESY)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI CARENZA CRESCINI CRISOSTO DARLING DARLING DENT DEPTA	20 20 20A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007 PL B809 135709 PRL 124 171801 PRL 125 121103 APJ 900 L28 PRL 125 131805 JCAP 2005 009	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt P. Carenza et al. N. Crescini et al. N. Crisosto et al. J. Darling J. Darling J. Darling J.B. Dent et al. P.F. Depta, M. Hufnagel, K.	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +) (MPIM) (QUAX Collab.) (ADMX SLIC Collab.) (COLO) (COLO) Schmidt-Hoberg (DESY)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI CARENZA CRESCINI CRISOSTO DARLING DARLING DENT DEPTA DESSERT ESTEBAN FOSTER	20 20 20A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007 PL B809 135709 PRL 124 171801 PRL 124 241101 PRL 125 121103 APJ 900 L28 PRL 125 131805 JCAP 2005 009 PRL 125 261102 EPJ C80 259 PRL 125 171301	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt P. Carenza et al. N. Crescini et al. N. Crisosto et al. J. Darling J. Darling J. Darling J.B. Dent et al. P.F. Depta, M. Hufnagel, K. C. Dessert, J.W. Foster, B.R. I. Esteban et al. J.W. Foster et al.	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +) (MPIM) (QUAX Collab.) (ADMX SLIC Collab.) (COLO) (COLO) Schmidt-Hoberg (DESY) Safdi (MICH)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI CARENZA CRESCINI CRISOSTO DARLING DARLING DENT DEPTA DESSERT ESTEBAN FOSTER GAO	20 20 20A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20A 20A	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007 PL B809 135709 PRL 124 171801 PRL 124 241101 PRL 125 121103 APJ 900 L28 PRL 125 131805 JCAP 2005 009 PRL 125 261102 EPJ C80 259 PRL 125 171301 PRL 125 131806	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt P. Carenza et al. N. Crescini et al. N. Crisosto et al. J. Darling J. Darling J. Darling J.B. Dent et al. P.F. Depta, M. Hufnagel, K. C. Dessert, J.W. Foster, B.R. I. Esteban et al. J.W. Foster et al. C. Gao et al.	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +) (MPIM) (QUAX Collab.) (ADMX SLIC Collab.) (COLO) (COLO) Schmidt-Hoberg (DESY)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI CARENZA CRESCINI CRISOSTO DARLING DARLING DENT DEPTA DESSERT ESTEBAN FOSTER GAO GAVELA	20 20 20A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20A 20A	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007 PL B809 135709 PRL 124 171801 PRL 124 241101 PRL 125 121103 APJ 900 L28 PRL 125 131805 JCAP 2005 009 PRL 125 261102 EPJ C80 259 PRL 125 171301 PRL 125 131806 PRL 125 131806 PRL 124 051802	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt P. Carenza et al. N. Crescini et al. N. Crisosto et al. J. Darling J. Darling J. Boent et al. P.F. Depta, M. Hufnagel, K. C. Dessert, J.W. Foster, B.R. I. Esteban et al. J.W. Foster et al. C. Gao et al. M.B. Gavela et al.	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +) (MPIM) (QUAX Collab.) (ADMX SLIC Collab.) (COLO) (COLO) Schmidt-Hoberg (DESY) Safdi (MICH)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI CARENZA CRESCINI CRISOSTO DARLING DARLING DENT DEPTA DESSERT ESTEBAN FOSTER GAO GAVELA GHOSH	20 20 20A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20A 20A	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007 PL B809 135709 PRL 124 171801 PRL 124 241101 PRL 125 121103 APJ 900 L28 PRL 125 131805 JCAP 2005 009 PRL 125 261102 EPJ C80 259 PRL 125 171301 PRL 125 131806 PRL 125 131806 PRL 124 051802 JCAP 2010 060	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt P. Carenza et al. N. Crescini et al. N. Crisosto et al. J. Darling J. Darling J. Barling J.B. Dent et al. P.F. Depta, M. Hufnagel, K. C. Dessert, J.W. Foster, B.R. I. Esteban et al. J.W. Foster et al. C. Gao et al. M.B. Gavela et al. D. Ghosh, D. Sachdeva	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +) (MPIM) (QUAX Collab.) (ADMX SLIC Collab.) (COLO) (COLO) Schmidt-Hoberg (DESY) Safdi (MICH) (MICH, ILL, TOKY+) (FNAL, EFI, CHIC, ANL+)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI CARENZA CRESCINI CRISOSTO DARLING DARLING DENT DEPTA DESSERT ESTEBAN FOSTER GAO GAVELA GHOSH IRSIC	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007 PL B809 135709 PRL 124 171801 PRL 125 121103 APJ 900 L28 PRL 125 121103 APJ 900 L28 PRL 125 131805 JCAP 2005 009 PRL 125 261102 EPJ C80 259 PRL 125 171301 PRL 125 131806 PRL 124 051802 JCAP 2010 060 PR D101 123518	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt P. Carenza et al. N. Crescini et al. N. Crisosto et al. J. Darling J. Darling J. B. Dent et al. P.F. Depta, M. Hufnagel, K. C. Dessert, J.W. Foster, B.R. I. Esteban et al. J.W. Foster et al. C. Gao et al. M.B. Gavela et al. D. Ghosh, D. Sachdeva V. Irsic, H. Xiao, M. McQui	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +) (MPIM) (QUAX Collab.) (ADMX SLIC Collab.) (COLO) (COLO) Schmidt-Hoberg (DESY) Safdi (MICH) (MICH, ILL, TOKY+) (FNAL, EFI, CHIC, ANL+)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI CARENZA CRESCINI CRISOSTO DARLING DARLING DENT DEPTA DESSERT ESTEBAN FOSTER GAO GAVELA GHOSH IRSIC JEONG	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007 PL B809 135709 PRL 124 171801 PRL 125 121103 APJ 900 L28 PRL 125 121103 APJ 900 L28 PRL 125 131805 JCAP 2005 009 PRL 125 261102 EPJ C80 259 PRL 125 171301 PRL 125 171301 PRL 125 171301 PRL 125 131806 PR D101 123518 PRL 125 221302	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt P. Carenza et al. N. Crescini et al. N. Crisosto et al. J. Darling J. Darling J. B. Dent et al. P.F. Depta, M. Hufnagel, K. C. Dessert, J.W. Foster, B.R. I. Esteban et al. J.W. Foster et al. C. Gao et al. M.B. Gavela et al. D. Ghosh, D. Sachdeva V. Irsic, H. Xiao, M. McQui J. Jeong et al.	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +) (MPIM) (QUAX Collab.) (ADMX SLIC Collab.) (COLO) (COLO) Schmidt-Hoberg (DESY) Safdi (MICH) (MICH, ILL, TOKY+) (FNAL, EFI, CHIC, ANL+)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI CARENZA CRESCINI CRISOSTO DARLING DARLING DENT DEPTA DESSERT ESTEBAN FOSTER GAO GAVELA GHOSH IRSIC JEONG KENNEDY	20 20 20A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20A 20A	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007 PL B809 135709 PRL 124 171801 PRL 125 121103 APJ 900 L28 PRL 125 131805 JCAP 2005 009 PRL 125 261102 EPJ C80 259 PRL 125 171301 PRL 125 131806 PRL 124 051802 JCAP 2010 060 PR D101 123518 PRL 125 221302 PRL 125 201302	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt P. Carenza et al. N. Crescini et al. N. Crisosto et al. J. Darling J. Darling J. Darling J.B. Dent et al. P.F. Depta, M. Hufnagel, K. C. Dessert, J.W. Foster, B.R. I. Esteban et al. J.W. Foster et al. C. Gao et al. M.B. Gavela et al. D. Ghosh, D. Sachdeva V. Irsic, H. Xiao, M. McQui J. Jeong et al. C.J. Kennedy et al.	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +) (MPIM) (QUAX Collab.) (ADMX SLIC Collab.) (COLO) (COLO) Schmidt-Hoberg (DESY) Safdi (MICH) (MICH, ILL, TOKY+) (FNAL, EFI, CHIC, ANL+)
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI CARENZA CRESCINI CRISOSTO DARLING DARLING DENT DEPTA DESSERT ESTEBAN FOSTER GAO GAVELA GHOSH IRSIC JEONG	20 20 20A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20A 20A	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007 PL B809 135709 PRL 124 171801 PRL 125 121103 APJ 900 L28 PRL 125 121103 APJ 900 L28 PRL 125 131805 JCAP 2005 009 PRL 125 261102 EPJ C80 259 PRL 125 171301 PRL 125 171301 PRL 125 171301 PRL 125 131806 PR D101 123518 PRL 125 221302	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt P. Carenza et al. N. Crescini et al. N. Crisosto et al. J. Darling J. Darling J. B. Dent et al. P.F. Depta, M. Hufnagel, K. C. Dessert, J.W. Foster, B.R. I. Esteban et al. J.W. Foster et al. C. Gao et al. M.B. Gavela et al. D. Ghosh, D. Sachdeva V. Irsic, H. Xiao, M. McQui J. Jeong et al.	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +) (MPIM) (QUAX Collab.) (ADMX SLIC Collab.) (COLO) (COLO) (COLO) Schmidt-Hoberg (DESY) Safdi (MICH) (MICH, ILL, TOKY+) (FNAL, EFI, CHIC, ANL+) nn (COLO, STAN) K, V.M. Mostepanenko
BANERJEE BANERJEE BARAK BRAINE BUEHLER CALORE CAPOZZI CARENZA CRESCINI CRISOSTO DARLING DARLING DENT DEPTA DESSERT ESTEBAN FOSTER GAO GAVELA GHOSH IRSIC JEONG KENNEDY KLIMCHITSK	20 20 20A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20A 20A	EPJ C80 858 PR D101 071101 PRL 125 081801 PRL 125 171802 PRL 124 101303 JCAP 2009 027 PR D102 123005 PR D102 083007 PL B809 135709 PRL 124 171801 PRL 124 241101 PRL 125 121103 APJ 900 L28 PRL 125 131805 JCAP 2005 009 PRL 125 261102 EPJ C80 259 PRL 125 171301 PRL 125 131806 PRL 125 131806 PRL 124 051802 JCAP 2010 060 PR D101 123518 PRL 125 221302 PRL 125 201302 PR D101 056013	A.M. Baldini et al. D. Banerjee et al. D. Banerjee et al. L. Barak et al. T. Braine et al. R. Buehler et al. F. Calore et al. F. Capozzi, G. Raffelt P. Carenza et al. N. Crescini et al. N. Crisosto et al. J. Darling J. Darling J. Darling J. B. Dent et al. P.F. Depta, M. Hufnagel, K. C. Dessert, J.W. Foster, B.R. I. Esteban et al. J.W. Foster et al. C. Gao et al. M.B. Gavela et al. D. Ghosh, D. Sachdeva V. Irsic, H. Xiao, M. McQui J. Jeong et al. C.J. Kennedy et al. G.L. Klimchitskaya, P. Kuusk	(EDELWEISS Collab.) (MEG Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (NA64 Collab.) (SENSEI Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (DESY, MADU) (LAPP, BARI, HEID, +) (MPIM) (QUAX Collab.) (ADMX SLIC Collab.) (COLO) (COLO) (COLO) Schmidt-Hoberg (DESY) Safdi (MICH) (MICH, ILL, TOKY+) (FNAL, EFI, CHIC, ANL+) nn (COLO, STAN) K, V.M. Mostepanenko

LEE	20A	PRL 124 101802		S .Lee et al.	(CULTASK Collab.)
LUCENTE MEYER	20A 20	JCAP 2012 008 PRL 124 231101		G. Lucente <i>et al.</i> M. Meyer, T. Petrushevska	(Fermi-LAT Collab.)
Also			errat.)	M. Meyer, T. Petrushevska	(Fermi-LAT Collab.)
PODDAR SCHUTZ	20 20	PR D101 083007		T.K. Poddar, S. Mohanty, S. K. Schutz	
SHE	20	PR D101 123026 PRL 124 111301		Z. She <i>et al.</i>	(MIT) (CDEX Collab.)
SIRUNYAN	-	PRL 124 131802		A.M. Sirunyan et al.	`(CMS Collab.)
STRANIERO SUN	20 20	AA 644 A166 PR D101 063020		O. Straniero <i>et al.</i> L. Sun, R. Brito, M. Isi	(SASSO, BGNA, GRAN) (CIT, ROMAI, MIT)
TOMITA	20	JCAP 2009 012		N. Tomita et al.	(CIT, NOMAI, MIT)
WANG	20A	PR D101 052003		Y. Wang et al.	(CDEX Collab.)
YAMAMOTO AABOUD	20 19G	JCAP 2002 011 PR D99 012001		R. Yamamoto <i>et al.</i> M. Aaboud <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19A	PR D99 012006		M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
Also	1011	PR D104 099901 (errat.)		(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM ADELBERGER	19H 19	PR D99 012013 PRL 123 169001		M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i> E.G. Adelberger, W.A. Terra	(BESIII Collab.) ano (WASH, PRIN)
ADHIKARI	19B	ASP 114 101		P. Adhikari <i>et al.</i>	(COSINE-100 Collab.)
AGUILAR-AR		PRL 123 181802		A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al.	(DAMIC Collab.)
AHN ALESINI	19 19	PRL 122 021802 PR D99 101101		J.K. Ahn <i>et al.</i> D. Alesini <i>et al.</i>	(KOTO Collab.) (QUAX Collab.)
ALONI	19	PRL 123 071801		D. Aloni et al.	(REHO, MIT, CERN, HAIF)
APRILE	19	PRL 122 071301		E. Aprile <i>et al.</i>	(XENON1T Collab.)
APRILE ARNOLD	19D 19	PRL 123 251801 EPJ C79 440		E. Aprile <i>et al.</i> R. Arnold <i>et al.</i>	(XENON1T Collab.) (NEMO-3 Collab.)
BANERJEE	19	PRL 123 121801		D. Banerjee <i>et al.</i>	(NA64 Collab.)
BHOONAH	19	PR D100 023001		A. Bhoonah et al.	(1 11 1,
BRUN	19	PRL 122 201801		P. Brun, L. Chevalier, C. Fl	ouzat (SACL)
CAPUTO CORTINA-GIL	19 19	PR D100 063515 JHEP 1905 182		A. Caputo <i>et al.</i> E. Cortina Gil <i>et al.</i>	(NA62 Collab.)
DANILOV	19	PRL 122 041801		M. Danilov, S. Demidov, D.	. ` '
DAVOUDIASL	19	PRL 123 021102		H. Davoudiasl, P.B. Denton	(BNL)
DESSERT FEDDERKE	19 19	PRL 123 061104 PR D100 015040		C. Dessert, A.J. Long, B.R.	
FUJITA	19	PRL 122 191101		M.A. Fedderke, P.W. Grahar T. Fuiita, R. Tazaki, K. Tor	ma (KYOT, GEVA, TOHO)
HOCHBERG	19	PRL 123 151802		Y. Hochberg et al.	(HEBR, MIT, NIST)
IVANOV	19	JCAP 1902 059		M.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	Daturka (INDM)
KOPYLOV KOVETZ	19 19	JCAP 1907 008 PR D99 123511		A. Kopylov, I. Orekhov, V. E.D. Kovetz, I. Cholis, D.E.	`
LEINSON	19	JCAP 1911 031		L.B. Leinson	(5110)
LIANG	19	JCAP 1906 042		Y-F. Liang et al.	
LLOYD MARSH	19 19	PR D100 063005 PRL 123 051103		S.J. Lloyd <i>et al.</i> D.J.E. Marsh, J.C. Niemeye	r (GOET)
NGUYEN	19	JCAP 1910 014			D. Horns (WISPDMX Collab.)
OUELLET	19A	PRL 122 121802		J.L. Ouellet et al.	(ABRÀCADABRA Collab.)
PALOMBA SIRUNYAN	19 10₽∩	PRL 123 171101 PL B796 131		C. Palomba <i>et al.</i> A.M. Sirunyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
SMORRA	19DQ	NAT 575 310		C. Smorra et al.	(CIVIS CONAD.)
TERRANO	19	PRL 122 231301		W. Terrano et al.	(WASH)
WU	19	PRL 122 191302		T. Wu et al.	(CASPEr-ZULF Collab.)
WU ABE	19C 18F	PRL 123 169002 PL B787 153		T. Wu et al. K. Abe et al.	(CASPEr-ZULF Collab.) (XMASS Collab.)
ADRIAN	18	PR D98 091101		P.H. Adrian et al.	(HPS Collab.)
AKHMATOV	18	PPN 49 599		Z.A. Akhmatov et al.	
ANASTASI ARMENGAUD	18B 18	PL B784 336 PR D98 082004		A. Anastasi <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
ARNOLD	18	EPJ C78 821		E. Armengaud <i>et al.</i> R. Arnold <i>et al.</i>	(EDELWEISS-III Collab.) (NEMO-3 Collab.)
BANERJEE	18	PRL 120 231802		D. Banerjee et al.	(NA64 Collab.)
BANERJEE	18A	PR D97 072002		D. Banerjee <i>et al.</i> M.V. Beznogov <i>et al.</i>	(NA64 Collab.)
BEZNOGOV BOUTAN	18 18	PR C98 035802 PRL 121 261302		C. Boutan et al.	(ADMX Collab.)
CHANG	18	JHEP 1809 051		J.C. Chang, R. Essig, S.D.	McDermott `
CRESCINI	18	EPJ C78 703		N. Crescini et al.	(QUAX Collab.)
DU DZUBA	18 18	PRL 120 151301 PR D98 035048		N. Du <i>et al.</i> V.A. Dzuba <i>et al.</i>	(ADMX Collab.)
FICEK	18	PRL 120 183002		F. Ficek et al.	
FORTIN	18	JHEP 1806 048		JF. Fortin, K. Sinha	(LAVL, OKLA)
GAVRILYUK HAMAGUCHI	18 18	JETPL 107 589 PR D98 103015		Yu.M. Gavrilyuk <i>et al.</i> K. Hamaguchi <i>et al.</i>	
JAECKEL	18	PR D98 055032		J. Jaeckel, P. C. Malta, J.	Redondo

LANDON	10	ICAD 1011 001	C 1/ 1 /	
KNIRCK	18	JCAP 1811 031	S. Knirck et al.	(555
PORAYKO	18	PR D98 102002	N.K. Porayako et al.	(PPTA Collab.)
STADNIK	18	PRL 120 013202	Y.V. Stadnik, V.A. Dzuba,	
YAMAJI	18	PL B782 523	T. Yamaji <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY, RIKEN, KEK)
ZHANG	18	PR D97 063009	C. Zhang <i>et al.</i>	
ZHONG	18	PR D97 092001	L. Zhong <i>et al.</i>	(HAYSTAC Collab.)
AAIJ	17AQ	PR D95 071101	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABEL	17	PR X7 041034	C. Abel <i>et al.</i>	(nEDM Collab.)
ABGRALL	17	PRL 118 161801	N. Abgrall et al.	(MAJORANA Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17AA	PL B774 252	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ADE	17	PR D96 102003	P.A.R. Ade et al.	(BICEP2/Keck Array Collab.)
AHN	17	PTEP 2017 021C01	J.K. Ahn et al.	(KOTO Collab.)
AKERIB	17B	PRL 118 261301	D.S. Akerib et al.	` (LUX Collab.)
ANASTASSO		NATP 13 584	V. Anastassopoulos <i>et al.</i>	(CAST Collab.)
ANGLOHER	17	EPJ C77 299	G. Angloher <i>et al.</i>	(CRESST-II Collab.)
APRILE	17B	PR D95 029904	E. Aprile <i>et al.</i>	(XENON100 Collab.)
BANERJEE	17	PRL 118 011802	D. Banerjee et al.	(NA64 Collab.)
BATLEY	17	PL B769 67	J.R. Batley et al.	(NA48/2 Collab.)
BRANCA	17	PRL 118 021302	A. Branca et al.	(AURIGA Collab.)
BRUBAKER	17	PRL 118 061302	B.M. Brubaker <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, UCB, NIST+)
CHANG	17	JHEP 1701 107	J.H. Chang, R. Essig, S.D.	
CHOI	17	PR D96 061102		
	17		J. Choi <i>et al.</i>	(CAPP-ACTION Collab.)
CRESCINI		PL B773 677	N. Crescini <i>et al.</i>	(QUAX-gpgs Collab.)
DAIDO	17	PL B772 127	R. Daido, F. Takahashi	
DOLAN	17	JHEP 1712 094	M.J. Dolan <i>et al.</i>	(MELD DDGO DEGV)
Also		JHEP 2103 190 (errat.)	M.J. Dolan et al.	(MELB, BRCO, DESY)
DUBININA	17	PAN 80 461	V.V. Dubinina <i>et al.</i>	
FICEK	17	PR A95 032505	F. Ficek <i>et al.</i>	,
FU	17A	PRL 119 181806	C. Fu <i>et al.</i>	(PandaX-II Collab.)
INADA	17	PRL 118 071803	T. Inada <i>et al.</i>	
KLIMCHITSK	17A	PR D95 123013	G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. N	Mostepanenko
KOHRI	17	PR D96 051701	K. Kohri, H. Kodama	(KEK, KYOT)
LEES	17E	PRL 119 131804	J.P. Lees et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
LIU	17	PL B766 117	XH. Liu	(TINT)
LIU	17A	PR D95 052006	S.K. Liu et al.	(CDEX Collab.)
LUO	17	PR D96 055028	P. Luo et al.	,
MARSH	17	JCAP 1712 036	M.C.D. Marsh et al.	
MCALLISTER	17	PDU 18 67	B.T. McAllister et al.	(WAUS)
TIWARI	17	PR D95 023005	P. Tiwari	(Technion)
AAD		JHEP 1602 062	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16E	PR D93 052005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AJELLO	16	PRL 116 161101	M. Ajello <i>et al.</i>	(Fermi-LAT Collab.)
ANASTASI	16	PL B757 356	A. Anastasi <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
BATTICH	16	JCAP 1608 062	T. Battich et al.	(NEOL 2 Condb.)
BERENJI	16	PR D93 045019	B. Berenji <i>et al.</i>	
CORSICO	16	JCAP 1607 036	A.H. Corsico <i>et al.</i>	
DELLA-VALLE		EPJ C76 24	F. Della Valle <i>et al.</i>	(DVI AS Collab.)
HOSKINS	16	PR D94 082001	J. Hoskins <i>et al.</i>	(PVLAS Collab.) (ADMX Collab.)
JAECKEL	16	PL B753 482	J. Jaeckel, M. Spannowsky	,
KHACHATRY		PL B752 146	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
KRASZNAHO		PRL 116 042501	A.J. Krasznahorkay et al.	(HINR, ANIK+)
LEEFER	16	PRL 117 271601		(MAINZ, BONN, LBL, UCB+)
WON	16	PR D94 092006	E. Won et al.	(BELLE Collab.)
YOON	16	JHEP 1606 011	Y.S. Yoon et al.	(KIMS Collab.)
AAD		PR D92 092001	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAIJ		PRL 115 161802	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ADARE	15	PR C91 031901	A. Adare et al.	(PHENIX Collab.)
AFACH	15	PL B745 58	S. Afach <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, PSI, CAEN, +)
AGOSTINI	15A	EPJ C75 416	M. Agostini <i>et al.</i>	(GERDA Collab.)
AN	15A	PL B747 331	H. An <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, VICT, VIEN)
ANASTASI	15	PL B747 365	A. Anastasi <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
ANASTASI	15A	PL B750 633	A. Anastasi <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
ANASTASSO	15	PL B749 172	V. Anastassopoulos et al.	(CAST Collab.)
ARIK	15	PR D92 021101	M. Arik et al.	(CAST Collab.)
ARNOLD	15	PR D92 072011	R. Arnold et al.	(NÈMO-3 Collab.)
BALLOU	15	PR D92 092002	R. Ballou et al.	(OSQAR Collab.)
BATLEY	15A	PL B746 178	J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i>	(NA48/2 Collab.)
BAYES	15	PR D91 052020	R. Bayes et al.	`(TWIŚT Collab.)
BRAX	15	PR D92 083501	P. Brax, P. Brun, D. Wou	` /
GAVRILYUK	15	JETPL 101 664	Yu.M. Gavrilyuk et al.	,
		Translated from ZETFP		

HASEBE	15	PTEP 2015 073C01	T. Hasebe <i>et al.</i>	
JAEGLE	15	PRL 114 211801	I. Jaegle <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
KAZANAS	15	NP B890 17	D. Kazanas <i>et al.</i>	(,
KLIMCHITSK	-	EPJ C75 164	G.L. Klimchitskaya, V.M. Most	
MILLEA	15	PR D92 023010 EPJ C75 110	M. Millea, L. Knox, B. Fields	(UCD, ILL)
STADNIK SUZUKI	15 15	JCAP 1509 042	Y.V. Stadnik, V.V. Flambaum J. Suzuki <i>et al.</i>	(SYDN)
TERRANO	15	PRL 115 201801	W.A. Terrano <i>et al.</i>	(WASH)
VANTILBURG	15	PRL 115 011802	K. Van Tilburg <i>et al.</i>	,
VINYOLES	15_	JCAP 1510 015	N. Vinyoles <i>et al.</i>	
ABE AGAKISHIEV	14F	PRL 113 121301	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(XMASS Collab.)
ALBERT	14 14A	PL B731 265 PR D90 092004	G. Agakishiev <i>et al.</i> J.B. Albert <i>et al.</i>	(HADES Collab.) (EXO-200 Collab.)
APRILE	14B	PR D90 062009	E. Aprile <i>et al.</i>	(XENON100 Collab.)
ARIK	14	PRL 112 091302	M. Arik et al.	(CAST Collab.)
AYALA	14	PRL 113 191302	A. Ayala <i>et al.</i>	
BABUSCI	14	PL B736 459	D. Babusci <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
BATELL	14 14	PRL 113 171802	B. Batell, R. Essig, Z. Surujon	(EFI, STON)
BEZERRA BEZERRA	14 14A	PR D89 035010 EPJ C74 2859	V.B. Bezerra <i>et al.</i> V.B. Bezerra <i>et al.</i>	
BEZERRA	14B	PR D90 055013	V.B. Bezerra <i>et al.</i>	
BEZERRA	14C	PR D89 075002	V.B. Bezerra <i>et al.</i>	
BLUEMLEIN	14	PL B731 320	J. Bluemlein, J. Brunner	(CPPM, DESY)
BLUM	14	PL B737 30	K. Blum <i>et al.</i>	(IAS, PRIN)
DELLA-VALLE DERBIN	14 14	PR D90 092003 EPJ C74 3035	F. Della Valle <i>et al.</i> A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	(PVLAS Collab.)
EJLLI	14	PR D90 123527	D. Ejlli	
FRADETTE	14	PR D90 035022	A. Fradette <i>et al.</i>	
LEES	14J	PRL 113 201801	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEINSON	14	JCAP 1408 031	L. Leinson	(44 44444)
MERKEL	14	PRL 112 221802 JCAP 1410 069	H. Merkel et al.	(A1 at MAMI)
MILLER-BER PUGNAT	14	EPJ C74 3027	M.M. Miller Bertolami <i>et al.</i> P. Pugnat <i>et al.</i>	(OSQAR Collab.)
REESMAN	14	JCAP 1408 021	R. Reesman <i>et al.</i>	(OSU)
ABE	13D	PL B724 46	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(XMASS Collab.)
ABRAMOWSKI		PR D88 102003	A. Abramowski <i>et al.</i>	(H.E.S.S. Collab.)
ADLARSON	13	PL B726 187	P. Adlarson <i>et al.</i>	(WASA-at-COSY Collab.)
ALESSANDRIA AN	13 13B	JCAP 1305 007 PL B725 190	F. Alessandria <i>et al.</i> H. An, M. Pospelov, J. Pradle	(CUORE Collab.)
AN	13C	PRL 111 041302	H. An, M. Pospelov, J. Pradle	
ARCHIDIACO		JCAP 1310 020	M. Archidiacono <i>et al.</i>	•
ARMENGAUD		JCAP 1311 067	E. Armengaud et al.	(EDELWEISS-II Collab.)
BABUSCI	13B	PL B720 111	D. Babusci <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
BARTH BECK	13 13	JCAP 1305 010 PRL 111 231801	K. Barth <i>et al.</i> C. Beck	(CAST Collab.)
BETZ	13	PR D88 075014	M. Betz <i>et al.</i>	(CROWS Collab.)
BULATOWICZ	-	PRL 111 102001	M. Bulatowicz <i>et al.</i>	(CNOVV3 Collab.)
CHU	13	PR D87 011105	PH. Chu et al.	(DUKE, IND, SJTU)
DERBIN	13	EPJ C73 2490	A. V. Derbin et al.	
	13	PRL 111 221803	M.D. Diamond, P. Schuster	NAC.
FRIEDLAND GNINENKO	13 13	PRL 110 061101 PR D87 035030	A. Friedland, M. Giannotti, M. S.N. Gninenko	vvise (INRM)
HECKEL	13	PRL 111 151802	B. R. Heckel <i>et al.</i>	(IIVIXIVI)
HORVAT	13	PL B721 220	R. Horvat <i>et al.</i>	
INADA	13	PL B722 301	T. Inada <i>et al.</i>	
LATTANZI	13	PR D88 063528	M. Lattanzi <i>et al.</i>	
MEYER MIZUMOTO	13 13	PR D87 035027 JCAP 1307 013	M. Meyer, D. Horns, M. Raue T. Mizumoto <i>et al.</i>	
PARKER	13	PR D88 112004	S. Parker <i>et al.</i>	
REDONDO	13	JCAP 1308 034	J. Redondo, G. Raffelt	
TULLNEY	13	PRL 111 100801	K. Tullney <i>et al.</i>	
VIAUX	13A	PRL 111 231301	N. Viaux et al.	(CACL)
WOUTERS ABLIKIM	13 12	APJ 772 44 PR D85 092012	D. Wouters, P. Brun M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) (BESIII Collab.)
ARCHILLI	12	PL B706 251	F. Archilli <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
BELLI	12	PL B711 41	P. Belli <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA-KIEV)
BELLINI	12B	PR D85 092003	G. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino Collab.)
CADAMURO	12	JCAP 1202 032	D. Cadamuro et al.	(MPIM)
CORSICO DERBIN	12 12	JCAP 1212 010 JETPL 95 339	A.H. Corsico <i>et al.</i> A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	(LAPL, RGSUL, WASH+)
DEI/DIN	14	Translated from ZETFP		(PNPI)

GANDO GNINENKO GNINENKO PAYEZ RAFFELT AALSETH ABRAHAMY ARIK ARNOLD BLUEMLEIN CADAMURO DERBIN DERBIN HOEDL HOSKINS ANDRIAMON	12 12A 12B 12 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	PR C86 021601 PR D85 055027 PL B713 244 JCAP 1207 041 PR D86 015001 PRL 106 131301 PRL 107 191804 PRL 107 261302 PRL 107 062504 PL B701 155 JCAP 1102 003 PAN 74 596 Translated from YAF 74 PR D83 023505 PRL 106 041801 PR D84 121302	S.N. Gninenko S.N. Gninenko A. Payez et al. G. Raffelt C.E. Aalseth et al. S. Abrahamyan et al. M. Arik et al. R. Arnold et al. J. Bluemlein, J. Brunner D. Cadamuro et al. A.V. Derbin et al. 620. A.V. Derbin et al. S.A. Hoedl et al. J. Hoskins et al.	amLAND-Zen Collab.) (INRM) (INRM) (LIEG) (MPIM) (CoGeNT Collab.) (NEMO-3 Collab.) (DESY) (MPIM, AARHUS) (PNPI) (PNPI) (WASH)
ARGYRIADES ASZTALOS EHRET HANNESTAD PETUKHOV SEREBROV	10 10 10 10 10 10 10	JCAP 1003 032 NP A847 168 PRL 104 041301 PL B689 149 JCAP 1008 001 PRL 105 170401 JETPL 91 6 Translated from ZETFP 9	S. Andriamonje et al. J. Argyriades et al. S.J. Asztalos et al. K. Ehret et al. S. Hannestad et al. A.K. Petukhov et al. A.P. Serebrov et al. 91 8.	(CAST Collab.) (NEMO-3 Collab.) (ADMX Collab.) (ALPS Collab.)
AHMED ANDRIAMON ARGYRIADES ARIK	09A 09 09 09	PRL 103 141802 JCAP 0912 002 PR C80 032501 JCAP 0902 008	Z. Ahmed et al.S. Andriamonje et al.J. Argyriades et al.E. Arik et al.	(CDMS Collab.) (NEMO-3 Collab.) (CAST Collab.)
BJORKEN CHOU DAVOUDIASL DERBIN	09 09 09 09A	PR D80 075018 PRL 102 030402 PR D79 095024 PL B678 181	J. Bjorken <i>et al.</i> A.S. Chou <i>et al.</i> H. Davoudiasl, P. Huber A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	(GammeV Collab.)
GONDOLO IGNATOVICH KEKEZ	09 09 09	PR D79 107301 EPJ C64 19 PL B671 345	P. Gondolo, G. Raffelt V.K. Ignatovich, Y.N. Pokotilovski D. Kekez <i>et al.</i>	(UTAH, MPIM) (JINR)
SEREBROV AFANASEV BELLINI CHOU	09 08 08 08	PL B680 423 PRL 101 120401 EPJ C54 61 PRL 100 080402	A.P. Serebrov A. Afanasev <i>et al.</i> G. Bellini <i>et al.</i> A.S. Chou <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI) (Borexino Collab.) (GammeV Collab.)
FOUCHE HANNESTAD INOUE ZAVATTINI	08 08 08 08	PR D78 032013 JCAP 0804 019 PL B668 93 PR D77 032006	M. Fouche <i>et al.</i> S. Hannestad <i>et al.</i> Y. Inoue <i>et al.</i> E. Zavattini <i>et al.</i>	(PVLAS Collab.)
ADELBERGER ANDRIAMON	07	PRL 98 131104 JCAP 0704 010	E.G. Adelberger <i>et al.</i> S. Andriamonje <i>et al.</i>	(CAST Collab.)
BAESSLER CHANG HANNESTAD	07 07 07	PR D75 075006 PR D75 052004 JCAP 0708 015	S. Baessler et al. H.M. Chang et al. S. Hannestad et al.	(TEXONO Collab.)
JAIN LESSA MELCHIORRI ROBILLIARD	07 07 07A 07	JP G34 129 PR D75 094001 PR D76 041303 PRL 99 190403	P.L. Jain, G. Singh A.P. Lessa, O.L.G. Peres A. Melchiorri, O. Mena, A. Slosar C. Robilliard <i>et al.</i>	
ARNOLD DUFFY HECKEL	06 06 06	NP A765 483 PR D74 012006 PRL 97 021603	R. Arnold et al. L.D. Duffy et al. B.R. Heckel et al.	(NEMO-3 Collab.)
ZAVATTINI HANNESTAD ZIOUTAS	06 05A 05	PRL 96 110406 JCAP 0507 002 PRL 94 121301	E. Zavattini <i>et al.</i> S. Hannestad, A. Mirizzi, G. Raffelt K. Zioutas <i>et al.</i>	(PVLAS Collab.) (CAST Collab.)
ADLER ANISIMOVSK ARNOLD	04	PR D70 037102 PRL 93 031801 JETPL 80 377 Translated from ZETFP 3	S. Adler <i>et al.</i> V.V. Anisimovsky <i>et al.</i> R. Arnold <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.) (BNL E949 Collab.) (NEMO-3 Collab.)
ASZTALOS HOFFMANN ARNABOLDI CIVITARESE	04 04 03 03	PR D69 011101 PR B70 180503 PL B557 167 NP A729 867	S.J. Asztalos <i>et al.</i> C. Hoffmann <i>et al.</i> C. Arnaboldi <i>et al.</i> O. Civitarese, J. Suhonen	
DANEVICH FARZAN ADLER BADERT	03 03 02C 02	PR C68 035501 PR D67 073015 PL B537 211 PL B542 29	F.A. Danevich <i>et al.</i> Y. Farzan S. Adler <i>et al.</i> A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, SISSA) (BNL E787 Collab.)
BERNABEI	02D	PL B546 23	R. Bernabei <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab.)

DEDDIN	00	DAN 65 1000	AN/ D 1: /	
DERBIN	02	PAN 65 1302 Translated from YAF 65	A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	
FUSHIMI	02	PL B531 190	K. Fushimi <i>et al.</i>	(ELEGANT V Collab.)
INOUE	02	PL B536 18	Y. Inoue <i>et al.</i>	(EEEG/IIII V Condo.)
MORALES	02B	ASP 16 325	A. Morales et al.	(COSME Collab.)
ADLER	01	PR D63 032004	S. Adler et al.	(BNL E787 Collab.)
AMMAR	01B	PRL 87 271801	R. Ammar et al.	` (CLEO Collab.)
ASHITKOV	01	JETPL 74 529	V.D. Ashitkov et al.	
DEDMAREI	01.0	Translated from ZETFP		(DAMA C)
BERNABEI	01B	PL B515 6	R. Bernabei <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab.)
DANEVICH	01	NP A694 375	F.A. Danevich <i>et al.</i>	
DEBOER STOICA	01 01	JP G27 L29 NP A694 269	F.W.N. de Boer <i>et al.</i> S. Stoica, H.V. Klapdor-K	Claingrathous
ALESSAND	00	PL B486 13	A. Alessandrello <i>et al.</i>	Kieliigi Otilous
ARNOLD	00	NP A678 341	R. Arnold <i>et al.</i>	
ASTIER	00B	PL B479 371	P. Astier <i>et al.</i>	(NOMAD Collab.)
DANEVICH	00	PR C62 045501	F.A. Danevich <i>et al.</i>	(NOWIND Collab.)
MASSO	00	PR D61 011701	E. Masso	
ARNOLD	99	NP A658 299	R. Arnold <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO Collab.)
NI	99	PRL 82 2439	WT. Ni et al.	(,
SIMKOVIC	99	PR C60 055502	F. Simkovic et al.	
ALTEGOER	98	PL B428 197	J. Altegoer <i>et al.</i>	
ARNOLD	98	NP A636 209	R. Arnold et al.	(NEMO-2 Collab.)
AVIGNONE	98	PRL 81 5068	F.T. Avignone et al.	(Solar Axion Experiment)
DIAZ	98	NP B527 44	M.A. Diaz et al.	
KIM	98	PR D58 055006	J.E. Kim	
LUESCHER	98	PL B434 407	R. Luescher et al.	
MORIYAMA	98	PL B434 147	S. Moriyama <i>et al.</i>	
MOROI	98	PL B440 69	T. Moroi, H. Murayama	
POSPELOV	98	PR D58 097703	M. Pospelov	
AHMAD	97	PRL 78 618	I. Ahmad et al.	(APEX Collab.)
BORISOV	97	JETP 83 868	A.V. Borisov, V.Y. Grishir	nia (MOSU)
DEBOER	97C	JP G23 L85	F.W.N. de Boer <i>et al.</i>	(000)
KACHELRIESS		PR D56 1313	M. Kachelriess, C. Wilke,	G. Wunner (BOCH)
KEIL	97 07	PR D56 2419	W. Keil <i>et al.</i>	(DNI E707 Collab.)
KITCHING	97 97	PRL 79 4079	P. Kitching <i>et al.</i> U. Leinberger <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.)
LEINBERGER ADLER	96	PL B394 16 PRL 76 1421	S. Adler <i>et al.</i>	(ORANGE Collab.)
AMSLER	96B	ZPHY C70 219	C. Amsler et al.	(BNL E787 Collab.) (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
GANZ	96 96	PL B389 4	R. Ganz et al.	(GSI, HEID, FRAN, JAGL+)
GUENTHER	96	PR D54 3641	M. Gunther <i>et al.</i>	(MPIK, SASSO)
KAMEL	96	PL B368 291	S. Kamel	(SHAMS)
MITSUI	96	EPL 33 111	T. Mitsui <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY)
YOUDIN	96	PRL 77 2170	A.N. Youdin et al.	(AMHT, WASH)
ALTMANN	95	ZPHY C68 221	M. Altmann et al.	(TUM, LAPP, CPPM)
BASSOMPIE	95	PL B355 584	G. Bassompierre et al.	(LAPP, LCGT, LYON)
MAENO	95	PL B351 574	T. Maeno et al.	(TOKY)
RAFFELT	95	PR D51 1495	G. Raffelt, A. Weiss	(MPIM, MPIG)
SKALSEY	95	PR D51 6292	M. Skalsey, R.S. Conti	(MICH)
TSUNODA	95	EPL 30 273	T. Tsunoda <i>et al.</i>	(ŤOKY)
ADACHI	94	PR A49 3201	S. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(TMU)
ALTHERR	94	ASP 2 175	T. Altherr, E. Petitgirard,	
AMSLER	94B	PL B333 271	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ASAI	94	PL B323 90	S. Asai <i>et al.</i>	(IOKY)
MEIJERDREES		PR D49 4937	M.R. Drees <i>et al.</i>	(BRCO, OREG, TRIU)
NI	94	Physica B194 153	W.T. Ni et al.	(NTHU)
VO ATIYA	94 93	PR C49 1551 PRL 70 2521	D.T. Vo et al.	(ISU, LBL, LLNL, UCD)
Allso	93	PRL 70 2321 PRL 71 305 (errat.)	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i> M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.) (BNL E787 Collab.)
ATIYA	93B	PR D48 1	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.)
BASSOMPIE		EPL 22 239	G. Bassompierre <i>et al.</i>	(LAPP, TORI, LYON)
BECK	93	PRL 70 2853	M. Beck et al.	(MPIK, KIAE, SASSO)
CAMERON	93	PR D47 3707	R.E. Cameron et al.	(ROCH, BNL, FNAL+)
CHANG	93	PL B316 51	S. Chang, K. Choi	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
CHUI	93	PRL 71 3247	T.C.P. Chui, W.T. Ni	(NTHU)
MINOWA	93	PRL 71 4120	M. Minowa et al.	(TOKY)
NG	93	PR D48 2941	K.W. Ng	`(AST)
RITTER	93	PRL 70 701	R.C. Ritter et al.	
TANAKA	93	PR D48 5412	J. Tanaka, H. Ejiri	(OSAK)
ALLIEGRO	92	PRL 68 278	C. Alliegro <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, FNAL, PSI+)
ATIYA	92	PRL 69 733	M.S. Atiya et al.	(BNL, LANL, PRIN+)

BARABASH				
	92	PL B295 154	L.S. Barabash et al.	(JINR, CERN, SERP+)
	-			
BERNATOW	92	PRL 69 2341	T. Bernatowicz et al.	(WUSL, TATA)
BLUEMLEIN	92	IJMP A7 3835	J. Bluemlein <i>et al.</i>	(BERL, BUDA, JINR+)
HALLIN	92	PR D45 3955	A.L. Hallin et al.	`
				(PRIN)
HENDERSON	92C	PRL 69 1733	S.D. Henderson <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, BNL)
HICKS	92	PL B276 423	K.H. Hicks, D.E. Alburger	(OHIO, BNL)
LAZARUS	92			,
	-	PRL 69 2333	D.M. Lazarus <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, ROCH, FNAL)
MEIJERDREES	92	PRL 68 3845	R. Meijer Drees <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM I Collab.)
PAN	92	MPL A7 1287	S.S. Pan, W.T. Ni, S.C. O	Chen NTHU)
RUOSO	92	ZPHY C56 505	G. Ruoso <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, BNL, FNAL, TRST)
SKALSEY	92	PRL 68 456	M. Skalsey, J.J. Kolata	(MICH, NDAM)
VENEMA	92	PRL 68 135	B.J. Venema <i>et al.</i>	, , ,
				(11.1.)
WANG	92	MPL A7 1497	J. Wang	(ILL)
WANG	92C	PL B291 97	J. Wang	(ILL)
WU	92		<u> </u>	
	-	PRL 69 1729	X.Y. Wu et al.	(BNL, YALE, CUNY)
AKOPYAN	91	PL B272 443	M.V. Akopyan <i>et al.</i>	(INRM)
ASAI	91	PRL 66 2440	S. Asai <i>et al.</i>	(ÌCEPP)
	-			
BERSHADY	91	PRL 66 1398	M.A. Bershady, M.T. Ress	sell, M.S. Turner (CHIC+)
BLUEMLEIN	91	ZPHY C51 341	J. Bluemlein <i>et al.</i>	(BERL, BUDA, JINR+)
BOBRAKOV	91	JETPL 53 294	V.F. Bobrakov et al.	(PNPI)
DODINANOV	91			(1 141 1)
		Translated from ZETFP !		
BROSS	91	PRL 67 2942	A.D. Bross <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, ILL)
KIM	91C	PRL 67 3465	J.E. Kim	` (SEOUL)
				` ,
RAFFELT	91	PRPL 198 1	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)
RAFFELT	91B	PRL 67 2605	G. Raffelt, D. Seckel	(MPIM, BART)
RESSELL	91	PR D44 3001	M.T. Ressell	`(CHIC, FNAL)
				` '. '.
TRZASKA	91	PL B269 54	W.H. Trzaska <i>et al.</i>	(TAMU)
TSERTOS	91	PL B266 259	H. Tsertos <i>et al.</i>	(ILLG, GSI)
WALKER	91	APJ 376 51	T.P. Walker et al.	
				(HSCA, OSU, CHIC+)
WIDMANN	91	ZPHY A340 209	E. Widmann <i>et al.</i>	(STUT, GSI, STUTM)
WINELAND	91	PRL 67 1735	D.J. Wineland et al.	(NBSB)
ALBRECHT	90E	PL B246 278	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ANTREASYAN	90C	PL B251 204	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ASANUMA	90	PL B237 588	T. Asanuma et al.	(TOKY)
ATIYA	90	PRL 64 21	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.)
ATIYA	90B	PRL 65 1188	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.)
BAUER	90	NIM B50 300	W. Bauer et al.	(STUT, VILL, GSI)
BURROWS	90	PR D42 3297	A. Burrows, M.T. Ressell,	M.S. Turner $(ARIZ+)$
DEBOER	90	JP G16 L1	F.W.N. de Boer, J. Lehma	ann, J. Steyaert (LOUV)
ENGEL	90	PRL 65 960	J. Engel, D. Seckel, A.C.	Hayes (BART, LANL)
	90	PL B237 287	S.N. Gninenko <i>et al.</i>	(INRM)
GNINENKO	90			
GNINENKO		PR D41 2024		(NIII LANI ENAL CASE+)
GNINENKO GUO	90	PR D41 2924	R. Guo et al.	(NIU, LANL, FNAL, CASE+)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN		PR D42 1297		(NIU, LANL, FNAL, CASE+) (FLOR)
GNINENKO GUO	90		R. Guo <i>et al.</i> C. Hagmann <i>et al.</i>	(FLOR)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE	90 90 90	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972	R. Guo <i>et al.</i> C. Hagmann <i>et al.</i> S.M. Judge <i>et al.</i>	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT	90 90 90 90D	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE	90 90 90	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER	90 90 90 90D 90	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS	90 90 90 90D 90 90	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI	90 90 90 90D 90 90	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS	90 90 90 90D 90 90	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER	90 90 90 90D 90 90 90	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH	90 90 90 90D 90 90 90 90 90	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI	90 90 90 90D 90 90 90 90 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH	90 90 90 90D 90 90 90 90 90	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS	90 90 90 90D 90 90 90 90 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner,	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also	90 90 90 90D 90 90 90 90 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER	90 90 90 90D 90 90 90 90 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van D	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also	90 90 90 90D 90 90 90 90 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON	90 90 90 90D 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89B	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E. T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER	90 90 90 90D 90 90 90 89 89 89 89B 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX	90 90 90 90D 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89B	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (FSU)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER	90 90 90 90D 90 90 90 89 89 89 89B 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX MAYLE	90 90 90 90D 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288 PL B219 515	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E. T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al. R. Mayle et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (FSU) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX MAYLE Also	90 90 90 90D 90 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288 PL B219 515 PL B203 188	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al. R. Mayle et al. R. Mayle et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (FSU) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX MAYLE Also MINOWA	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288 PL B219 515 PL B203 188 PRL 62 1091	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al. R. Mayle et al. R. Mayle et al. H. Minowa et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (FSU) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ICEPP)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX MAYLE Also MINOWA	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288 PL B219 515 PL B203 188 PRL 62 1091	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al. R. Mayle et al. R. Mayle et al. H. Minowa et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (FSU) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ICEPP)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX MAYLE Also MINOWA ORITO	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288 PL B219 515 PL B203 188 PRL 62 1091 PRL 63 597	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E. T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al. R. Mayle et al. R. Mayle et al. H. Minowa et al. S. Orito et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (FSU) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (ICEPP)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX MAYLE Also MINOWA ORITO PERKINS	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288 PL B219 515 PL B203 188 PRL 62 1091 PRL 63 597 PRL 63 597 PRL 62 2638	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E. T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al. R. Mayle et al. R. Mayle et al. H. Minowa et al. S. Orito et al. D.H. Perkins	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (ICEPP) (OXF)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX MAYLE Also MINOWA ORITO	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288 PL B219 515 PL B203 188 PRL 62 1091 PRL 63 597	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E. T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al. R. Mayle et al. R. Mayle et al. H. Minowa et al. S. Orito et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (FSU) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (ICEPP)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX MAYLE Also MINOWA ORITO PERKINS TSERTOS	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288 PL B219 515 PL B203 188 PRL 62 1091 PRL 63 597 PRL 62 2638 PR D40 1397	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al. R. Mayle et al. R. Mayle et al. H. Minowa et al. S. Orito et al. D.H. Perkins H. Tsertos et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (FSU) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (ICEPP) (OXF) (GSI, ILLG)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX MAYLE Also MINOWA ORITO PERKINS TSERTOS VANBIBBER	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288 PL B219 515 PL B203 188 PRL 62 1091 PRL 63 597 PRL 62 2638 PR D40 1397 PR D39 2089	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E. T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al. R. Mayle et al. R. Mayle et al. H. Minowa et al. S. Orito et al. D.H. Perkins H. Tsertos et al. K. van Bibber et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (FSU) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (ICEPP) (OXF) (GSI, ILLG) (LLL, TAMU, LBL)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX MAYLE Also MINOWA ORITO PERKINS TSERTOS VANBIBBER WUENSCH	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288 PL B219 515 PL B203 188 PRL 62 1091 PRL 63 597 PRL 63 2638 PR D40 1397 PR D39 2089 PR D40 3153	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van D. T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al. R. Mayle et al. H. Minowa et al. S. Orito et al. D.H. Perkins H. Tsertos et al. K. van Bibber et al. W.U. Wuensch et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (FSU) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (ICEPP) (ICEPP) (OXF) (GSI, ILLG) (LLL, TAMU, LBL) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX MAYLE Also MINOWA ORITO PERKINS TSERTOS VANBIBBER	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288 PL B219 515 PL B203 188 PRL 62 1091 PRL 63 597 PRL 62 2638 PR D40 1397 PR D39 2089	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E. T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al. R. Mayle et al. R. Mayle et al. H. Minowa et al. S. Orito et al. D.H. Perkins H. Tsertos et al. K. van Bibber et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (FSU) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (ICEPP) (OXF) (GSI, ILLG) (LLL, TAMU, LBL)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX MAYLE Also MINOWA ORITO PERKINS TSERTOS VANBIBBER WUENSCH Also	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288 PL B219 515 PL B203 188 PRL 62 1091 PRL 63 597 PRL 62 2638 PR D40 1397 PR D39 2089 PR D40 3153 PRL 59 839	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E. T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al. R. Mayle et al. R. Mayle et al. H. Minowa et al. S. Orito et al. D.H. Perkins H. Tsertos et al. K. van Bibber et al. W.U. Wuensch et al. S. de Panfilis et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (FSU) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ILL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (ICEPP) (OXF) (GSI, ILLG) (LLL, TAMU, LBL) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX MAYLE Also MINOWA ORITO PERKINS TSERTOS VANBIBBER WUENSCH Also AVIGNONE	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288 PL B219 515 PL B203 188 PRL 62 1091 PRL 63 597 PRL 62 2638 PR D40 1397 PR D39 2089 PR D40 3153 PRL 59 839 PR D37 618	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E. T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al. R. Mayle et al. R. Mayle et al. H. Minowa et al. S. Orito et al. D.H. Perkins H. Tsertos et al. K. van Bibber et al. W.U. Wuensch et al. S. de Panfilis et al. F.T. Avignone et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (FSU) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (OXF) (GSI, ILLG) (LLL, TAMU, LBL) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL) (PRIN, SCUC, ORNL+)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX MAYLE Also MINOWA ORITO PERKINS TSERTOS VANBIBBBER WUENSCH Also AVIGNONE BALKE	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288 PL B219 515 PL B203 188 PRL 62 1091 PRL 63 597 PRL 62 2638 PR D40 3153 PR D39 2089 PR D40 3153 PRL 59 839 PR D37 618 PR D37 587	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E. T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al. R. Mayle et al. R. Mayle et al. H. Minowa et al. S. Orito et al. D.H. Perkins H. Tsertos et al. K. van Bibber et al. W.U. Wuensch et al. S. de Panfilis et al. F.T. Avignone et al. B. Balke et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (FSU) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ILL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ICEPP)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX MAYLE Also MINOWA ORITO PERKINS TSERTOS VANBIBBER WUENSCH Also AVIGNONE	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288 PL B219 515 PL B203 188 PRL 62 1091 PRL 63 597 PRL 62 2638 PR D40 1397 PR D39 2089 PR D40 3153 PRL 59 839 PR D37 618	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E. T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al. R. Mayle et al. R. Mayle et al. H. Minowa et al. S. Orito et al. D.H. Perkins H. Tsertos et al. K. van Bibber et al. W.U. Wuensch et al. S. de Panfilis et al. F.T. Avignone et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (FSU) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (OXF) (GSI, ILLG) (LLL, TAMU, LBL) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL) (PRIN, SCUC, ORNL+)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX MAYLE Also MINOWA ORITO PERKINS TSERTOS VANBIBBER WUENSCH Also AVIGNONE BALKE BJORKEN	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288 PL B219 515 PL B203 188 PRL 62 1091 PRL 63 597 PRL 62 2638 PR D40 1397 PR D39 2089 PR D40 3153 PRL 59 839 PR D37 618 PR D37 587 PR D38 3375	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E. T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al. R. Mayle et al. R. Mayle et al. H. Minowa et al. S. Orito et al. D.H. Perkins H. Tsertos et al. K. van Bibber et al. W.U. Wuensch et al. S. de Panfilis et al. F.T. Avignone et al. B. Balke et al. J.D. Bjorken et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (FSU) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (ICEPP) (ICEPP) (ICEPP) (OXF) (GSI, ILLG) (LLL, TAMU, LBL) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL) (PRIN, SCUC, ORNL+) (LBL, UCB, COLO, NWES+) (FNAL, SLAC, VPI)
GNINENKO GUO HAGMANN JUDGE RAFFELT RITTER SEMERTZIDIS TSUCHIAKI TURNER BARABASH BINI BURROWS Also DEBOER ERICSON FAISSNER FOX MAYLE Also MINOWA ORITO PERKINS TSERTOS VANBIBBBER WUENSCH Also AVIGNONE BALKE	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89	PR D42 1297 PRL 65 972 PR D41 1324 PR D42 977 PRL 64 2988 PL B236 81 PRPL 197 67 PL B223 273 PL B221 99 PR D39 1020 PRL 60 1797 PRL 62 2639 PL B219 507 ZPHY C44 557 PR C39 288 PL B219 515 PL B203 188 PRL 62 1091 PRL 63 597 PRL 62 2638 PR D40 3153 PR D39 2089 PR D40 3153 PRL 59 839 PR D37 618 PR D37 587	R. Guo et al. C. Hagmann et al. S.M. Judge et al. G.G. Raffelt R.C. Ritter et al. Y.K. Semertzidis et al. M. Tsuchiaki et al. M.S. Turner A.S. Barabash et al. M. Bini et al. A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, M.S. Turner F.W.N. de Boer, R. van E. T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathi H. Faissner et al. J.D. Fox et al. R. Mayle et al. R. Mayle et al. H. Minowa et al. S. Orito et al. D.H. Perkins H. Tsertos et al. K. van Bibber et al. W.U. Wuensch et al. S. de Panfilis et al. F.T. Avignone et al. B. Balke et al. J.D. Bjorken et al. A.E. Blinov et al.	(FLOR) (ILLG, GSI) (MPIM) (UVA) (ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) (ICEPP) (FNAL) (ITEP, INRM) (FIRZ, CERN, AARH) R.P. Brinkmann (ARIZ+) (FNAL, EFI) Dantzig (ANIK) iot (CERN, IPN) (AACH3, BERL, PSI) (FSU) (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ILL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+) (ICEPP)

BOLTON	88	PR D38 2077	R.D. Bolton et al. (LANL, STAN, CHIC+)
Also		PRL 56 2461	R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i> (LANL, STAN, CHIC+)
Also		PRL 57 3241	D. Grosnick <i>et al.</i> (CHIC, LANL, STAN+)
CHANDA	88	PR D37 2714	R. Chanda, J.F. Nieves, P.B. Pal (UMD, UPR+)
CHOI	88	PR D37 3225	K. Choi et al. (JHU)
CONNELL	88	PRL 60 2242	S.H. Connell <i>et al.</i> (WITW)
DATAR			`
	88	PR C37 250	V.M. Datar et al. (IPN)
DEBOER	88	PRL 61 1274	F.W.N. de Boer, R. van Dantzig (ANIK)
Also		PRL 62 2644 (errat.)	F.W.N. de Boer, R. van Dantzig (ANIK)
Also		PRL 62 2638 ` ´	D.H. Perkins (OXF)
Also			
	000	PRL 62 2639	F.W.N. de Boer, R. van Dantzig (ANIK)
DEBOER	88C	JP G14 L131	F.W.N. de Boer <i>et al.</i> (LOUV)
DOEHNER	88	PR D38 2722	J. Dohner <i>et al.</i> (HEIDP, ANL, ILLG)
EL-NADI	88	PRL 61 1271	M. el Nadi, O.E. Badawy (CAIR)
ENGEL	88	PR C37 731	J. Engel, P. Vogel, M.R. Zirnbauer
FAISSNER	88	ZPHY C37 231	H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> (AACH3, BERL, SIN)
HATSUDA	88B	PL B203 469	T. Hatsuda, M. Yoshimura (KEK)
LORENZ	88	PL B214 10	E. Lorenz et al. (MPIM, PSI)
MAYLE	88	PL B203 188	R. Mayle et al. (LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+)
	88		
PICCIOTTO		PR D37 1131	C.E. Picciotto <i>et al.</i> (TRIU, CNRC)
RAFFELT	88	PRL 60 1793	G. Raffelt, D. Seckel (UCB, LLL, UCSC)
RAFFELT	88B	PR D37 549	G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn (UCB, LLL)
SAVAGE	88	PR D37 1134	M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell (CIT)
TSERTOS	88	PL B207 273	A. Tsertos <i>et al.</i> (GSI, ILLG)
)
TSERTOS	88B	ZPHY A331 103	A. Tsertos <i>et al.</i> (GSI, ILLG)
VANKLINKEN	88	PL B205 223	J. van Klinken <i>et al.</i> (GRON, GSI)
VANKLINKEN	88B	PRL 60 2442	J. van Klinken (GRON)
VONWIMMER.	88	PRL 60 2443	U. von Wimmersperg (BNL)
VOROBYOV	88	PL B208 146	P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts (NOVO)
DRUZHININ	87	ZPHY C37 1	V.P. Druzhinin <i>et al.</i> (NOVO)
FRIEMAN	87	PR D36 2201	J.A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner (SLAC+)
GOLDMAN	87	PR D36 1543	T. Goldman et al. (LANL, CHIC, STAN+)
KORENCHE		SJNP 46 192	
NONLINCTIL	01		
MANED	07	Translated from YAF 46	
MAIER	87	ZPHY A326 527	K. Maier et al. (STUT, GSI)
MILLS	87	PR D36 707	A.P. Mills, J. Levy (BELL)
RAFFELT	87	PR D36 2211	G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn (LLL, UCB)
RIORDAN	87	PRL 59 755	E.M. Riordan <i>et al.</i> (ROCH, CIT+)
MONDAN		1 IVE 33 133	L.IVI. INIOIDAII et al. (NOCII, CII +)
TUDNED	07	DDI EO 2400	M.C. Tarris (FNAL FEL)
TURNER	87	PRL 59 2489	M.S. Turner (FNAL, EFI)
TURNER VANBIBBER	87 87	PRL 59 2489 PRL 59 759	M.S. Turner (FNAL, EFI) K. van Bibber <i>et al.</i> (LLL, CIT, MIT+)
	87	PRL 59 759	K. van Bibber et al. (LLL, CIT, MIT+)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER	87 87	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266	K. van Bibber <i>et al.</i> (LLL, CIT, MIT+) U. von Wimmersperg <i>et al.</i> (WITW)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER BADIER	87 87 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. Badier et al. (LLL, CIT, MIT+) (WITW) (NA3 Collab.)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER BADIER BROWN	87 87 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. C.N. Brown et al. (LLL, CIT, MIT+) (WITW) (NA3 Collab.) (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER BADIER BROWN BRYMAN	87 87 86 86 86B	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. C.N. Brown et al. D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (LLL, CIT, MIT+) (WITW) (NA3 Collab.) (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) (TRIU)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER BADIER BROWN	87 87 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. C.N. Brown et al. (LLL, CIT, MIT+) (WITW) (NA3 Collab.) (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER	87 87 86 86 86B	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN	87 87 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER	87 87 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (TRIU) M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (PRIN)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER	87 87 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. N. Brown et al. U. Von Wimmersperg et al. U. Von Willer U. Von
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. N. Brown et al. U. Von Wimmersperg et al. U. Von Willer U. Von
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.)	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (TRIU) M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (PRIN) A. Jodidio et al. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) (LBL, NWES, TRIU)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. C.N. Brown et al. D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman C.A. Eichler et al. A.L. Hallin et al. A. Jodidio et al. A. Jodidio et al. A. Jodidio et al. CILL, (NAS Collab.) (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) (TRIU) (SINDRUM COllab.) (PRIN) (PRIN) (A. Jodidio et al. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) (LBL, NWES, TRIU) (KIAE)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. C.N. Brown et al. C.N. WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. A.L. Hallin et al. A. Jodidio et al. A. Jodidio et al. C. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) S.N. Ketov et al. (KIAE)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH	87 87 86 86 86B 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 40 NC 96A 182	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. C.N. Brown et al. C.N. Brown et al. C.N. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc C.ALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman C.A. Eichler et al. A.L. Hallin et al. A. Jodidio et al. A. Jodidio et al. A. Jodidio et al. C.BL, NWES, TRIU) S.N. Ketov et al. (KIAE) 44 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult (ULL, CIT, MIT+) (NIT+) (NIT+) (NIT+) (NA3 Collab.) (TRIU) (TRIU) (SINDRUM Collab.) (PRIN) (LBL, NWES, TRIU) (KIAE)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 4 NC 96A 182 PRL 57 659	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (PRIN) A. Jodidio et al. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Jodidio et al. (KIAE) 44 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (KITT) (WITW) (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) (ILBL, NWES, TRIU) (KIAE) (KIAE)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH	87 87 86 86 86B 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 40 NC 96A 182	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. C.N. Brown et al. C.N. Brown et al. C.N. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc C.ALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman C.A. Eichler et al. A.L. Hallin et al. A. Jodidio et al. A. Jodidio et al. A. Jodidio et al. C.BL, NWES, TRIU) S.N. Ketov et al. (KIAE) 44 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult (ULL, CIT, MIT+) (NIT+) (NIT+) (NIT+) (NA3 Collab.) (TRIU) (TRIU) (SINDRUM Collab.) (PRIN) (LBL, NWES, TRIU) (KIAE)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 4 NC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. A.L. Hallin et al. A. Jodidio et al. A. Jodidio et al. A. Jodidio et al. A. Jodidio et al. A. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (KYOT, KEK) L. Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini (WITW) (NA3 Collab.) (RA2) (NA3 Collab.) (TRIU) (TRIU) (TRIU) (SINDRUM Collab.) (SINDRUM Collab.) (LBL, NWES, TRIU) (KIAE) (KIAE) (KYOT, KEK)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 4 NC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (TRIU) M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (PRIN) A. Jodidio et al. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Jodidio et al. (KIAE) 44 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (KYOT, KEK) L. Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini R.D. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida (NASH, WASH, KYOT+) (WITW) (ILL, CIT, MIT+) (SINDRUM Collab.) (LBL, NWES, TRIU) (KIAE) (KIAE) (KYOT, KEK) (CERN)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 4 NC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. (WITW) J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (TRIU) M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (PRIN) A. Jodidio et al. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Jodidio et al. (KIAE) 44 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (KYOT, KEK) L. Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini R.D. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida (DESY) G.G. Raffelt
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT RAFFELT	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 4 NC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. (WITW) J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (TRIU) M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (PRIN) A. Jodidio et al. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Jodidio et al. (KIAE) 44 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (KYOT, KEK) L. Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini R.D. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida G.G. Raffelt (MPIM)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT SAVAGE	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2101 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 48 NC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402 PRL 57 178	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. (WITW) J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (TRIU) M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (PRIN) A. Jodidio et al. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Jodidio et al. (KIAE) 44 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (KYOT, KEK) L. Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini R.D. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida G.G. Raffelt G.G. Raffelt (MPIM) M.J. Savage et al.
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT RAFFELT	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 4 NC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. (WITW) J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (TRIU) M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (PRIN) A. Jodidio et al. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Jodidio et al. (KIAE) 44 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (KYOT, KEK) L. Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini R.D. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida G.G. Raffelt (MPIM)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT SAVAGE AMALDI	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2101 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 48 NC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402 PRL 57 178	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. C.N. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (PRIN) A. Jodidio et al. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) S.N. Ketov et al. (KIAE) 44 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (KYOT, KEK) L. Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini R.D. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida G.G. Raffelt M.J. Savage et al. (CIT) U. Amaldi et al. (KITH) (WITW) (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+ (ULBL, NWES, TRIU) (KIAE) (KYOT, KEK) (CERN) (MPIM) (CIT) (CERN)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT SAVAGE	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 4 NC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402 PRL 57 178 PL 153B 444 SJNP 41 585	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (TRIU) M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (PRIN) A. Jodidio et al. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) S.N. Ketov et al. (KIAE) 44 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (KYOT, KEK) L. Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini R.D. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida G.G. Raffelt (MPIM) M.J. Savage et al. (CERN) V.D. Ananev et al.
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE AMALDI ANANEV	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP ANC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402 PRL 57 178 PL 153B 444 SJNP 41 585 Translated from YAF 41	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (TRIU) M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (PRIN) A. Jodidio et al. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) S.N. Ketov et al. (KIAE) 44 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (KYOT, KEK) L. Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini R.D. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida G.G. Raffelt G.G. Raffelt G.G. Raffelt U. Amaldi et al. (CERN) V.D. Ananev et al. (JINT)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE AMALDI ANANEV BALTRUSAIT	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP ANC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402 PRL 57 178 PL 153B 444 SJNP 41 585 Translated from YAF 41 PRL 55 1842	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. (WITW) J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (TRIU) M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (PRIN) A. Jodidio et al. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Jodidio et al. (KIAE) 44 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (KYOT, KEK) L. Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini R.D. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida G.G. Raffelt G.G. Raffelt M.J. Savage et al. (CERN) V.D. Ananev et al. 912. R.M. Baltrusaitis et al. (Mark III Collab.)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT SAVAGE AMALDI ANANEV BALTRUSAIT BERGSMA	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 4 NC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402 PRL 57 178 PL 153B 444 SJNP 41 585 Translated from YAF 41 PRL 55 1842 PL 157B 458	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. (WITW) J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (TRIU) M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (PRIN) A. Jodidio et al. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Jodidio et al. (KIAE) 44 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (KYOT, KEK) L. Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini R.D. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida G.G. Raffelt G.G. Raffelt (MPIM) M.J. Savage et al. (CERN) V.D. Ananev et al. 912. R.M. Baltrusaitis et al. (Mark III Collab.) (CHARM Collab.)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE AMALDI ANANEV BALTRUSAIT	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP ANC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402 PRL 57 178 PL 153B 444 SJNP 41 585 Translated from YAF 41 PRL 55 1842	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (C.N. Brown et al. (C.N. Brown et al. (ENAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (ENAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Seteigman (ELL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (ENDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (ERIN) A. Jodidio et al. (EBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Jodidio et al. (EBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Jodidio et al. (EBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Konaka et al. (EBL, NWES, TRIU) (EBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Konaka et al. (ENAL) (ECERN) (ECERN) (ECERN) (ECERN) (III) D. Amaldi et al. (CIT) U. Amaldi et al. (CIT)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT SAVAGE AMALDI ANANEV BALTRUSAIT BERGSMA	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 4 NC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402 PRL 57 178 PL 153B 444 SJNP 41 585 Translated from YAF 41 PRL 55 1842 PL 157B 458	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (C.N. Brown et al. (C.N. Brown et al. (ENAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (ENAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Seteigman (ELL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (ENDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (ERIN) A. Jodidio et al. (EBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Jodidio et al. (EBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Jodidio et al. (EBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Konaka et al. (EBL, NWES, TRIU) (EBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Konaka et al. (ENAL) (ECERN) (ECERN) (ECERN) (ECERN) (III) D. Amaldi et al. (CIT) U. Amaldi et al. (CIT)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT SAVAGE AMALDI ANANEV BALTRUSAIT BERGSMA KAPLAN IWAMOTO	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 4 NC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402 PRL 57 178 PL 153B 444 SJNP 41 585 Translated from YAF 41 PRL 55 1842 PL 157B 458 NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. (C.N. Brown et al. (C.N. Brown et al. (ENAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (ENAL) (ENAL) C.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (ELL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A. L. Hallin et al. (ERIN) A. Jodidio et al. (EBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Jodidio et al. (EBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Jodidio et al. (EBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Konaka et al. (EBL, NWES, TRIU) (EBL, NWES, TRIU) (EBL, NWES, TRIU) (EBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Konaka et al. (ENAL) (ECERN) (ECERN) (ECERN) (ECERN) (III) M.J. Savage et al. (III) U. Amaldi et al. (III) U. Amaldi et al. (III) (III
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT SAVAGE AMALDI ANANEV BALTRUSAIT BERGSMA KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 4 NC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402 PRL 57 178 PL 153B 444 SJNP 41 585 Translated from YAF 41 PRL 55 1842 PL 157B 458 NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (TRIU) M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (PRIN) A. Jodidio et al. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) A. Jodidio et al. (KIAE) 4. 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (KIAE) 4. 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (KYOT, KEK) L. Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini R.D. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida G.G. Raffelt (MPIM) M.J. Savage et al. (CERN) V.D. Ananev et al. (CERN) Y.D. Ananev et al. (CERN) P12. R.M. Baltrusaitis et al. (CHARM Collab.) D.B. Kaplan (MAV) N. Iwamoto (UCSB, WUSL) T. Yamazaki et al. (INUS, KEK)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE AMALDI ANANEV BALTRUSAIT BERGSMA KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 4 NC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402 PRL 57 178 PL 153B 444 SJNP 41 585 Translated from YAF 41 PRL 55 1842 PL 157B 458 NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (TRIU) M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (PRIN) A. Jodidio et al. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) S.N. Ketov et al. (KIAE) 44 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (L Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini R.D. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida G.G. Raffelt M.J. Savage et al. (U. Amaldi et al. (CERN) V.D. Ananev et al. (CERN) 912. R.M. Baltrusaitis et al. F. Bergsma et al. D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki et al. (ILL, CIT, MIT+) (WITW) (NA3 Collab.) (CHAP) (TRIU) (KYOT, KEK) (KIAE) (KYOT, KEK) (CERN) (MPIM) (MPIM) (MPIM) (MPIM) (MPIM) (MPIM) (CHARM Collab.) (CHARM Collab.) T. Yamazaki et al. (INUS, KEK) (INUS, KEK) (INUS, KEK)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT SAVAGE AMALDI ANANEV BALTRUSAIT BERGSMA KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 4 NC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402 PRL 57 178 PL 153B 444 SJNP 41 585 Translated from YAF 41 PRL 55 1842 PL 157B 458 NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (TRIU) M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (PRIN) A. Jodidio et al. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) S.N. Ketov et al. (KIAE) 44 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (LMaiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini R.D. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida G.G. Raffelt M.J. Savage et al. U. Amaldi et al. (U. Amaldi et al. (CERN) V.D. Ananev et al. (MPIM) 912. R.M. Baltrusaitis et al. F. Bergsma et al. (D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki et al. (LLL, CIT, MIT+ (WITW) (NA3 Collab.) (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+ (SINDRUM Collab.) (KYOT, KEK) (LBL, NWES, TRIU) (CERN) (KYOT, KEK) (LOERN) (CERN) (MPIM) (CERN) (JINR) (JINR) (JINR) (JINR) (UCSB, WUSL) T. Yamazaki et al. (INUS, KEK) (LF. Abbott, P. Sikivie (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE AMALDI ANANEV BALTRUSAIT BERGSMA KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP 4 NC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402 PRL 57 178 PL 153B 444 SJNP 41 585 Translated from YAF 41 PRL 55 1842 PL 157B 458 NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford (TRIU) M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc (LALO) D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman (LLL+) R.A. Eichler et al. (SINDRUM Collab.) A.L. Hallin et al. (PRIN) A. Jodidio et al. (LBL, NWES, TRIU) S.N. Ketov et al. (KIAE) 44 114. H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult A. Konaka et al. (L Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini R.D. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida G.G. Raffelt M.J. Savage et al. (U. Amaldi et al. (CERN) V.D. Ananev et al. (CERN) 912. R.M. Baltrusaitis et al. F. Bergsma et al. D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki et al. (ILL, CIT, MIT+) (WITW) (NA3 Collab.) (CHAP) (TRIU) (KYOT, KEK) (KIAE) (KYOT, KEK) (CERN) (MPIM) (MPIM) (MPIM) (MPIM) (MPIM) (MPIM) (CHARM Collab.) (CHARM Collab.) T. Yamazaki et al. (INUS, KEK) (INUS, KEK) (INUS, KEK)
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE AMALDI ANANEV BALTRUSAIT BERGSMA KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP ANC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402 PRL 57 178 PL 153B 444 SJNP 41 585 Translated from YAF 41 PRL 55 1842 PL 157B 458 NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349 PL 121B 193	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman C.N. Eichler et al. C.N. Eichler et al. C.N. Steigman C.N. Steigman C.N. Steigman C.N. Cellan C.N. Jodidio et al. C.N. Jodidio et al. C.N. Ketov et al. C.N. Ketov et al. C.N. Ketov et al. C.N. Koch, O.W.B. Schult C.N. Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini C.N. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida C.N. G. Raffelt C.N. Amaldi et al. C.N. Amaldi et al. C.N. D. Ananev et al. C.N. Baltrusaitis et al. C.N. Bergsma et al. C.N. Bergsma et al. C.N. CHARM Collab.) C.N. Wamoto C.N. Skivie C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. LARD C.N. Skivie C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. Skivie C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. Skivie C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. Skivie C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. Skivie C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. Skivie C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. CERN, MINI C.N. CERN, MUNI C.N. CERN, MINI C.N. CERN, MUNI C.N. CERN, MINI C.N. CERN, MINI C.N. CERN, MINI C.N. CERN, MINI C.N. CERN C. CERN
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE AMALDI ANANEV BALTRUSAIT BERGSMA KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP ANC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402 PRL 57 178 PL 153B 444 SJNP 41 585 Translated from YAF 41 PRL 55 1842 PL 157B 458 NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. C.N. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman C.N. Eichler et al. R.A. Eichler et al. A. Jodidio et al. C.N. Ketov et al. A. Jodidio et al. A. Jodidio et al. C. KIAE A. Jodidio et al. C. LBL, NWES, TRIU C. NWES, TRIU C. NES, TRIU C. S.N. Ketov et al. C. KYOT, KEK C. Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini R.D. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida G.G. Raffelt C.G. Raffelt C.G. Raffelt C.G. Rananev et al. C.CERN C.CERN C.CERN C.CERN C.CERN C.CIT C.CERN C.C
VANBIBBER VONWIMMER. BADIER BROWN BRYMAN DAVIER DEARBORN EICHLER HALLIN JODIDIO Also KETOV KOCH KONAKA MAIANI PECCEI RAFFELT RAFFELT SAVAGE AMALDI ANANEV BALTRUSAIT BERGSMA KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC	87 87 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	PRL 59 759 PRL 59 266 ZPHY C31 21 PRL 57 2101 PRL 57 2787 PL B180 295 PRL 56 26 PL B175 101 PRL 57 2105 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 (errat.) JETPL 44 146 Translated from ZETFP ANC 96A 182 PRL 57 659 PL B175 359 PL B172 435 PR D33 897 PL 166B 402 PRL 57 178 PL 153B 444 SJNP 41 585 Translated from YAF 41 PRL 55 1842 PL 157B 458 NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349 PL 121B 193	K. van Bibber et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. U. von Wimmersperg et al. J. Badier et al. (NA3 Collab.) C.N. Brown et al. C.N. Brown et al. (FNAL, WASH, KYOT+) D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman C.N. Eichler et al. C.N. Eichler et al. C.N. Steigman C.N. Steigman C.N. Steigman C.N. Cellan C.N. Jodidio et al. C.N. Jodidio et al. C.N. Ketov et al. C.N. Ketov et al. C.N. Ketov et al. C.N. Koch, O.W.B. Schult C.N. Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini C.N. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida C.N. G. Raffelt C.N. Amaldi et al. C.N. Amaldi et al. C.N. D. Ananev et al. C.N. Baltrusaitis et al. C.N. Bergsma et al. C.N. Bergsma et al. C.N. CHARM Collab.) C.N. Wamoto C.N. Skivie C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. LARD C.N. Skivie C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. Skivie C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. Skivie C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. Skivie C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. Skivie C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. Skivie C.N. CERN, MUNI) C.N. CERN, MINI C.N. CERN, MUNI C.N. CERN, MINI C.N. CERN, MUNI C.N. CERN, MINI C.N. CERN, MINI C.N. CERN, MINI C.N. CERN, MINI C.N. CERN C. CERN

ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE Also ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ASANO BARROSO DATAR EDWARDS FETSCHER FUKUGITA LEHMANN RAFFELT ZEHNDER ASANO BARROSO FAISSNER FAISSNER KIM VUILLEUMIER ZEHNDER FAISSNER JACQUES SOUKAS BECHIS	83B 83 83B 83B 83B 83 83 83 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82	NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1790 PR D28 660 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erra JETP 55 591 Translated from Z JETPL 36 116 Translated from Z PL 113B 195 PL 116B 247 PL 114B 63 PRL 48 903 JP G8 L147 PRL 48 1522 PR D26 1840 PL 115B 270 PL 119B 323 PL 110B 419 PL 107B 159 PL 106B 91 ZPHY C10 95 PL 103B 234 PL 105B 55 PL 101B 341 PL 104B 494 PL 96B 201 PR D21 1206 PRL 44 564 PRL 44 564 PRL 42 1511	ZETF 82 ZETFP 3	G.D. Alekseev et al. 6 94. Y. Asano et al. A. Barroso, G.C. Branco V.M. Datar et al. C. Edwards et al. W. Fetscher M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. P. Lehmann et al. G. Raffelt, L. Stodolsky A. Zehnder, K. Gabathuler, J.L. Y. Asano et al. (KE A. Barroso, N.C. Mukhopadhyay H. Faissner et al. B.R. Kim, C. Stamm J.L. Vuilleumier et al. A. Zehnder H. Faissner et al. P.F. Jacques et al.	(FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (KIAE) (MOSU, JINR) (K, TOKY, INUS, OSAK) (LISB) (BHAB) (Crystal Ball Collab.) (ETH) Yoshimura (KEK) Yoshimura (KEK) (SACL) (MPIM) Vuilleumier (ETH+) EK, TOKY, INUS, OSAK)
ASRATYAN BELLOTTI BOSETTI DICUS DONNELLY Also Also HANSL MICELMAC MIKAELIAN SATO VYSOTSKII	78B 78 78B 78C 78 78D 78 78 78 78	PL 79B 497 PL 76B 223 PL 74B 143 PR D18 1829 PR D18 1607 PRL 37 315 PRL 33 179 PL 74B 139 LNC 21 441 PR D18 3605 PTP 60 1942 JETPL 27 502		A.E. Asratyan et al. E. Bellotti, E. Fiorini, L. Zanot: P.C. Bosetti et al. D.A. Dicus et al. T.W. Donnelly et al. F. Reines, H.S. Gurr, H.W. Sot H.S. Gurr, F. Reines, H.W. Sot T. Hansl et al. G.V. Mitselmakher, B. Pontecor K.O. Mikaelian K. Sato M.I. Vysotsky et al.	(BEBC Collab.) (TEXA, VPI, STAN) (STAN) el (UCI) el (UCI) (CDHS Collab.)
YANG PECCEI Also REINES GURR ANAND	78 77 76 74 53	Translated from Z PRL 41 523 PR D16 1791 PRL 38 1440 PRL 37 315 PRL 33 179 PRSL A22 183	ETFP 2		(MASA) (STAN, SLAC) (STAN, SLAC) (el (UCI)
OTHER RELATED PAPERS					
SREDNICKI BARDEEN	85 78	NP B260 689 PL 74B 229		M. Srednicki W.A. Bardeen, SH.H. Tye	(UCSB) (FNAL)

https://pdg.lbl.gov