

$\omega(1420)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

See also the $\omega(1650)$ particle listing.

$\omega(1420)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1410± 60 OUR ESTIMATE				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1418± 30± 10	824	¹ AKHMETSHIN 17A	CMD3	1.4–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$
1470± 50	13.1k	² AULCHENKO 15A	SND	1.05–1.80 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1382± 23± 70		AUBERT 07AU BABR	10.6	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1350± 20± 20		AUBERT,B 04N BABR	10.6	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
1400± 50± 130	1.2M	³ ACHASOV 03D	RVUE	0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1450± 10		⁴ HENNER 02	RVUE	1.2–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$
1373± 70	177	⁵ AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	1.2–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$
1370± 25	5095	ANISOVICH 00H	SPEC	0.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$
1400 ⁺¹⁰⁰ ₋₂₀₀		⁶ ACHASOV 98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
~ 1400		⁷ ACHASOV 98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$
~ 1460		⁸ ACHASOV 98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
1440± 70		⁹ CLEGG 94	RVUE	
1419± 31	315	¹⁰ ANTONELLI 92	DM2	1.34–2.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi$

¹ From a fit of the interfering $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ with a relative phase of π and other parameters floating.

² From a fit with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. See ACHASOV 20A for a further analysis of the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ data.

³ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

⁴ Using results of CORDIER 81 and preliminary data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

⁵ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho\pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.

⁶ Using data from BARKOV 87, DOLINSKY 91, and ANTONELLI 92.

⁷ Using the data from ANTONELLI 92.

⁸ Using the data from IVANOV 81 and BISELLO 88B.

⁹ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

¹⁰ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions interfering between them and with the ω, ϕ tails with fixed $(+,-,+)$ phases.

$\omega(1420)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
290±190 OUR ESTIMATE				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

440 ± 125	267	¹ ACHASOV	20B	SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \eta \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \gamma$
$104 \pm 35 \pm 10$	824	² AKHMETSHIN	17A	CMD3	$1.4\text{--}2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \eta$
880 ± 170	13.1k	³ AULCHENKO	15A	SND	$1.05\text{--}1.80 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
480 ± 180		⁴ ACHASOV	10D	SND	$1.075\text{--}2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$
$130 \pm 50 \pm 100$		AUBERT	07AU	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
$450 \pm 70 \pm 70$		AUBERT,B	04N	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma$
$870^{+500}_{-300} \pm 450$	1.2M	⁵ ACHASOV	03D	RVUE	$0.44\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
199 ± 15		⁶ HENNER	02	RVUE	$1.2\text{--}2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho \pi, \omega \pi \pi$
188 ± 45	177	⁷ AKHMETSHIN	00D	CMD2	$1.2\text{--}1.38 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^-$
360^{+100}_{-60}	5095	ANISOVICH	00H	SPEC	$0.0 p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
240 ± 70		⁸ CLEGG	94	RVUE	
174 ± 59	315	⁹ ANTONELLI	92	DM2	$1.34\text{--}2.4 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \rho \pi$

¹ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(1420)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\phi(1680)$. The mass of $\omega(1420)$ is fixed to the PDG 18 value of 1420 MeV.

² From a fit of the interfering $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ with a relative phase of π and other parameters floating.

³ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. See ACHASOV 20A for a further analysis of the $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ data.

⁴ From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\rho(1700)$. Systematic errors not evaluated.

⁵ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega \pi^+ \pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

⁶ Using results of CORDIER 81 and preliminary data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

⁷ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho \pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.

⁸ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

⁹ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions interfering between them and with the ω, ϕ tails with fixed $(+, -, +)$ phases.

$\omega(1420)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \rho \pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \omega \pi \pi$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \omega \eta$	
$\Gamma_4 b_1(1235) \pi$	seen
$\Gamma_5 e^+ e^-$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \pi^0 \gamma$	

$\omega(1420) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.73 ± 0.08	13.1k	¹ AULCHENKO	15A SND	$1.05\text{--}1.80 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.82 $\pm 0.05 \pm 0.06$		AUBERT,B	04N BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
0.65 $\pm 0.13 \pm 0.21$	1.2M	^{2,3} ACHASOV	03D RVUE	$0.44\text{--}2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.625 ± 0.160		^{4,5} CLEGG	94 RVUE	
0.466 ± 0.178		^{6,7} ANTONELLI	92 DM2	$1.34\text{--}2.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi$

¹ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, and $\omega(1650)$. See ACHASOV 20A for a further analysis of the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ data.

² Calculated by us from the cross section at the peak.

³ From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, ACHASOV 02E, and ACHASOV 03D data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E and ACHASOV 02E.

⁴ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.

⁵ From the partial and leptonic width given by the authors.

⁶ From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions interfering between them and with the ω,ϕ tails with fixed $(+,-,+)$ phases.

⁷ From the product of the leptonic width and partial branching ratio given by the authors.

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_2/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-8})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			

19.7 ± 5.7	AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1.9 ± 1.9	¹ AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$1.2\text{--}2.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The $\rho\pi$ dominance for the energy dependence of the $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ width assumed.

$\Gamma(\omega\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_3/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-8})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

2.5 ± 0.6	267	¹ ACHASOV	20B SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\gamma$
$2.1^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$		ACHASOV	19 SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$
5.0 $\pm 2.6 \pm 0.3$	824	² AKHMETSHIN 17A	CMD3	$1.4\text{--}2.0 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$
$1.6^{+0.9}_{-0.7}$	898	³ ACHASOV	16B SND	$1.34\text{--}2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\eta$

¹ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(1420)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\phi(1680)$. The mass of $\omega(1420)$ is fixed to the PDG 18 value of 1420 MeV. Fixing also the width of $\omega(1420)$ to the PDG 18 value of 220 MeV results in $(3.0 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-8}$ measurement.

² From a fit of the interfering $\omega(1420)$ and $\omega(1650)$ with a relative phase of π and other parameters floating. From an alternative fit $\Gamma(\omega(1420) \rightarrow \omega\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(\omega(1420) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 5.3 \pm 1.6$ eV.

³ From a fit with contributions from $\omega(1420)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\phi(1680)$. The mass and the width of $\omega(1420)$ are fixed to the 2014 edition (PDG 14) of this review.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_6/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE (units 10^{-8})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.23 \pm 0.14	¹ ACHASOV 10D SND 1.075–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
2.03 $^{+0.70}_{-0.75}$	² AKHMETSHIN 05 CMD2 0.60–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
¹ From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\rho(1700)$. Systematic errors not evaluated.	
² Using 1420 MeV and 220 MeV for the $\omega(1420)$ mass and width.	

$\omega(1420)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_2/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.301 \pm 0.029	¹ HENNER 02 RVUE 1.2–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$
possibly seen	AKHMETSHIN 00D CMD2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(b_1(1235)\pi)$	Γ_2/Γ_4
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u> <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.60 \pm 0.16	5095 ANISOVICH 00H SPEC 0.0 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
seen	ACHASOV 20A SND 1.15–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.699 \pm 0.029	¹ HENNER 02 RVUE 1.2–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_5/Γ
<u>VALUE (units 10^{-7})</u>	<u>EVTS</u> <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
~ 6.6	1.2M ^{2,3} ACHASOV 03D RVUE 0.44–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
23 \pm 1	¹ HENNER 02 RVUE 1.2–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho\pi, \omega\pi\pi$

¹ Assuming that the $\omega(1420)$ decays into $\rho\pi$ and $\omega\pi\pi$ only.

² Calculated by us from the cross section at the peak.

³ Assuming that the $\omega(1420)$ decays into $\rho\pi$ only.

$\omega(1420)$ REFERENCES

ACHASOV 20A	EPJ C80 993	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV 20B	EPJ C80 1008	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV 19	PR D99 112004	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
PDG 18	PR D98 030001	M. Tanabashi <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN 17A	PL B773 150	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ACHASOV 16B	PR D94 092002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AULCHENKO 15A	JETP 121 27	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)

Translated from ZETF 148 34.

PDG	14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	10D	PR D98 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	05	PL B605 26	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AUBERT,B	04N	PR D70 072004	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ACHASOV	03D	PR D68 052006	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	02E	PR D66 032001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
HENNER	02	EPJ C26 3	V.K. Henner <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV	01E	PR D63 072002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ANISOVICH	00H	PL B485 341	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV	99E	PL B462 365	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	98H	PR D57 4334	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ANTONELLI	92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BISELLA	88B	ZPHY C39 13	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BARKOV	87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 46 132.		
CORDIER	81	PL 106B 155	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)
IVANOV	81	PL 107B 297	P.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)