

$D^*(2010)^\pm$ 

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^-)$$

 $J^P = 1^-$  established by ABLIKIM 23AZ.

### $D^*(2010)^\pm$ MASS

The fit includes  $D^\pm$ ,  $D^0$ ,  $D_s^\pm$ ,  $D^{*\pm}$ ,  $D^{*0}$ ,  $D_s^{*\pm}$ ,  $D_1(2420)^0$ ,  $D_2^*(2460)^0$ , and  $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$  mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>2010.26 ± 0.05 OUR FIT</b>				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
2008 ± 3	<sup>1</sup> GOLDHABER 77	MRK1	±	$e^+ e^-$
2008.6 ± 1.0	<sup>2</sup> PERUZZI 77	LGW	±	$e^+ e^-$
<sup>1</sup> From simultaneous fit to $D^*(2010)^+$ , $D^*(2007)^0$ , $D^+$ , and $D^0$ ; not independent of FELDMAN 77B mass difference below.				
<sup>2</sup> PERUZZI 77 mass not independent of FELDMAN 77B mass difference below and PERUZZI 77 $D^0$ mass value.				

### $m_{D^*(2010)^+} - m_{D^+}$

The fit includes  $D^\pm$ ,  $D^0$ ,  $D_s^\pm$ ,  $D^{*\pm}$ ,  $D^{*0}$ ,  $D_s^{*\pm}$ ,  $D_1(2420)^0$ ,  $D_2^*(2460)^0$ , and  $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$  mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>140.603 ± 0.015 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>140.602 ± 0.014 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
140.6010 ± 0.0068 ± 0.0129	151k	LEES	17F BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
140.64 ± 0.08 ± 0.06	620	BORTOLETTO92B	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

### $m_{D^*(2010)^+} - m_{D^0}$

The fit includes  $D^\pm$ ,  $D^0$ ,  $D_s^\pm$ ,  $D^{*\pm}$ ,  $D^{*0}$ ,  $D_s^{*\pm}$ ,  $D_1(2420)^0$ ,  $D_2^*(2460)^0$ , and  $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$  mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>145.4258 ± 0.0017 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>145.4258 ± 0.0020 OUR AVERAGE</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
145.4259 ± 0.0004 ± 0.0017	312.8k	LEES	13X BABR	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow (K\pi, K3\pi)\pi^\pm$
145.412 ± 0.002 ± 0.012		ANASTASSOV 02	CLE2	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow (K\pi)\pi^\pm$
145.54 ± 0.08	611	<sup>1</sup> ADINOLFI 99	BEAT	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$
145.45 ± 0.02		<sup>1</sup> BREITWEG 99	ZEUS	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow (K\pi)\pi^\pm$
145.42 ± 0.05		<sup>1</sup> BREITWEG 99	ZEUS	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow (K^- 3\pi)\pi^\pm$
145.5 ± 0.15	103	<sup>2</sup> ADLOFF 97B	H1	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$

145.44	$\pm 0.08$	152	<sup>2</sup> BREITWEG	97	ZEUS	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm,$
145.42	$\pm 0.11$	199	<sup>2</sup> BREITWEG	97	ZEUS	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm,$
145.4	$\pm 0.2$	48	<sup>2</sup> DERRICK	95	ZEUS	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$
145.39	$\pm 0.06$	$\pm 0.03$	BARLAG	92B	ACCM	$\pi^-$ 230 GeV
145.5	$\pm 0.2$	115	<sup>2</sup> ALEXANDER	91B	OPAL	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$
145.30	$\pm 0.06$		<sup>2</sup> DECAMP	91J	ALEP	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$
145.40	$\pm 0.05$	$\pm 0.10$	ABACHI	88B	HRS	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$
145.46	$\pm 0.07$	$\pm 0.03$	ALBRECHT	85F	ARG	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$
145.5	$\pm 0.3$	28	BAILEY	83	SPEC	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$
145.5	$\pm 0.3$	60	FITCH	81	SPEC	$\pi^-$ A
145.3	$\pm 0.5$	30	FELDMAN	77B	MRK1	$D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●						
145.4256	$\pm 0.0006$	$\pm 0.0017$	138.5k	LEES	13X	BABR $D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow$ $(K^- \pi^+) \pi^\pm$
145.4266	$\pm 0.0005$	$\pm 0.0019$	174.3k	LEES	13X	BABR $D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow$ $(K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^\pm$
145.44	$\pm 0.09$	122	<sup>2</sup> BREITWEG	97B	ZEUS	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm,$
145.8	$\pm 1.5$	16	AHLEN	83	HRS	$D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$
145.1	$\pm 1.8$	12	BAILEY	83	SPEC	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$
145.1	$\pm 0.5$	14	BAILEY	83	SPEC	$D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm$
145.5	$\pm 0.5$	14	YELTON	82	MRK2	29 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ $K^- \pi^+$
$\sim 145.5$			AVERY	80	SPEC	$\gamma$ A
145.2	$\pm 0.6$	2	BLIETSCHAU	79	BEBC	$\nu p$

<sup>1</sup> Statistical errors only.

<sup>2</sup> Systematic error not evaluated.

### $m_{D^*(2010)^+} - m_{D^*(2007)^0}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
2.6 $\pm$ 1.8	<sup>1</sup> PERUZZI	77	LGW $e^+ e^-$
<sup>1</sup> Not independent of FELDMAN 77B mass difference above, PERUZZI 77 $D^0$ mass, and GOLDHABER 77 $D^*(2007)^0$ mass.			

### $D^*(2010)^\pm$ WIDTH

VALUE (keV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>83.4 <math>\pm</math> 1.8 OUR AVERAGE</b>					
83.3 $\pm$ 1.2 $\pm$ 1.4		312.8k	<sup>1</sup> LEES	13X	BABR $D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow$ $(K\pi, K3\pi) \pi^\pm$
96 $\pm$ 4 $\pm$ 22			<sup>1</sup> ANASTASSOV	02	CLE2 $D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow$ $(K\pi) \pi^\pm$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
83.4 $\pm$ 1.7 $\pm$ 1.5		138.5k	<sup>1</sup> LEES	13X	BABR $D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow$ $(K^- \pi^+) \pi^\pm$
83.2 $\pm$ 1.5 $\pm$ 2.6		174.3k	<sup>1</sup> LEES	13X	BABR $D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^\pm \rightarrow$ $(K^- 2\pi^+ \pi^-) \pi^\pm$
<131	90	110	BARLAG	92B	ACCM $\pi^-$ 230 GeV

<sup>1</sup> Ignoring the electromagnetic contribution from  $D^{*\pm} \rightarrow D^\pm \gamma$ .

## $D^*(2010)^\pm$ DECAY MODES

$D^*(2010)^-$  modes are charge conjugates of the modes below.

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $D^0 \pi^+$	$(67.7 \pm 0.5) \%$
$\Gamma_2$ $D^+ \pi^0$	$(30.7 \pm 0.5) \%$
$\Gamma_3$ $D^+ \gamma$	$(1.6 \pm 0.4) \%$

## CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 3 branching ratios uses 6 measurements and one constraint to determine 3 parameters. The overall fit has a  $\chi^2 = 0.3$  for 4 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients  $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$ , in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions,  $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ . The fit constrains the  $x_i$  whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$x_2$	-62	
$x_3$	-43	-44
	$x_1$	$x_2$

## $D^*(2010)^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D^0 \pi^+) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$						$\Gamma_1 / \Gamma$
VALUE		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
<b>0.677 ± 0.005</b>	<b>OUR FIT</b>					
<b>0.677 ± 0.006</b>	<b>OUR AVERAGE</b>					
0.6759 ± 0.0029 ± 0.0064	<sup>1,2,3</sup>	BARTELT	98	CLE2	$e^+ e^-$	
0.688 ± 0.024 ± 0.013		ALBRECHT	95F	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons	
0.681 ± 0.010 ± 0.013	<sup>1</sup>	BUTLER	92	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons	
• • •	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.57 ± 0.04 ± 0.04		ADLER	88D	MRK3	$e^+ e^-$	
0.44 ± 0.10		COLES	82	MRK2	$e^+ e^-$	
0.6 ± 0.15	<sup>3</sup>	GOLDHABER	77	MRK1	$e^+ e^-$	

<sup>1</sup> The branching ratios are not independent, they have been constrained by the authors to sum to 100%.

<sup>2</sup> Systematic error includes theoretical error on the prediction of the ratio of hadronic modes.

<sup>3</sup> Assuming that isospin is conserved in the decay.

$\Gamma(D^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_2/\Gamma$
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b>0.307 ± 0.005 OUR FIT</b>					
<b>0.3073 ± 0.0013 ± 0.0062</b>	1,2,3	BARTELT	98	CLE2	$e^+e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.312 ± 0.011 ± 0.008	1404	ALBRECHT	95F	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.308 ± 0.004 ± 0.008	410	<sup>1</sup> BUTLER	92	CLE2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.26 ± 0.02 ± 0.02		ADLER	88D	MRK3	$e^+e^-$
0.34 ± 0.07		COLES	82	MRK2	$e^+e^-$

<sup>1</sup>The branching ratios are not independent, they have been constrained by the authors to sum to 100%.

<sup>2</sup>Systematic error includes theoretical error on the prediction of the ratio of hadronic modes.

<sup>3</sup>Assuming that isospin is conserved in the decay.

$\Gamma(D^+\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_3/\Gamma$
VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.016 ± 0.004 OUR FIT</b>					
<b>0.016 ± 0.005 OUR AVERAGE</b>					
0.0168 ± 0.0042 ± 0.0029			<sup>1,2</sup> BARTELT	98	CLE2 $e^+e^-$
0.011 ± 0.014 ± 0.016		12	<sup>1</sup> BUTLER	92	CLE2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.052		90	ALBRECHT	95F	ARG $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.17 ± 0.05 ± 0.05			ADLER	88D	MRK3 $e^+e^-$
0.22 ± 0.12			<sup>3</sup> COLES	82	MRK2 $e^+e^-$

<sup>1</sup>The branching ratios are not independent, they have been constrained by the authors to sum to 100%.

<sup>2</sup>Systematic error includes theoretical error on the prediction of the ratio of hadronic modes.

<sup>3</sup>Not independent of  $\Gamma(D^0\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  and  $\Gamma(D^+\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  measurement.

## $D^*(2010)^\pm$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	23AZ	PL B846 138245	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.) JP
LEES	17F	PRL 119 202003	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	13X	PRL 111 111801	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
Also		PR D88 052003	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
Also		PR D88 079902 (err.)	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ANASTASSOV	02	PR D65 032003	A. Anastassov <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ADINOLFI	99	NP B547 3	M. Adinolfi <i>et al.</i>	(Beatrice Collab.)
BREITWEG	99	EPJ C6 67	J. Breitweg <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
BARTELT	98	PRL 80 3919	J. Bartelt <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ADLOFF	97B	ZPHY C72 593	C. Adloff <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
BREITWEG	97	PL B401 192	J. Breitweg <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
BREITWEG	97B	PL B407 402	J. Breitweg <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	95F	ZPHY C66 63	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
DERRICK	95	PL B349 225	M. Derrick <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
BARLAG	92B	PL B278 480	S. Barlag <i>et al.</i>	(ACCMOR Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	92B	PRL 69 2046	D. Bortoletto <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BUTLER	92	PRL 69 2041	F. Butler <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALEXANDER	91B	PL B262 341	G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
DECAMP	91J	PL B266 218	D. Decamp <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABACHI	88B	PL B212 533	S. Abachi <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, IND, MICH, PURD+)
ADLER	88D	PL B208 152	J. Adler <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
ALBRECHT	85F	PL 150B 235	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)

AHLEN	83	PRL 51 1147	S.P. Ahlen <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, IND, LBL+)
BAILEY	83	PL 132B 230	R. Bailey <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, BRIS, CERN, CRAC+)
COLES	82	PR D26 2190	M.W. Coles <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)
YELTON	82	PRL 49 430	J.M. Yelton <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL, UCB+)
FITCH	81	PRL 46 761	V.L. Fitch <i>et al.</i>	(PRIN, SACL, TORI+)
AVERY	80	PRL 44 1309	P. Avery <i>et al.</i>	(ILL, FNAL, COLU)
BLIETSCHAU	79	PL 86B 108	J. Blietschau <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BONN, CERN+)
FELDMAN	77B	PRL 38 1313	G.J. Feldman <i>et al.</i>	(Mark I Collab.)
GOLDHABER	77	PL 69B 503	G. Goldhaber <i>et al.</i>	(Mark I Collab.)
PERUZZI	77	PRL 39 1301	I. Peruzzi <i>et al.</i>	(LGW Collab.)

---