

ϕ(1680)

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

ϕ(1680) MASS

e⁺e⁻ PRODUCTION

| VALUE (MeV) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|------|--------------------------|----------|--|
| 1680±20 OUR ESTIMATE | | | | |
| 1683 ± 7 ± 9 | | ¹ ZHU | 23 BELL | e ⁺ e ⁻ → γ(nS) → ϕηγ |
| 1673 ± 5 | | ² ABLIKIM | 22L BES3 | 2.0–3.08 e ⁺ e ⁻ → K ⁺ K ⁻ π ⁰ |
| 1680 ⁺¹² ₋₁₃ ± 21 | 1.8k | ³ ABLIKIM | 20F BES3 | ψ(2S) → K ⁺ K ⁻ η |
| 1662 ± 20 | | ⁴ ACHASOV | 20C SND | 1.3–2.0 e ⁺ e ⁻ → K ⁺ K ⁻ π ⁰ |
| 1641 ⁺²⁴ ₋₁₈ | | ACHASOV | 19 SND | e ⁺ e ⁻ → π ⁺ π ⁻ π ⁰ η |
| 1667 ± 5 ± 11 | 3k | ⁵ IVANOV | 19A CMD3 | 1.59–2.007 e ⁺ e ⁻ → K ⁺ K ⁻ η |
| 1700 ± 23 | 2k | ⁶ ACHASOV | 18A SND | 1.3–2.0 e ⁺ e ⁻ → K _S ⁰ K _L ⁰ π ⁰ |
| 1674 ± 12 ± 6 | 6.2k | ⁷ LEES | 14H BABR | e ⁺ e ⁻ → K _S ⁰ K _L ⁰ γ |
| 1733 ± 10 ± 10 | | ⁸ LEES | 12F BABR | 10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻ → ϕπ ⁺ π ⁻ γ |
| 1689 ± 7 ± 10 | 4.8k | ⁹ SHEN | 09 BELL | 10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻ → K ⁺ K ⁻ π ⁺ π ⁻ γ |
| 1709 ± 20 ± 43 | | ¹⁰ AUBERT | 08S BABR | 10.6 e ⁺ e ⁻ → hadrons |
| 1623 ± 20 | 948 | ¹¹ AKHMETSHIN | 03 CMD2 | 1.05–1.38 e ⁺ e ⁻ → K _L ⁰ K _S ⁰ |
| ~ 1500 | | ¹² ACHASOV | 98H RVUE | e ⁺ e ⁻ → π ⁺ π ⁻ π ⁰ , ωπ ⁺ π ⁻ , K ⁺ K ⁻ |
| ~ 1900 | | ¹³ ACHASOV | 98H RVUE | e ⁺ e ⁻ → K _S ⁰ K [±] π [∓] |
| 1700 ± 20 | | ¹⁴ CLEGG | 94 RVUE | e ⁺ e ⁻ → K ⁺ K ⁻ , K _S ⁰ Kπ |
| 1657 ± 27 | 367 | BISELLO | 91C DM2 | e ⁺ e ⁻ → K _S ⁰ K [±] π [∓] |
| 1655 ± 17 | | ¹⁵ BISELLO | 88B DM2 | e ⁺ e ⁻ → K ⁺ K ⁻ |
| 1680 ± 10 | | ¹⁶ BUON | 82 DM1 | e ⁺ e ⁻ → hadrons |
| 1677 ± 12 | | ¹⁷ MANE | 82 DM1 | e ⁺ e ⁻ → K _S ⁰ Kπ |

¹ From a fit using a vector meson dominance model with contributions from ϕ(1680), ϕ(2170) and non resonant contribution.

² From a partial wave amplitude analysis at √s = 2.125 GeV which includes all the possible intermediate states that match J^{PC} conservation in the subsequent two-body decay. The intermediate states are parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner functions. Statistical error only.

³ Seen in ψ(2S) decay with branching ratio ψ(2S) → Xη → K⁺K⁻η = (12.0 ± 1.3^{+6.5}_{-6.9}) × 10⁻⁶.

⁴ From a fit using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from ρ(770), ω(782), ϕ(1020), ω(1420), ρ(1450).

⁵ From a fit with coherent interference of the ϕ(1680) with a non-resonant contribution.

⁶ Assuming the K⁺K⁻(892) + c.c. dynamics. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

⁷ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from ϕ(1020), ϕ(1680), and higher mass excitations of ρ(770) and ω(782).

⁸ Using events with ππ invariant mass less than 0.85 GeV.

⁹ From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.

¹⁰ From the simultaneous fit to the K⁺K⁻(892) + c.c. and ϕη data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

- ¹¹ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.
- ¹² Using data from IVANOV 81, BARKOV 87, BISELLO 88B, DOLINSKY 91, and ANTONELLI 92.
- ¹³ Using the data from BISELLO 91C.
- ¹⁴ Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.
- ¹⁵ From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$ assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitation.
- ¹⁶ From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- , $K_S^0K_L^0$, $K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.
- ¹⁷ Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.

$\rho\bar{\rho}$ ANNIHILATION

| VALUE (MeV) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|---------------------|------|---|
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | |
| 1700±8 | ¹ AMSLER | 06 | CBAR 0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$ |
| ¹ Could also be $\rho(1700)$. | | | |

$\phi(1680)$ WIDTH

e^+e^- PRODUCTION

| VALUE (MeV) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|------|-------------|------|---------|
| 150±50 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values. | | | | |
| ● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ● | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|--|------|-----------------------------|------|------|--|
| 149±12± 13 | | ¹ ZHU | 23 | BELL | $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \phi\eta\gamma$ |
| 172± 8 | | ² ABLIKIM | 22L | BES3 | 2.0–3.08 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$ |
| 185 ⁺³⁰⁺ ₋₂₆₋ 25 47 | 1.8k | ³ ABLIKIM | 20F | BES3 | $\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$ |
| 159±32 | | ⁴ ACHASOV | 20C | SND | 1.3–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$ |
| 103 ⁺²⁶ ₋₂₄ | | ACHASOV | 19 | SND | $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$ |
| 176±23± 38 | 3k | ⁵ IVANOV | 19A | CMD3 | 1.59–2.007 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$ |
| 300±50 | 2k | ⁶ ACHASOV | 18A | SND | 1.3–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0K_L^0\pi^0$ |
| 165±38± 70 | 6.2k | ⁷ LEES | 14H | BABR | $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0K_L^0\gamma$ |
| 300±15± 37 | | ⁸ LEES | 12F | BABR | 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ |
| 211±14± 19 | 4.8k | ⁹ SHEN | 09 | BELL | 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ |
| 322±77±160 | | ¹⁰ AUBERT | 08S | BABR | 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons |
| 139±60 | 948 | ¹¹ AKHMETSHIN 03 | CMD2 | | 1.05–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0K_S^0$ |
| 300±60 | | ¹² CLEGG | 94 | RVUE | $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-, K_S^0K\pi$ |
| 146±55 | 367 | BISELLO | 91C | DM2 | $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$ |
| 207±45 | | ¹³ BISELLO | 88B | DM2 | $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$ |
| 185±22 | | ¹⁴ BUON | 82 | DM1 | $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons |
| 102±36 | | ¹⁵ MANE | 82 | DM1 | $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0K\pi$ |

¹ From a fit using a vector meson dominance model with contributions from $\phi(1680)$, $\phi(2170)$ and non resonant contribution.

- ² From a partial wave amplitude analysis at $\sqrt{s} = 2.125$ GeV which includes all the possible intermediate states that match J^{PC} conservation in the subsequent two-body decay. The intermediate states are parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner functions. Statistical error only.
- ³ Seen in $\psi(2S)$ decay with branching ratio $\psi(2S) \rightarrow X\eta \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta = (12.0 \pm 1.3^{+6.5}_{-6.9}) \times 10^{-6}$.
- ⁴ From a fit using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$.
- ⁵ From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.
- ⁶ Assuming the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ dynamics. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.
- ⁷ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.
- ⁸ Using events with $\pi\pi$ invariant mass less than 0.85 GeV.
- ⁹ From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.
- ¹⁰ From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.
- ¹¹ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.
- ¹² Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.
- ¹³ From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$
- ¹⁴ From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- , $K_S^0K_L^0$, $K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.
- ¹⁵ Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.

$\rho\bar{\rho}$ ANNIHILATION

| VALUE (MeV) | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|---|---------------------|------|---|
| • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • | | | |
| 143 ± 24 | ¹ AMSLER | 06 | CBAR $0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$ |
| ¹ Could also be $\rho(1700)$. | | | |

$\phi(1680)$ DECAY MODES

| Mode | Fraction (Γ_i/Γ) |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Γ_1 $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ | seen |
| Γ_2 $K_S^0K\pi$ | seen |
| Γ_3 $K\bar{K}$ | seen |
| Γ_4 $K_L^0K_S^0$ | |
| Γ_5 e^+e^- | seen |
| Γ_6 $\omega\pi\pi$ | not seen |
| Γ_7 $\phi\pi\pi$ | |
| Γ_8 $K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$ | seen |
| Γ_9 $\eta\phi$ | seen |
| Γ_{10} $K^+K^-\eta$ | |
| Γ_{11} $\eta\gamma$ | seen |
| Γ_{12} $K^+K^-\pi^0$ | |
| Γ_{13} $f_2'(1525)\gamma$ | not seen |

$\phi(1680) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into e^+e^- and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel (I) in e^+e^- annihilation. We list only data that have not been used to determine the partial width $\Gamma(I)$ or the branching ratio $\Gamma(I)/\text{total}$.

$\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_4\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

14.3±2.4±6.2 6.2k ¹ LEES 14H BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$

¹ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.

$\Gamma(\phi\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (10⁻² keV) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.2±0.2±0.3 LEES 12F BABR 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

$\Gamma(\eta\phi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_9\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

122± 6±13 ¹ ZHU 23 BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \phi\eta\gamma$
 94±13±15 3k ² IVANOV 19A CMD3 1.59–2.007 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$

¹ From a solution of the fit using a vector meson dominance model with contributions from $\phi(1680)$, $\phi(2170)$ and non resonant contribution. Other solutions with equal fit quality give (219 ± 15 ± 18) eV, (163 ± 11 ± 13) eV and (203 ± 12 ± 18) eV.

² From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.

$\phi(1680) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

This combination of a branching ratio into channel (i) and branching ratio into e^+e^- is directly measured and obtained from the cross section at the peak. We list only data that have not been used to determine the branching ratio into (i) or e^+e^- .

$\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (units 10⁻⁶) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.131±0.059 948 ¹ AKHMETSHIN 03 CMD2 1.05–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$

¹ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known. Recalculated by us.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$
VALUE (units 10⁻⁶) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----|----------------------|-----|------|--|
| $1.15 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.01$ | | ¹ AUBERT | 08S | BABR | $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K \bar{K}^*(892) \gamma +$ c.c. |
| 3.29 ± 1.57 | 367 | ² BISELLO | 91C | DM2 | $1.35\text{--}2.40 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ |

¹ From the simultaneous fit to the $K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi \eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

² Recalculated by us with the published value of $B(K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)$.

$\Gamma(\phi \pi \pi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7 / \Gamma \times \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$

| VALUE (units 10^{-7}) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|--------------------------|------|-------------|------|---------|
|--------------------------|------|-------------|------|---------|

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------|-------------------|----|------|---|
| $1.86 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.21$ | 4.8k | ¹ SHEN | 09 | BELL | $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$ |
|--------------------------|------|-------------------|----|------|---|

¹ Multiplied by 3/2 to take into account the $\phi \pi^0 \pi^0$ mode. Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.6)\%$.

$\Gamma(\eta \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_9 / \Gamma \times \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$

| VALUE (units 10^{-7}) | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|--------------------------|------|-------------|------|---------|
|--------------------------|------|-------------|------|---------|

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|---------|----|-----|--|
| $5.64^{+1.74}_{-1.80}$ | | ACHASOV | 19 | SND | $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \eta$ |
|------------------------|--|---------|----|-----|--|

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----|---------------------|-----|------|--|
| $5.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.9$ | 3k | ¹ IVANOV | 19A | CMD3 | $1.59\text{--}2.007 e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ $K^+ K^- \eta$ |
|-----------------------|----|---------------------|-----|------|--|

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---------------------|-----|------|---|
| $4.3 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.9$ | | ² AUBERT | 08S | BABR | $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \eta \gamma$ |
|-----------------------|--|---------------------|-----|------|---|

¹ From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.

² From the simultaneous fit to the $K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi \eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

$\phi(1680)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) / \Gamma(K_S^0 K \pi)$ Γ_1 / Γ_2

| VALUE | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|-------|-------------|------|---------|
|-------|-------------|------|---------|

| | | | |
|----------|------|----|---|
| dominant | MANE | 82 | DM1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ |
|----------|------|----|---|

$\Gamma(K \bar{K}) / \Gamma(K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_3 / Γ_1

| VALUE | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|-------|-------------|------|---------|
|-------|-------------|------|---------|

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

| | | | |
|-----------------|------|----|---------------|
| 0.07 ± 0.01 | BUON | 82 | DM1 $e^+ e^-$ |
|-----------------|------|----|---------------|

$\Gamma(\omega \pi \pi) / \Gamma(K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_6 / Γ_1

| VALUE | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|-------|-------------|------|---------|
|-------|-------------|------|---------|

| | | | |
|-----------------|------|----|---------------|
| <0.10 | BUON | 82 | DM1 $e^+ e^-$ |
|-----------------|------|----|---------------|

$\Gamma(\eta \phi) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9 / Γ

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |
|-------|------|-------------|------|---------|
|-------|------|-------------|------|---------|

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----|----------------------|----|-----|---|
| seen | 35 | ¹ ACHASOV | 14 | SND | $1.15\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \gamma$ |
|-------------|----|----------------------|----|-----|---|

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.

