

$D^*(2007)^0$

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^-)$
 I, J, P need confirmation.

J consistent with 1, value 0 ruled out (NGUYEN 77).

$D^*(2007)^0$ MASS

The fit includes $D^\pm, D^0, D_s^\pm, D^{*\pm}, D^{*0}, D_s^{*\pm}, D_1(2420)^0, D_2^*(2460)^0$,
and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2006.85±0.05 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2006 ± 1.5	¹ GOLDHABER 77 MRK1 $e^+ e^-$		

¹ From simultaneous fit to $D^*(2010)^+, D^*(2007)^0, D^+$, and D^0 .

$m_{D^*(2007)^0} - m_{D^0}$

The fit includes $D^\pm, D^0, D_s^\pm, D^{*\pm}, D^{*0}, D_s^{*\pm}, D_1(2420)^0, D_2^*(2460)^0$,
and $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$ mass and mass difference measurements.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
142.014±0.030 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.			
142.016±0.030 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.5.			
142.007 $\pm 0.015 \pm 0.014$	10k	¹ TOMARADZE 15 CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons		
142.2 $\pm 0.3 \pm 0.2$	145	ALBRECHT 95F ARG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons		
142.12 $\pm 0.05 \pm 0.05$	1176	BORTOLETTO92B CLE2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
142.2 ± 2.0		SADROZINSKI 80 CBAL $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0$		
142.7 ± 1.7		² GOLDHABER 77 MRK1 $e^+ e^-$		

¹ Obtained by analyzing CLEO-c data but not authored by the CLEO Collaboration . This value comes from the average of the results for two decay modes, $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$.

² From simultaneous fit to $D^*(2010)^+, D^*(2007)^0, D^+$, and D^0 .

$D^*(2007)^0$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.1	90	¹ ABACHI 88B HRS	$D^{*0} \rightarrow D^+ \pi^-$	

¹ Assuming $m_{D^{*0}} = 2007.2 \pm 2.1$ MeV/ c^2 .

$D^*(2007)^0$ DECAY MODES

$\overline{D}^*(2007)^0$ modes are charge conjugates of modes below.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 D^0 \pi^0$	(64.7 \pm 0.9) %
$\Gamma_2 D^0 \gamma$	(35.3 \pm 0.9) %
$\Gamma_3 D^0 e^+ e^-$	(3.91 \pm 0.33) $\times 10^{-3}$

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 2 branching ratios uses 5 measurements and one constraint to determine 2 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 2.5$ for 4 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$$\begin{array}{c|c} x_2 & -100 \\ \hline & x_1 \end{array}$$

$D^*(2007)^0$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma(D^0 \gamma)$	Γ_1/Γ_2
<i>VALUE</i>	<i>EVTS</i>
1.83 \pm 0.07 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
1.85 \pm 0.07 OUR AVERAGE	
1.90 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.05 4.9k	ABLIKIM 15B BES3 10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
1.74 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.13	AUBERT,BE 05G BABR 10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
1.789 \pm 0.082	AAIJ 22N LHCb $B^0, B_s^0 \rightarrow \overline{D}^{*0}(K\pi, \pi\pi)$

1 Statistical error only.

$\Gamma(D^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(D^0 \gamma)$	Γ_3/Γ_2
<i>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</i>	<i>EVTS</i>
11.08 \pm 0.76 \pm 0.49	421
ABLIKIM	21BD BES3 4.178 GeV $e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(D^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
<i>VALUE</i>	<i>EVTS</i>
0.647 \pm 0.009 OUR FIT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.655 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.005 3.2k	1 ABLIKIM 15B BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.635 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.017 69k	1 AUBERT,BE 05G BABR 10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$0.596 \pm 0.035 \pm 0.028$	858	² ALBRECHT	95F	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
$0.636 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.033$	1097	² BUTLER	92	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
¹ Derived from the ratio $\Gamma(D^0\pi^0) / \Gamma(D^0\gamma)$ assuming that the branching fractions of $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0\pi^0$ and $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0\gamma$ decays sum to 100%.					
² The BUTLER 92 and ALBRECHT 95F branching ratios are not independent, they have been constrained by the authors to sum to 100%.					

$\Gamma(D^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_2/Γ			
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.353 ± 0.009 OUR FIT					
0.381 ± 0.029 OUR AVERAGE					
$0.404 \pm 0.035 \pm 0.028$	456	¹ ALBRECHT	95F	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
$0.364 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.033$	621	¹ BUTLER	92	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
$0.37 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.08$		ADLER	88D	MRK3	$e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$0.345 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.005$	1.8k	² ABLIKIM	15B	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
$0.365 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.017$	68k	² AUBERT,BE	05G	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.47 ± 0.23		LOW	87	HRS	29 GeV $e^+ e^-$
0.53 ± 0.13		BARTEL	85G	JADE	$e^+ e^-$, hadrons
0.47 ± 0.12		COLES	82	MRK2	$e^+ e^-$
0.45 ± 0.15		GOLDHABER	77	MRK1	$e^+ e^-$
¹ The BUTLER 92 and ALBRECHT 95F branching ratios are not independent, they have been constrained by the authors to sum to 100%.					
² Derived from the ratio $\Gamma(D^0\pi^0) / \Gamma(D^0\gamma)$ assuming that the branching fractions of $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0\pi^0$ and $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0\gamma$ decays sum to 100%.					

$D^*(2007)^0$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	22N	PR D105 072005	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21BD	PR D104 112012	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15B	PR D91 031101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
TOMARADZE	15	PR D91 011102	A. Tomaradze <i>et al.</i>	(NWES)
AUBERT,BE	05G	PR D72 091101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ALBRECHT	95F	ZPHY C66 63	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
BORTOLETTO	92B	PRL 69 2046	D. Bortoletto <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BUTLER	92	PRL 69 2041	F. Butler <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABACHI	88B	PL B212 533	S. Abachi <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, IND, MICH, PURD+)
ADLER	88D	PL B208 152	J. Adler <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
LOW	87	PL B183 232	E.H. Low <i>et al.</i>	(HRS Collab.)
BARTEL	85G	PL 161B 197	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
COLES	82	PR D26 2190	M.W. Coles <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)
SADROZINSKI	80	Madison Conf. 681	H.F.W. Sadrozinski <i>et al.</i>	(PRIN, CIT+)
GOLDHABER	77	PL 69B 503	G. Goldhaber <i>et al.</i>	(Mark I Collab.)
NGUYEN	77	PRL 39 262	H.K. Nguyen <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC) J